Dynamics of carbon stocks in soils and detritus across chronosequences of different forest types in the Pacific Northwest, USA
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Abstract

We investigated variation in carbon stock in soils and detritus (forest floor and woody debris) in chronosequences that represent the range of forest types in the US Pacific Northwest. Stands range in age from <13 to >600 years. Soil carbon, to a depth of 100 cm, was highest in coastal Sitka spruce/western hemlock forests (36 ± 10 kg C m⁻²) and lowest in semiarid ponderosa pine forests (7 ± 10 kg C m⁻²). Forests distributed across the Cascade Mountains had intermediate values between 10 and 25 kg C m⁻². Soil carbon stocks were best described as a linear function of net primary productivity ($r^2 = 0.52$), annual precipitation ($r^2 = 0.51$), and a power function of forest floor mean residence time ($r^2 = 0.67$). The highest rates of soil and detritus carbon turnover were recorded on mesic sites of Douglas-fir/western hemlock forests in the Cascade Mountains with lower rates in wetter and drier habitats, similar to the pattern of site productivity. The relative contribution of soil and detritus carbon to total ecosystem carbon decreased as a negative exponential function of stand age to a value of ~35% between 150 and 200 years across the forest types. These age-dependent trends in the portioning of carbon between biomass and necromass were not different among forest types. Model estimates of soil carbon storage based on decomposition of legacy carbon and carbon accumulation following stand-replacing disturbance showed that soil carbon storage reached an asymptote between 150 and 200 years, which has significant implications to modeling carbon dynamics of the temperate coniferous forests following a stand-replacing disturbance.
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Introduction

Soil and plant detrital carbon are significant components of terrestrial ecosystems and sources of heterotrophic respiration. The rates of their accumulation and turnover not only determine the changes in carbon storage, but also control net ecosystem productivity (Janisch & Harmon, 2002; Law et al., 2003). Quantifying the dynamics of soil and detrital carbon accumulation and turnover is important for elucidating the role of forest ecosystems in the global carbon cycle.

Carbon storage and turnover vary spatially and temporally under the influence of climate, management, and disturbance (Giardina & Ryan, 2000; Rustad et al., 2001; Schuur et al., 2001; Guo & Gifford, 2002). Catastrophic fire and forest harvesting are common disturbances resulting in significant changes in carbon stocks and fluxes in forest ecosystems (Law et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003), but their effects on soil carbon are less understood. It has been suggested that forest harvesting may have relatively little effect on soil carbon (Johnson & Curtis, 2001). Given their different dynamics, there is generally agreement that it is critical to distinguish between labile and nonlabile pools in mineral soils (Davidson et al., 2000). Neff et al. (2002) reports, for example, that nitrogen additions significantly accelerated turnover of the labile (light density fractions) of soil carbon, but reduced turnover rates of the less labile (heavy density fractions) more closely bound to mineral soil particles. As a result, total
soil carbon remained unchanged (Neff et al., 2002). Detrital carbon is predominantly a labile pool of ecosystem carbon with yearly or decadal turnover times.

The rate of soil carbon accumulation and turnover is difficult to assess, even with sophisticated simulation methods (Eswaran et al., 1993; Parton et al., 1995; Torn et al., 1997; Homann et al., 1998). The total soil carbon pool, however, can be estimated from detailed analysis of carbon content through the soil profile. Such analysis, while laborious and costly, can provide basic information for estimating and modeling regional soil carbon storage in relation to land use and land cover, as well as for assessing the impact of climate change on soil carbon dynamics.

In this paper, we provide detailed analysis of the rate at which carbon in mineral soils and detritus accumulates and turns over across chronosequences of a wide range of forest types in the US Pacific Northwest. The objectives of our study were to determine: (1) variation of carbon stocks and fluxes in soils and various detrital pools as influenced by climate and forest types, and (2) dynamics of soil and detrital carbon accumulation and turnover following stand-replacing disturbance in temperate coniferous forest ecosystems of the Pacific Northwest.

Materials and methods

Study design and definitions

In total, 13 separate chronosequences were established throughout western Oregon and southern Washington (Fig. 1). Ten of these chronosequences (extensive sites) were distributed throughout a multidimensional climate space west of the Cascade Mountains (Law et al., 2004). Three of these chronosequences (intensive sites) were designated for intensive measurement and were located across a steep precipitation gradient from the cool and wet forests of the Pacific Coast Range (Cascade Head (CH) site) to the montane forests of the west Cascades (Andrews (HJ) site) to the semiarid forests of the east Cascades (Metolius (ME) site). Species composition of each study site is given in Table 1. The chronosequences at the intensive sites were comprised of twelve 1 ha plots arranged as three replicates of four developmental stages (initiation, young, mature, and old). The developmental stages were categorized arbitrarily based on contrasting growth and structural characteristics of the stands, and were represented by different age ranges for different forest types. The chronosequences at the extensive sites were comprised of six 1 ha plots representing each of six age classes (<13, 13–29, 29–50, 50–100, 100–200, and >200 years following stand-replacing disturbance). With plots stratified randomly within climate space, forest type and forest age, their specific locations are random with respect to slope, aspect, landform, and soil series. Given that the extensive sites were sampled without replication, we pooled the data by age classes across the 10 sites to examine the temporal dynamics of soil and detrital carbon stocks (Law et al., 2004).

In this study, we use the term soil carbon to refer to the organic carbon present in the mineral soil exclusive to that present in the forest floor (soil O-horizon), roots, and root symbionts. The term detritus is used to refer to all dead organic tissue in the form of forest floor, fine woody debris (FWD), and coarse woody debris (CWD) (including stumps, standing snags, and their associated coarse roots). The term necromass refers collectively to soil and detritus carbon and distinguishes this total dead organic matter from all living biomass.

Estimates of long-term average annual precipitation and annual mean air temperature were derived from the climate map of Oregon created using PRISM developed by Chris Daly of Oregon State University and George Taylor of the Oregon Climate Service at Oregon State University (www.ocs.orst.edu/prism/prism_new.html) based on the coordinates and elevations of the plots.

Biomass and net primary productivity (NPP)

As part of a research program on scaling ecosystem carbon fluxes in the Pacific Northwest (Law et al., 2004),
we estimated carbon stocks in soils and detritus, above-
and belowground biomass, and productivity at both the
intensive and extensive study plots. Net primary
productivity (NPP) was determined by the measurements
of tree and shrub dimensions, radial growth
increment, species-specific plant volume equations, and
species-specific wood density values developed for the
region. Details of the measurements leading to biomass
and NPP are given in Law et al. (2003, 2004).

Soil carbon stocks

Measurements of soil carbon and nitrogen concentrations,
as well as texture, were made on six soil cores
(7.6 cm diameter), on each plot at the intensive sites,
and three cores at the extensive sites, separated into
0–20, 20–50, and 50–100 cm layers. All samples were
transported to a laboratory within 3 days of collection,
and stored in a refrigerated storage room before being
air-dried in a ventilation drying system. After removing
live vegetation and roots, the air-dried samples were
pulverized and analyzed for total carbon and nitrogen
with a LECO CNS 2000 analyzer (LECO Corporation,
St Joseph, MI, USA). Soil bulk density was estimated
as the total dry mass of the sample divided by the
volume of the core sample. The dry mass of the sample
included stones that were uncommon in the cores. Soil
texture was determined by the specification hydro-

meter method (Gee & Bauder, 1986), with the particle
sizes classified into sand (50–2000 μm), silt (2–50 μm),
and clay (<2 μm). Carbon content in soils was
calculated for each soil layer from layer depth, bulk
density, and percent organic carbon data, and summed
for all layers to estimate total carbon content to 100 cm
depth.

Forest floor mass and turnover

Mean residence time of the forest floor was calculated
by dividing the forest floor mass by the annual litterfall
rate for each plot. This method assumes equilibrium
between litterfall input and forest floor decomposition.

Six samples of forest floor were collected on each plot
at all sites. Samples consisted of dead plant material
between litterfall input and forest floor decomposition.

At the intensive plots, annual litterfall rate from trees
and understory shrubs was estimated with eight
samples of forest floor, separated into
(7.6 cm diameter), on each plot at the intensive sites,
and three cores at the extensive sites, separated into
0–20, 20–50, and 50–100 cm layers. All samples were
transported to a laboratory within 3 days of collection,
and stored in a refrigerated storage room before being
air-dried in a ventilation drying system. After removing
live vegetation and roots, the air-dried samples were
pulverized and analyzed for total carbon and nitrogen
with a LECO CNS 2000 analyzer (LECO Corporation,
St Joseph, MI, USA). Soil bulk density was estimated
as the total dry mass of the sample divided by the
volume of the core sample. The dry mass of the sample
included stones that were uncommon in the cores. Soil
texture was determined by the specification hydro-

meter method (Gee & Bauder, 1986), with the particle
sizes classified into sand (50–2000 μm), silt (2–50 μm),
and clay (<2 μm). Carbon content in soils was
calculated for each soil layer from layer depth, bulk
density, and percent organic carbon data, and summed
for all layers to estimate total carbon content to 100 cm
depth.

Forest floor mass and turnover

Mean residence time of the forest floor was calculated
by dividing the forest floor mass by the annual litterfall
rate for each plot. This method assumes equilibrium
between litterfall input and forest floor decomposition.

Six samples of forest floor were collected on each plot
at all sites. Samples consisted of dead plant material
with identifiable tissue structure less than 1 cm in
diameter, including foliage, twigs, and tissues of
herbaceous plants. After drying at 70°C for 48 h, the
samples were weighed to determine mass, and anal-
yzed for carbon and nitrogen concentrations with a
LECO CNS 2000 analyzer.

At the intensive plots, annual litterfall rate from trees
and understory shrubs was estimated with eight
regularly distributed 1.6 m² collection traps. At the
extensive sites, annual litterfall rate from trees and
understory shrubs was estimated as the mass of tree
and understory foliage divided by the mean foliage

Table 1 Locations and tree species composition across the 13 study sites in the Pacific Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Location name</th>
<th>Ecoregion</th>
<th>Latitudinal range</th>
<th>Longitudinal range</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Major tree species*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Cascade Head, Oregon</td>
<td>Coast Range</td>
<td>45°03′–07°N</td>
<td>123°52′–55°W</td>
<td>120–325</td>
<td>Tshe &gt; Psme &gt; Pisi &gt; Alru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>Andrews LTER site,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>44°14′–16°N</td>
<td>122°10′–14°W</td>
<td>700–860</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Tshe &gt; Thpl &gt; Cach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Metolius, Oregon</td>
<td>East Cascades</td>
<td>44°25′–30°N</td>
<td>121°33′–40°W</td>
<td>880–1235</td>
<td>Pipo &gt; Cade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>Lookout Mountain,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>45°49′–52°N</td>
<td>122°01′–07°W</td>
<td>570–1200</td>
<td>Abam &gt; Psme &gt; Abpr &gt; Tshe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>Breitenbush Hot</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>44°46′–48°N</td>
<td>121°53′–122°00°W</td>
<td>835–1450</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Abam &gt; Tshe &gt; Pico &gt; Tsme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Battle Ax, Oregon</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>44°47′–50°N</td>
<td>122°09′–15°W</td>
<td>745–1285</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Tshe &gt; Abam &gt; Thpl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>Mount Jefferson,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>44°41′–42°N</td>
<td>121°52′–53°W</td>
<td>1080–1220</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Tshe &gt; Abam &gt; Abpr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Mill City South,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>44°38′–44°N</td>
<td>122°23′–30°W</td>
<td>460–1035</td>
<td>Tshe &gt; Psme &gt; Alru &gt; Acma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Hellion Rapid,</td>
<td>Coast Range</td>
<td>44°24′–29°N</td>
<td>123°47′–52°W</td>
<td>340–620</td>
<td>Psme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Blue Mountain,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>43°41′–44°N</td>
<td>122°54′–56°W</td>
<td>450–880</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Tshe &gt; Thpl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>Emigrant Butte,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>43°25′–30°N</td>
<td>122°10′–15°W</td>
<td>1210–1490</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Abpr &gt; Tshe &gt; Abgr &gt; Tsme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>Big Butte Springs,</td>
<td>West Cascades</td>
<td>42°34′–37°N</td>
<td>122°24′–30°W</td>
<td>830–1030</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Abgr &gt; Pico &gt; Cade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>Grants Pass,</td>
<td>Klamath Mts</td>
<td>42°28′–30°N</td>
<td>123°16′–18°W</td>
<td>725–970</td>
<td>Psme &gt; Arme &gt; Cade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Species with greater than 5% presence. ID: Abam, Abies amabilis; Abpr, Abies procera; Abgr, Abies grandis; Acmc, Acer macrophyllum; Alru, Alnus rubra; Arme, Arbutus menziesii; Cade, Calocedrus decurrens; Pico, Pinus contorta; Pipo, Pinus ponderosa; Psme, Pseudotsuga menziesii; Thpl, Thuja plicata; Tshe, Tsuga heterophylla; Tsme, Tsuga mertensiana.
retention age on each plot. Tree foliage biomass was calculated from estimates of leaf area index and a weighted average of specific leaf area for each plot while understory foliage biomass was determined allometrically from dimensional measurements for shrubs and by clippings of herbaceous plants on the ground (Law et al., 2003).

Annual litter fall from herbaceous plants is considered to equal the live mass of the plants (with an annual life cycle of the aboveground tissues), which was determined for each plot from four regularly distributed 3–12 m² clip plots. In all cases, the carbon concentration of litter fall was assumed to be 50% of its dry mass.

**Woody debris mass and decomposition**

Carbon in woody debris was measured for the coarse fragments (CWD >10 cm diameter, and >1 m length) for all the plots, and fine fragments (FWD = 1–10 cm diameter) for the intensive sites only following Harmon & Sexton (1996). Annual carbon loss from CWD ($R_{\text{CWD}}$) and FWD ($R_{\text{FWD}}$) decomposition was computed from mass per m² ground, and species-specific decay rates for the area. The detailed procedure of measurements and computations was described in Harmon & Sexton (1996) and Law et al. (2003).

Carbon in dead coarse roots (>2 cm diameter) was estimated allometrically by using the coarse root biomass equations of Gholz et al. (1979). Coarse root biomass equations were converted to root volume equations. The volumetric conversions are necessary as wood density varies with species and with decay conditions, whereas the original coarse root biomass equations of Gholz et al. (1979) were for nondecayed roots. Basal and breast height (1.37 m) diameters of stumps and snags were used to obtain estimates of dead coarse root volumes, which were then converted to mass by using species-specific wood density of different decay classes (Harmon & Sexton, 1996). Carbon in dead, small (2–20 mm diameter), and fine (<2 mm diameter) roots was determined using a soil coring method sampling to 100 cm depth as described by Law et al. (2003) at the intensive sites.

**Soil respiration**

Soil respiration was measured at 12 locations on each plot at the intensive sampling sites only for a full year at a bimonthly interval. Measurements were made on top of the forest floor with a portable soil respiration system (LI-6400 with LI-6000-9 soil chamber, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). Soil temperatures at 10 cm depth were measured simultaneously with a temperature probe (Model No. 8528-24, Cole-Parmer, Chicago, IL, USA) to develop plot-specific empirical relationships with soil respiration. Soil temperature was also measured continuously at 10 cm depth with temperature data logger (HOBÔ Temp, Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA) for a full year at half-hourly time step for scaling monthly soil respiration measurements to annual carbon loss from soil.

Annual soil respiration was computed for each of the intensive plots following Ryan et al. (1997) and Law et al. (1999). This method involves the development of plot-specific temperature response curves, and then using soil temperature to model annual soil respiration. Specifically, daily average soil respiration was computed according to the following equation:

$$R_i = R_{100}e^{(bT-10)},$$

where $R_i$ is the average soil respiration estimated for day $i$, $R_{100}$ is the average soil respiration for day $i$ normalized to 10 C (which is either a measured point or one linearly interpolated between measurements), $b$ is from a season-wide temperature response curve $y = ae^{Tx}$ (where $y$ is the soil respiration and $x$ the soil temperature), and $T$ is the average soil temperature measured for day $i$.

Total soil respiration was partitioned into autotrophic (root systems) and heterotrophic respiration following the root separation method of Law et al. (2001). In spring, summer, and fall, soil respiration with and without forest floor was measured at 15 locations on each of the three plots representing initiation, young-, and old-growth stands at each intensive site. Following each flux measurement, the soil under the sample collar was cored to a depth of 30 cm and from these cores fine roots were quickly and carefully removed. The respiration of these roots was then measured in a dark chamber attached to the aforementioned IRGA and assumed to equal the contribution these roots made to the previously measured intact sample. This technique for the separation of heterotrophic and autotrophic contributions of total soil respiration is subject to error resulting from ambiguity of what truly represents autotrophic tissue. From our experience, this approach provides a good first estimate how much soil respiration can be attributed to roots vs. mineral soil. Based on these separation measurements, total annual soil respiration was partitioned into root, forest floor, and heterotrophic components to derive fraction of soil respiration attributable to root systems (Law et al., 2001).

**Separation of light and heavy density fractions of soil carbon**

Separation of the light (LF) and heavy (HF) carbon density fractions in mineral soils was conducted on
three composite samples of 18 soil cores on a subset of three plots representing distinct stages of stand development at intensive sites only. Each composite sample was made of equal portions of six sieved soil samples (<2.0 mm) on the same transect (0–20 and 20–50 cm depth).

The density fractionation was based on a modified suction method of Strickland & Sollins (1987). Carbon and nitrogen concentration of the LF materials were determined with a LECO CNS 2000 analyzer. Carbon mass of the HF material was calculated by subtracting LF carbon from the previously determined total soil carbon of each sample.

Modeling temporal dynamics of soil carbon storage

We simulated changes in soil carbon with stand age by considering two independent functional processes: (1) decomposition of legacy carbon (carbon stock immediately after stand-replacing disturbance), and (2) carbon accumulation from the current vegetation.

Decomposition of legacy soil carbon was modeled as two separate pools by an exponential decay function as

\[ \text{LC}_t = C_0 \times \left[ f(\text{LF}) \times e^{-k_D(\text{LF}) \times t} + f(\text{HF}) \times e^{-k_D(\text{HF}) \times t} \right], \]

where \( \text{LC}_t \) is the legacy carbon at time \( t \) following stand-replacement disturbance, \( C_0 \) is the initial total soil carbon, \( f(\text{LF}) \) and \( f(\text{HF}) \) are fractions of LF and HF carbon, and \( k_D(\text{LF}) \) and \( k_D(\text{HF}) \) are decomposition constants for LF and HF carbon. We derived \( k_D(\text{LF}) \) from the average estimates of soil carbon turnover rates determined for the intensive sites. The value of \( k_D(\text{HF}) \) was not known for any of our study sites. For our modeling purpose, we assumed the value of \( k_D(\text{HF}) \) to be 77% of \( k_D(\text{LF}) \) based on an incubation experiment of separated LF and HF material by Swanston et al. (2002).

The actual value of \( k_D(\text{HF}) \) could differ due to recalcitrance and accessibility of HF organic material of undisturbed soils (Sollins et al., 1996).

Soil carbon accumulation following stand regeneration was modeled as a Chapman–Richards function:

\[ \text{AC}_t = C_{SS} \times (1 - e^{-k_C \times t})^r, \]

where \( \text{AC}_t \) is the accumulation of carbon at time \( t \), \( C_{SS} \) is the mean steady-state carbon pool, \( k_C \) is the growth constant, and \( r \) is the shaping parameter. We derived \( k_C \) and \( r \) by fitting a Chapman–Richards function to the total biomass carbon by stand age, and \( C_{SS} \) as the mean soil carbon stocks of old-growth stands (assumed equilibrium of soil carbon stock). Predicted soil carbon at time \( t \) (\( C_t \)) was given by

\[ C_t = \text{LC}_t + \text{AC}_t. \]

The model parameters fit to the equations above are given in Table 2.

Results

Spatial variation of carbon stock in soils and detritus

The 13 study sites varied widely in climate (Table 3). Long-term mean of annual precipitation ranges from \( \sim 500 \text{ mm} \) in ponderosa pine forests at the ME site in central Oregon to \( \sim 3000 \text{ mm} \) in Pacific silver fir (Abies amabilis) and Douglas-fir dominated forests at the Lookout Mountain (LM) site in southern Washington. Annual mean air temperature varies from \( \sim 6 \degree \text{C} \) at the Mount Jefferson (MJ) site to \( \sim 11 \degree \text{C} \) at the Hellion Rapids (HR) site.

Carbon stock in soil and the forest floor varied significantly (\( P \leq 0.0001 \)) among sites. Spatially, soil carbon stock was the highest (\( \sim 36 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \)) in mesic Sitka spruce and western hemlock forests at CH near the coast, the lowest (\( \sim 7 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \)) in ponderosa pine at ME in the semiarid region of central Oregon, and ranged mostly from \( \sim 10 \) to \( \sim 25 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \) in forests of the Cascade Mountains (Table 4).

We found that, at the intensive sites, carbon stock in both soils and the total necromass increased with NPP (Fig. 2), and differed by about fivefold between the two extreme sites (CH and ME) along a gradient of site productivity (Table 5); as annual precipitation increased across sites, total soil carbon increased (\( r^2 = 0.51 \)), and mean residence time of the forest floor decreased (\( r^2 = 0.62 \); Fig. 3); soil carbon decreased with mean residence time of the forest floor (Fig. 4). The mean residence time of the forest floor indicates both the rate of decomposition and carbon transfer from the forest floor to mineral soils.

Table 2 Model parameters of legacy soil carbon decomposition and soil carbon accumulation from current vegetation for the average of 10 extensive sites in the Pacific Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decomposition of legacy soil carbon, LC&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_0 ) (g C m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>25110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(\text{LF}) )</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f(\text{HF}) )</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_D(\text{LF}) )</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_D(\text{HF}) )</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil carbon accumulation from current vegetation, AC&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{SS} ) (g C m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>19400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_C ) (g C m&lt;sup&gt;-2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Spatial and temporal dynamics of soil and detrital carbon

The average of the 10 extensive sites displayed a decline in soil carbon with stand age until ~50 years, followed by a slow recovery phase (Fig. 5). The same pattern was not observed at any of the intensive sites; there was no apparent age-related trend in soil carbon stock (Table 5) or annual heterotrophic respiration

---

Table 3 Climate and soil physical properties to a depth of 100 cm across the 13 study sites in the Pacific Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Annual precipitation (mm)*</th>
<th>Annual mean, $T_{\text{air}}$ (°C)*</th>
<th>Soil bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive sampling sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>2809 (137)b</td>
<td>10.5 (0.1)b</td>
<td>0.80 (0.04)efg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>2096 (55)d</td>
<td>8.3 (0.2)d</td>
<td>0.97 (0.07)cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>524 (57)h</td>
<td>7.4 (0.4)fg</td>
<td>1.18 (0.03)ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive sampling sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>3151 (198)a</td>
<td>7.8 (0.5)ef</td>
<td>0.85 (0.07)def</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>1865 (106)e</td>
<td>7.1 (0.7)fg</td>
<td>0.75 (0.06)fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>2516 (196)c</td>
<td>8.1 (0.5)de</td>
<td>0.66 (0.03)fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>2033 (0)de</td>
<td>6.3 (0)b</td>
<td>0.74 (0.07)fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>2112 (173)d</td>
<td>8.9 (0.3)c</td>
<td>1.06 (0.05)bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>2819 (513)b</td>
<td>11.4 (0.5)a</td>
<td>0.72 (0.11)fg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>1447 (58)f</td>
<td>10.3 (0.3)b</td>
<td>1.00 (0.10)bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>1528 (83)f</td>
<td>6.9 (0.6)g</td>
<td>0.64 (0.06)g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>989 (40)g</td>
<td>9.3 (0.6)c</td>
<td>1.25 (0.06)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>925 (43)g</td>
<td>10.4 (0.4)b</td>
<td>0.99 (0.10)bcde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr &gt; F</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard deviations are shown in parentheses ($n = 12$ at the intensive sampling sites, and 6 at the extensive sampling sites). Values designated by the same letters are not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$.

*Values of long-term average based on the climate map of Oregon created using PRISM (http://www.ocs.orst.edu/prism/prism_new.html).

Average values for entire soil profile weighed by fraction of mineral soils and layer thickness.

Table 4 Soil and detrital carbon stocks (g C m$^{-2}$ ground) across 13 study sites in Pacific Northwest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Soil C*</th>
<th>Forest floor C†</th>
<th>CWD C†</th>
<th>Detrital CR§ C§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive sampling sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>36 174 (10109)a</td>
<td>498 (169)c</td>
<td>2887 (1799)a</td>
<td>7396 (5458)a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>14 244 (3112)defg</td>
<td>776 (284)bc</td>
<td>3083 (2325)a</td>
<td>1669 (1887)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>7057 (2389)g</td>
<td>1408 (481)a</td>
<td>794 (490)a</td>
<td>1121 (1189)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive sampling sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>20 639 (5952)bcd</td>
<td>663 (449)bc</td>
<td>1875 (1782)a</td>
<td>1484 (745)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH</td>
<td>15 376 (5842)cdef</td>
<td>1140 (824)ab</td>
<td>1920 (1655)a</td>
<td>3643 (5033)ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>20 126 (8996)bcd</td>
<td>1000 (432)abc</td>
<td>1570 (717)a</td>
<td>1632 (1340)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>24 660 (14677)b</td>
<td>924 (432)abc</td>
<td>1223 (–)a</td>
<td>1982 (1048)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>22 861 (3941)bc</td>
<td>659 (256)bc</td>
<td>2900 (1986)a</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>20 331 (5723)bcd</td>
<td>500 (308)c</td>
<td>771 (569)a</td>
<td>1283 (878)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>17 118 (5234)bcde</td>
<td>762 (566)bc</td>
<td>1883 (1990)a</td>
<td>445 (387)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB</td>
<td>7 992 (3033)fg</td>
<td>1002 (570)abc</td>
<td>1878 (929)a</td>
<td>2377 (3283)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>14 515 (3422)defg</td>
<td>635 (307)bc</td>
<td>1320 (544)a</td>
<td>2198 (4224)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>11 959 (3988)efg</td>
<td>910 (367)abc</td>
<td>1228 (779)a</td>
<td>1002 (1776)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr &lt; F</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0330</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard deviations are shown in parentheses ($n = 12$ at the intensive sampling sites, and 6 at the extensive sampling sites). Values designated by the same letters are not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$.

*Mineral Soil carbon to 100 cm depth
†Carbon in forest floor (leaves and plant tissue fragments on soil surface <1 cm diameter)
‡Carbon in coarse woody detritus pool (diameter >10 cm, length >1 m)
§Carbon in detrital coarse roots (>2 cm diameter) attached to snags and stumps.

Spatial and temporal dynamics of soil and detrital carbon

The average of the 10 extensive sites displayed a decline in soil carbon with stand age until ~50 years, followed by a slow recovery phase (Fig. 5). The same pattern was not observed at any of the intensive sites; there was no apparent age-related trend in soil carbon stock (Table 5) or annual heterotrophic respiration

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, 10, 1470–1481
(Table 6) in soils or detrital pools. It is difficult to point to any specific qualities of the intensive sites that would prevent them from conforming to the trends observed in the extensive sites. It is worth noting, however, that the extensive sites are located primarily in the western Cascades. As such, the only intensive site that is directly comparable is HJ and it may not be appropriate to expect any one site to conform to a trend based on regional data. Significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences, however, were found among the three intensive sites in carbon stock in soils, forest floor, and total necromass. The soil and total necromass carbon were the highest under Sitka spruce and western hemlock forest types at the CH site near the coast, lowest under ponderosa pine forests at the semiarid ME site, and intermediate at the Douglas-fir/hemlock HJ site in the Cascades (Table 5). Heterotrophic respiration from various pools was similar between the CH and HJ sites, which were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) greater than those at the ME site.

The relative contribution of total necromass carbon to ecosystem carbon decreased as a result of increasing biomass with stand age. Rates of decrease in the ratio of total necromass carbon to ecosystem carbon with stand age followed the same trend among the three intensive sampling sites that vary markedly in climate and forest types (Fig. 6). Climate and forest type had no impact on the partitioning of carbon stock between biomass and necromass as a function of stand age. The relative contribution of total necromass carbon to ecosystem carbon appeared to approach an asymptote of $\sim 35\%$ between 150 and 200 years.

The annual turnover rate of necromass carbon, which is defined as total necromass carbon over total heterotrophic respiration, increased slightly with stand age at the CH and ME sites, but no trend was found for the HJ site (Table 6). Heterotrophic respiration from soil and the forest floor accounted for 88% of annual turnover of...
Our simulations of soil carbon stock with stand age based on two independent functional processes, decomposition of legacy carbon, and carbon accumulation following stand-replacing disturbance, produced a remarkably tight fit to the average values of 10 extensive sites (Fig. 5). As in the observed pattern, modeled results showed that soil carbon stock declined initially with stand age until \(~50\) years, driven by decomposition of legacy carbon, and that carbon accumulation from the current vegetation resulted in subsequent recovery in soil carbon stock until reaching an equilibrium between \(150\) and \(200\) years.

**Discussion**

Our results are in general agreement with those from a previous study on soil carbon stock in old-growth...
Table 6  Estimates of heterotrophic respiration ($R_{hr}$, g C m$^{-2}$ ground yr$^{-1}$) across three intensive sampling sites in Oregon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Developmental stage</th>
<th>Age range (years)</th>
<th>Soil $R_n$*</th>
<th>Woody detritus $R_h$†</th>
<th>Total $R_h$‡</th>
<th>Annual turnover rate of belowground C</th>
<th>Annual turnover rate of total necromass C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Initiation</td>
<td>12–14</td>
<td>713 ± 68</td>
<td>61 ± 28</td>
<td>774 ± 96</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>22–40</td>
<td>643 ± 171</td>
<td>92 ± 21</td>
<td>734 ± 157</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>45–52</td>
<td>676 ± 108</td>
<td>67 ± 22</td>
<td>743 ± 125</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>168–185</td>
<td>967 ± 136</td>
<td>88 ± 21</td>
<td>1055 ± 115</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>Initiation</td>
<td>13–20</td>
<td>1035 ± 121</td>
<td>58 ± 28</td>
<td>1093 ± 138</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>39–70</td>
<td>762 ± 66</td>
<td>83 ± 25</td>
<td>845 ± 42</td>
<td>0.041 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.039 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>143–166</td>
<td>681 ± 78</td>
<td>90 ± 65</td>
<td>771 ± 116</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.052 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>552–795</td>
<td>546 ± 88</td>
<td>210 ± 57</td>
<td>756 ± 55</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Initiation</td>
<td>9–23</td>
<td>182 ± 7</td>
<td>58 ± 45</td>
<td>240 ± 39</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>56–89</td>
<td>199 ± 44</td>
<td>29 ± 18</td>
<td>228 ± 62</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mature</td>
<td>93–106</td>
<td>302 ± 89</td>
<td>36 ± 16</td>
<td>338 ± 107</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old</td>
<td>190–316</td>
<td>303 ± 32</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>330 ± 36</td>
<td>0.041 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.040 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values shown are means ± 1 standard deviation (n = 3).

*Calculated as difference between annual soil respiration and annual root respiration.
†Decomposition from coarse and fine woody debris.
‡Sum of aboveground soil $R_h$ and woody detritus $R_h$.

![Fig. 6 Ratio of necromass carbon to ecosystem carbon as function of stand age across 36 plots at the intensive sites in Oregon.](image)

forests of the Pacific Northwest (Remillard, 1999), where soil carbon varied with forest type (<5 to ~37 kg C m$^{-2}$). Overall, soil carbon stock of temperate coniferous forests of the Pacific Northwest cover a wide range of values observed in forest ecosystems around the world.

The differences in soil carbon among the sites could well be attributed to, among other factors, differences in site productivity. Site productivity has a direct control on biomass accumulation, which determines the size of detrital pool as the source of soil carbon.

Our results are in agreement with findings from many other studies that soil carbon increases with annual precipitation (i.e. Post et al., 1982; Jobbágy & Jackson, 2000; Zinke & Stangenberger, 2000; Schuur et al., 2001; Callesen et al., 2003). Schuur et al. (2001) attributed the increased soil carbon with annual precipitation in mesic to wet forests to a decrease in decomposition rates under limiting soil oxygen availability. Lack of oxygen limits decomposition of soil organic carbon in poorly drained soils due to reduced microbial activity (Davidson & Lefebvre, 1993; Schuur et al., 2001). This might explain the high soil carbon at the CH site where high rainfall and moist soil conditions persist throughout most of the year. In addition, the rates that carbon transfers from litter to mineral soils may also play a role in the effect of precipitation on carbon stock in mineral soils. This is supported by our finding that the residence time of litterfall decline with increasing precipitation. We suggest that precipitation affects total soil carbon by differentially affecting decomposition of soil and forest floor carbon: increased precipitation could slow down soil carbon decomposition by imposing oxygen-limiting conditions to microbial activity in mineral soils (Schuur et al., 2001), whereas it could accelerate decomposition of forest floor by enhancing microbial activity on the soil surface, where oxygen is rarely limiting except under waterlogged environments. Precipitation could also facilitate movement of particulate and soluble organic carbon from the forest floor into the soil profile.

Change in soil carbon stock with stand age has not been fully elucidated. Johnson & Curtis (2001) suggested that forest harvesting might have little or no
effect on soil carbon, and that wildfire resulted in higher soil carbon due to sequestration of charcoal and recalcitrant, hydrophobic organic matter and the post-fire in-growth of nitrogen-fixing vegetation. Fire affects the molecular composition of soil carbon, and converts soil organic carbon to more degradation-resistant charcoal (Czimczik et al., 2003). Except for the old forests, the primary mode of stand-replacing disturbance at the intensive sites was forest harvest, whereas we know less about stand history for the younger stands at the extensive sites. Results from our study showed inconsistent patterns of soil carbon with stand age among sites.

There was no apparent trend in soil carbon stocks (Table 5) and annual heterotrophic respiration (Table 6; Law et al., 2003) in soils and in various detrital pools in relation to stand age, possibly because of the effects of other factors such as topography and soil depth at the sites.

The low rate of annual turnover at the CH site could be partially attributed to the high annual precipitation that impeded soil carbon decomposition (Schuur et al., 2001), which, together with the high NPP of the forest types, explains a greater accumulation of total necromass carbon at the CH site compared with the other two sites (Table 6).

The turnover times of soil carbon are controlled, to a large extent, by the relative size of labile and nonlabile pools interacting with climatic and edaphic factors (Davidson et al., 2000). Heavy density fractions of mineral soil carbon are slower in turnover times than light density fractions (Sollins et al., 1996; Swanston et al., 2002). Our analysis of carbon density fractions in mineral soils on subsets of plots at the three intensive sites showed evidence of spatial and temporal variation. Our results agree with the finding by Neff et al. (2002) that high soil nitrogen availability has differential effects on LF and HF. Increased N accelerates decomposition of LF while further stabilizing HF.

Previous work by Cromack et al. (1999), conducted in a coastal Oregon Douglas-fir plantation intermixed with red alder, showed that the light fraction for the 0–15 cm mineral soil depth accounted for a mean of 13.4% of the <2 mm size fraction soil mass, had a carbon concentration of 43.3%, and accounted for 40.0% of the total soil C mass in the <2 mm size fraction. We obtained a similar carbon concentration in the LF material in this study (Table 7). The much higher N concentration of 1.84% in the light fraction of the 0–15 cm soil depth at Waldport, relative to the CH data in our study (0.94% in 0–20 cm depth), may be due in

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Plot ID</th>
<th>Stand age</th>
<th>Total soil C (%; 0–20 cm)</th>
<th>Total soil N (%; 0–20 cm)</th>
<th>C% of LF material</th>
<th>N% of LF material</th>
<th>LF (% of total soil C) 0–20 cm</th>
<th>HF (% of total soil C) 0–20 cm</th>
<th>LF (% of total soil C) 20–50 cm</th>
<th>HF (% of total soil C) 20–50 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>24.9 (5.7)</td>
<td>13.8 (2.0)</td>
<td>75.1 (5.7)</td>
<td>86.2 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>30.8 (15.9)</td>
<td>17.7 (6.1)</td>
<td>69.2 (15.9)</td>
<td>82.3 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>24.2 (11.5)</td>
<td>12.8 (5.0)</td>
<td>75.8 (11.5)</td>
<td>87.2 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>26.6 (14.7)</td>
<td>14.7 (8.7)</td>
<td>73.4 (14.7)</td>
<td>85.3 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HJ</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>52.0 (9.1)</td>
<td>32.7 (18.8)</td>
<td>48.0 (9.1)</td>
<td>67.3 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>42.3 (9.1)</td>
<td>31.2 (8.8)</td>
<td>57.7 (9.1)</td>
<td>68.8 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>35.3 (5.6)</td>
<td>22.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>64.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>77.8 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>43.2 (12.7)</td>
<td>28.7 (7.1)</td>
<td>56.8 (12.7)</td>
<td>71.3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>38.2 (7.9)</td>
<td>33.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>61.8 (7.9)</td>
<td>66.9 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>36.3 (8.7)</td>
<td>33.3 (2.7)</td>
<td>63.7 (8.7)</td>
<td>66.7 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>33.5 (6.5)</td>
<td>30.3 (5.6)</td>
<td>66.5 (6.5)</td>
<td>69.7 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>36.0 (3.2)</td>
<td>32.2 (6.0)</td>
<td>64.0 (3.2)</td>
<td>67.8 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard deviations are shown in parentheses (n = 3 composite samples).
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part to the previous site disturbance and subsequent secondary succession at Waldport, including clear cutting and broadcast burning in 1969–1970, followed by Douglas-fir replanting, natural colonization by red alder (N-fixer) and salmonberry, and then partial herbicide treatment during 1980. Although red alder is common on coastal Oregon, our study plots do not contain the species. It should be noted that the soil fractionation work by Cromack et al. (1999) was conducted using the older methodology of density separation with NaI (Spycher et al., 1983), rather than the newer methodology using sodium polytungstate as used in our study. Nevertheless, the two methods should provide comparable results.

Although the two critical parameters, $k_C$ and $r$, for describing soil carbon accumulation with stand age were derived from total biomass carbon, the model appeared to be functionally correct when the value of soil carbon at steady state (i.e. in old-growth forests) was used to constrain the maximum of the growth function. This suggests that soil carbon accumulation with stand age depends strongly on biomass carbon, which is controlled by site productivity and mortality rates.

Three factors are important in simulating the temporal dynamics of soil carbon storage: initial carbon stock (legacy carbon), rates of decomposition, and rates of carbon accumulation from the current vegetation. Legacy carbon is determined by the previous vegetation and the mode and intensity of disturbance, and the rates of decomposition are influenced by both climatic and edaphic factors, whereas the rates of carbon accumulation depend on the rates of vegetation recovery and productivity following stand-replacement disturbances. The different patterns of modeled soil carbon stocks with stand age across sites could be explained by differences in soil carbon turnover rates and site productivity under the influence of climate.
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