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| :--- | :--- |
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#### Abstract

The Department of Ecology analyzed polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants (PBDEs) in freshwater fish and water samples collected statewide during 2005-06. This was done in response to increasing PBDE levels in the environment and concern about potential adverse human health effects from fish consumption. The goal was to establish baseline conditions that could be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the Washington State PBDE Chemical Action Plan and other efforts to reduce PBDE inputs to the environment.

Data were obtained on concentrations of PBDE-47, -49, -66, -71, -99, -100, -138, -153, -154, $-183,-184,-190$, and -209 in approximately 120 fish fillet samples, 23 whole fish samples, and 16 water samples, representing 32 waterbodies. The results are used to evaluate the environmental distribution and accumulation of PBDEs in Washington rivers and lakes.

Total PBDE concentrations appear to be less than $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (parts per billion, wet weight) in fish fillets from most Washington rivers and lakes. Certain fish species from several large waterbodies - Palouse River, Columbia River, Lake Washington, Snohomish River, Cowlitz River, and Snake River - have total PBDE concentrations in the $10-200 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ range. PBDEs in fish from watersheds with minimal human disturbance are at or below the limit of detection. High PBDE levels are found throughout the Spokane River, exceeding $1,000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in some cases.
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## Introduction

## Background on Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are a subgroup of brominated organic compounds that function as flame retardants in resins and plastics used in furniture (foam cushions), carpet padding, electronics enclosures, wire and cable insulation, adhesives, textile coatings, and other applications. PBDEs have been a high volume production chemical with the bulk of its world-wide usage taking place in North America.


## Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether (PBDE)

Figure 1. General Structure of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers

Environmental release of PBDEs has occurred during production, use, and disposal of final treated products - through direct discharge, volatilization, incineration, landfills, wastewater treatment plants, and other sources. First reported in 1981, these compounds are now ubiquitous in the environment (Hites, 2004). The highest PBDE concentrations have generally been found in North America, where levels are 10 or more times greater than in Europe or Japan (Hites, 2004).

Unlike classical pollutants such as DDT, PCBs, and dioxin, PBDE levels have been increasing in environmental samples. This phenomenon has been reported across a range of media including aquatic sediments, fish, bird eggs, seal blubber, and human tissues (Norén and Mieronyté, 2000; She et al., 2002; Luross et al., 2000). Figure 2, for example, shows the contrasting trend in the levels of PBDEs versus dioxin and PCB TEQs ${ }^{1}$ in human breast milk samples from Sweden, analyzed between 1972 and 1996.

In the Pacific Northwest, Rayne et al. (2003) demonstrated that total PBDE concentrations in Columbia River mountain whitefish have increased by an order of magnitude since 1992 (Figure 3). These samples were collected about 30 miles above the Washington border at Genelle, British Columbia (between Trail and Castlegar). Rayne et al. concluded the doubling period for PBDEs in Columbia River whitefish was 1.6 years between 1995 and 2000.

[^0]

Figure 2. PBDEs vs Total TEQs in Human Breast Milk, Sweden 1972-1996 (Norén and Mieronyté, 2000).


Figure 3. Time-Trend of Total PBDE Concentrations in Columbia River Mountain Whitefish Collected at Genelle, British Columbia (based on muscle tissue data in Rayne et al., 2003).

Three main types of PBDEs are used in consumer products: Penta-BDE, Octa-BDE, and Deca-BDE. The most common use of Penta-BDE is in flexible polyurethane foam in furniture. Typical end products containing Octa-BDE are housings for fax machines, as well as computers, automobile trim, telephone handsets, and kitchen appliance castings. Octa is used in wire and cable insulation, coatings and adhesive systems, and fabric coatings, as well as housings for computers and other equipment. These products can contain 10-20\% PBDEs by weight. (Ecology and WDOH, 2006)

Deca-BDE is used in a variety of polymer systems such as high-impact polystyrene, nylon, polypropylene, low-density polyethylene, rubber, polyester, and epoxy. It is also used in wire and cable insulation of all types, coatings, and adhesive systems, including back-coatings for fabrics. Deca-BDE formulations make up 10 to $27 \%$ of the product by weight. Deca-BDE is not used in clothing. (Ecology and WDOH, 2006)

The individual compounds (congeners) that predominate in commercial products are PBDE-47, $-99,-100,-153,-154,-183$, and -209 (Table 1). PBDE -47 and -99 account for the greatest percentage of residues found in environmental samples.

Table 1. Primary Congeners in Commercial PBDE Products

| Congener | Chemical Name | $\%$ of Total in Commercial Mixtures |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Penta-BDE | Octa-BDE | Deca-BDE |
| PBDE-47 | 2,2',4,4'-tetrabromodiphenyl ether | 27 |  |  |
| PBDE-99 | 2,2',4,4',5-pentabromodiphenyl ether | 43 |  |  |
| PBDE-100 | 2,2',4,4',6-pentabromodiphenyl ether | 9.8 |  |  |
| PBDE-153 | 2,2',4,4'5,5'-hexabromodiphenyl ether | 8.5 | 6.7 |  |
| PBDE-154 | 2,2',4,4',5,6'-hexabromodiphenyl ether | 9.3 | 9.3 |  |
| PBDE-183 | 2,2',3,4,4',5,6'-heptabromodiphenyl ether |  | 44 |  |
| -- | octabromodiphenyl ethers (3 compounds) |  | 34 |  |
| PBDE-209 | 2,2'3,3',4,4',5,5',6,6'-decabromodiphenyl ether |  |  | $>98$ |

The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) has identified PBDEs as persistent, bioaccumulative toxins (PBTs). Ecology and the Washington State Department of Health (WDOH) have prepared a Washington State PBDE Chemical Action Plan identifying steps the state may take to reduce the threat of PBDEs in the environment (Ecology and WDOH, 2006).

The Chemical Action Plan has detailed information on use, environmental occurrence, toxicity, and regulations pertaining to PBDEs. Briefly, the primary concern revolves around the observation that PBDE concentrations in human tissues have been doubling every two to five years. If this rate were to continue, the levels could reach those known to cause adverse effects in laboratory rodents. PBDEs have been linked to neurotoxicity, impaired thyroid function, fetal toxicity, endocrine effects, and tumor generation in animal studies.

Penta-BDE is generally more toxic than Octa-BDE, and both are much more toxic than DecaBDE. Industry voluntarily ceased manufacture of Penta- and Octa-BDEs in December 2004. The use of Deca-BDE however is anticipated to increase. Deca-BDE has been shown to break down in the environment to more toxic and bioaccumulative forms of PBDEs (Ecology and WDOH, 2006). Industry holds there is no convincing evidence of this phenomenon.

Diet is a major source of the PBDE body burden in humans, and fish have the highest PBDE levels among different types of food (Schecter et al., 2004). As of 2005, there was not much data on PBDE levels in Washington freshwater fish, and no data on the levels in surface water. There are currently no state or federal fish tissue or water quality criteria for PBDEs.

## Goals and Objectives of the Statewide PBDE Survey

In view of the limited data that had been collected and the potential for adverse human health effects, the Washington State Legislature provided funding for Ecology's Environmental Assessment Program to conduct a statewide survey of PBDEs in selected rivers and lakes to better determine the current level of contamination. The Statewide PBDE Survey was conducted during 2005-06.

The goal of the survey was to establish baseline conditions that could be used in the future to evaluate the effectiveness of the Washington State PBDE Chemical Action Plan and other efforts to reduce PBDE inputs to the environment.

Specific objectives were to:

1. Measure PBDE concentrations in fish fillets from 20 waterbodies, three species each.
2. Measure PBDE concentrations in one water column sample representative of 10 of the fish collection sites.
3. Assess seasonal changes in PBDE levels at six of the water sampling sites.
4. Rank the waterbodies in terms of PBDE contamination.
5. Identify spatial, species, and temporal patterns in the environmental distribution and accumulation of PBDEs.

Some of the fish tissue data evaluated as part of the Statewide PBDE Survey were obtained through the Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program (WSTMP; www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/toxics/wstmp.html) and through a focused survey of chemical contaminants in Spokane River fish (Serdar and Johnson, 2006), both also conducted by Ecology's Environmental Assessment Program. The timing, field procedures, and chemical analysis methods for all three efforts were the same.

## Study Design

Waterbodies where Ecology collected samples for the Statewide PBDE Survey are shown in Figure 4. The survey focused primarily on waterbodies that drain large areas and are a significant fisheries resource. Ten rivers or impoundments and ten lakes were selected to represent a range of land use types including urban, agricultural, and forested (Table 2). An attempt was made to distribute the sampling effort equitably across the state.

There was an emphasis on the Columbia River system, not only due to its size and importance, but also because of the reports of rapidly increasing PBDE levels in upper Columbia River fish, as previously described. Two rivers, the Queets and Methow, and two lakes, Ozette and Bead, were selected as likely representing present-day background for PBDEs, given their location and surrounding land use. The Lake Ozette fish tissue data used in the present report are based on samples analyzed by Ecology through the WSTMP in 2004.

An effort was made to collect at least three species from each waterbody. Gamefish were preferentially taken, with other less sought after species such as carp, suckers, or pikeminnow retained when needed to obtain the target sample size. Where possible, the samples included both predators and bottom feeders to cover a range of trophic levels. The fish were collected during August - November 2005. The lipid (fat) content of many species represents a reservoir for PBDEs and is generally highest at this time (EPA, 2000a).

Fillets were analyzed for all species. Each sample typically consisted of composited fillets from four to five individual fish; a few samples were two or three fish composites. Limited numbers of whole-body composites were also analyzed. Whole fish is probably a worst-case sample for PBDEs. A total of 63 fillet samples and five whole fish samples were analyzed for the Statewide Survey.

Water column concentrations of PBDEs were estimated for 10 of the rivers and lakes, as indicated in Figure 4. Because PBDEs have very low water solubility, a passive sampling technique using a standardized semipermeable membrane device (SPMD) was employed to concentrate sufficient PBDE residues for measurement.

An SPMD consists of a tubular, layflat, low-density polyethylene (LDPE) membrane containing a thin film of a high-molecular weight lipid (triolein). The LDPE tubing mimics a biological membrane by allowing selective diffusion of hydrophobic organic compounds into the lipid. SPMDs sequester the dissolved, readily bioaccumulative form of a chemical and provide lower detection limits than traditional water sampling techniques. Studies on other halogenated compounds such as PCBs have shown that concentrations determined from SPMDs are comparable to other, more elaborate, low-level sampling methods such as solid-phase and liquidliquid extraction (Ellis et al., 1995; Rantalainen et al., 1998; Hyne et al., 2004). Details of SPMD theory, construction, and application can be found at wwwaux.cerc.cr.usgs.gov/spmd/spmd_overview.htm.


Figure 4. Rivers and Lakes Sampled During Ecology's 2005-06 Statewide PBDE Survey

Table 2. Rivers and Lakes Sampled During Ecology's 2005-06 Statewide PBDE Survey

| Waterbody | Fish <br> Samples | Water <br> Samples | WRIA* | County | Drainage Area <br> (sq. miles) | Predominant <br> Land Use |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rivers/Impoundments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spokane River | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\dagger}$ | 54 | Spokane | 5,200 | urban |
| Lower Columbia River | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\dagger}$ | 25 | Cowlitz | 256,900 | urban |
| Snohomish River | x |  | 7 | Snohomish | 1,720 | urban |
| Duwamish River | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\dagger}$ | 9 | King | 483 | urban |
| Snake River | x |  | 33 | Walla Walla | 108,500 | agriculture |
| Yakima River | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\dagger}$ | 37 | Benton | 6,120 | agriculture |
| Middle Columbia River | x | x | 31 | Benton | $2,214,000$ | agriculture |
| Upper Columbia River | x | x | 58 | Stevens | 64,500 | forested |
| Methow River** | x |  | 48 | Okanogan | 1,772 | forested |
| Queets River** | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\dagger}$ | 21 | Jefferson | 143 | forested |
| Lakes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lake Washington | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\dagger}$ | 8 | King | 472 | urban |
| Vancouver Lake | x |  | 28 | Clark | 39 | urban |
| Lake Sacajawea | x |  | 26 | Cowlitz | 6 | urban |
| Lake Chelan | x |  | 47 | Chelan | 924 | agriculture |
| Rock Lake | x |  | 54 | Whitman | 523 | agriculture |
| Potholes Reservoir | x | x | 41 | Grant | 4,551 | agriculture |
| Lake Whatcom | x |  | 1 | Whatcom | 56 | forested |
| Mayfield Lake | x |  | 26 | Cowlitz | 1,400 | forested |
| Bead Lake** | x |  | 62 | Pend Oreille | 9 | forested |
| Lake Ozette** | x | x | 20 | Clallam | 78 | forested |

*WRIA = Water Resource Inventory Area
${ }^{\dagger}$ Collected August-September 2005 and March-April 2006, otherwise August-September 2005 only
**Background site for present study

One five-membrane SPMD array was deployed at each of the 10 sites for approximate one month during August - September 2005. For the six sites indicated in Table 2, a second set of SPMDs was deployed during March - April 2006 to assess the magnitude of seasonal changes in PBDE levels due to runoff or other factors.

All fish and SPMD samples were analyzed for PBDE-47, -49, -66, $-71,-99,-100,-138,-153$, $-154,-183,-184,-190$, and -209 . The fish samples were also analyzed for percent lipids. Water samples for total suspended solids (TSS) and total organic carbon (TOC), as well as field measurements of temperature and specific conductivity, were taken to characterize water quality at each SPMD site.

This study was conducted according to a Quality Assurance Project Plan (Johnson and Seiders, 2005).
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## Methods

## Field Procedures

## Fish Samples

Fish were collected by electro-shocking, gill net, dip net, or hook \& line. Specimens retained for analysis met Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) size limit restrictions or, in their absence, were judged large enough to be retained for consumption. The latitude and longitude of the sampling sites were recorded from a GPS receiver or taken from USGS quad maps. Detailed information on sampling methods and site locations for all of Ecology's 2005 PBDE fish samples is provided in Appendix A-1.

Fish selected for analysis were killed by a blow to the head. Each fish was given a unique identifying number and its length and weight recorded. The fish were individually wrapped in aluminum foil, put in plastic bags, and placed on ice for transport to Ecology headquarters, where the samples were frozen pending preparation of the tissue samples. Chain-of-custody was maintained.

Appendix B has the data on length, weight, age, and sex of each fish analyzed for PBDEs by Ecology in 2005. The accepted common and scientific name for each species are listed in Appendix C.

## SPMD Samples

Deployment and retrieval procedures for SPMDs followed the guidance in Huckins et al. (2000). Standard SPMDs ( $91 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ thin-walled, layflat polyethylene tube containing 1 mL triolein) and the stainless steel canisters ( $16.5 \times 29 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and carriers that hold the membranes during deployment were obtained from Environmental Sampling Technologies (EST), St. Joseph, MO (www.est-lab.com/index.php).

EST spiked the membranes with 0.2 ng each of PCB-4 and PCB-29 for use as Performance Reference Compounds (PRCs). PRCs are analytically non-interfering compounds with moderate to relatively high fugacity (escape tendency). The loss rate of PRCs is proportional to the uptake of target compounds. PRC loss rates in the field are used to derive an exposure adjustment factor to calibrate for the effects of water velocity, biofouling, and temperature on SPMD sampling rates. A high rate of PRC loss translates into a lower calculated water column concentration for target compounds because the chemical residues in the SPMD represent a larger volume of water, and vice versa. PCB-4 and -29 are not found in significant amounts in commercial PCB mixtures or environmental samples. The spiking solutions were prepared by the Ecology Manchester Laboratory.

The SPMDs were preloaded onto the carriers by EST in a clean room and shipped in solventrinsed metal cans under argon atmosphere. Five SPMDs were used in each canister, with one canister per sampling site. The SPMDs were kept frozen until deployed.

On arriving at the sampling site, the cans were pried open, carriers slid into the canisters, and the device anchored and tethered in place. Because SPMDs are potent air samples, the procedure was done as quickly as possible, typically a minute or less. Field personnel wore nitrile gloves and did not touch the membranes.

The SPMDs were deployed for approximately 28 days. The retrieval procedure was essentially the opposite of deployment. Cans holding the SPMDs were sealed and shipped to EST for extraction. The SPMDs were kept at or near freezing and arrived at EST within 24 hours of retrieval. Chain-of-custody was maintained.

An Onset StowAway Tidbit was attached to each canister to monitor temperature. Grab samples were taken for TSS and TOC at the beginning, middle, and end of the deployment period. Conductivity was measured with a field meter. The latitude and longitude of each sampling site was recorded from a GPS receiver. Appendix A-2 has descriptions of the SPMD deployment sites.

## Laboratory Procedures

## Fish Tissue

Fish tissue samples were prepared following the guidance in EPA (2000a). Techniques to minimize potential for sample contamination were used. People preparing the samples wore non-talc nitrile gloves and worked on heavy-duty aluminum foil or a polyethylene cutting board. The gloves and foil were changed between samples and the cutting board cleaned between samples, as described below.

The fish were thawed enough to remove the foil wrapper and rinsed with tap water, then deionized water to remove any adhering debris. The entire fillet from one or both sides of each fish (depending on its size) was removed with stainless steel knives and homogenized in a Kitchen-Aid or Hobart commercial blender. The fillets were skin-off for catfish, and scaled with skin-on for other species, as recommended by EPA (2000a). Whole fish samples were homogenized in the Hobart blender without scaling. The sex of each fish was recorded and hard structures (scales, otoliths, opercles, dorsal, and/or pectoral spines as appropriate for each species) saved for aging. Fish ages were determined by John Sneva and Lucinda Morrow of the WDFW.

Four to five individual fish were used for each composite sample. To the extent possible, the length of the smallest fish in a composite was no less than $75 \%$ of the length of the largest fish. The composites were prepared using equal weight aliquots from each fish. The pooled tissues were homogenized to uniform color and consistency, using a minimum of three passes through the blender. The homogenates were placed in $4-\mathrm{oz}$. glass jars with Teflon lid liners, cleaned to EPA (1990) quality assurance / quality control specifications.

Cleaning of resecting instruments, cutting boards, and blender parts was done by washing in tap water with Liquinox detergent, followed by sequential rinses with tap water, deionized water, and pesticide-grade acetone. The items were then air dried on aluminum foil in a fume hood before use.

The tissue samples were refrozen for shipment with chain-of-custody record to the Ecology Manchester Laboratory. The samples were stored frozen at Manchester until analyzed.

## Chemical Analysis

All project samples were analyzed at the Ecology Manchester Laboratory following the methods shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Laboratory Procedures for Ecology 2005-06 PBDE Samples

| Sample <br> Matrix | Analysis | Sample Prep <br> Method | Analytical <br> Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish tissue | PBDEs | EPA 3540 | EPA 8270 |
| $"$ | Lipids | extraction | EPA 608.5 |
| SPMDs | PBDEs | dialysis/GPC | EPA 8270 |
| $"$ | PCB-4,-29 | $"$ | EPA 8082 |
| Whole water | TSS | N/A | EPA 160.2 |
| $" "$ | TOC | N/A | EPA 415.1 |

The fish tissue samples were analyzed following Manchester Laboratory's standard operating procedure for PBDEs. The samples were extracted with methylene chloride/acetone by EPA SW-846 Method 3540 then solvent exchanged to iso-octane. The extracts were analyzed by capillary gas chromatography with mass spectral detection (GC/MS/MS) following EPA SW-846 Method 8270.

The SPMD samples were extracted (referred to as dialysis) at EST. Prior to extraction, the membranes were spiked with a PBDE surrogate compound (decachlorobiphenyl). The extracts were cleaned up by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). EST's dialysis and GPC methods are documented in standard operating procedures on file at Ecology Headquarters. EST ampoulized the extracts and shipped them to Manchester Laboratory. Manchester analyzed the extracts by EPA SW-846 Method 8270 .
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## Data Quality

Manchester Laboratory prepared written case narratives assessing the quality of the data collected for this project. The reviews include a description of analytical methods and an assessment of holding times, tuning, initial and continuing calibration verification (CCV) and degradation checks, method blanks, matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries, laboratory control samples (LCS), surrogate recoveries, laboratory duplicates, and standard reference materials (SRM). The reviews and the complete Manchester data reports are available on request.

An overview of data quality for all of Ecology's 2005-06 PBDE samples - Statewide Survey, WSTMP, Spokane River Study fish samples, and SPMD samples - follows.

## Fish Tissue Samples

Relatively few problems were encountered in analyzing PBDEs in fish tissue. All samples were analyzed within method holding times. No target compounds were detected in the method blanks.

Instrument tuning and calibration were within quality control (QC) limits, except that results for PBDE-66 in the Statewide PBDE Survey samples were rejected (REJ flag) due to high CCV recoveries. PBDE-66 was infrequently detected in other sample sets and is not a major constituent or breakdown product of PBDE flame retardants.

Selected samples were spiked with PBDE target compounds to assess bias due to matrix effects and provide an estimate of precision. MS/MSD recoveries were within established QC limits of $50-150 \%$. The relative percent difference (RPD) between MS/MSDs was $\leq 40 \%$ for most samples. All MS/MSDs for PBDE Survey samples had poor recoveries of PBDE-66, and all sample results for this congener were rejected. All spike recoveries of PBDE Survey sample number 512007 (Duwamish River largescale sucker fillet) were affected by unknown constituents in the matrix. A re-analysis gave the same outcome. All results for PBDE-49, $-71,-47,-99,-100$, and -66 in this sample were therefore rejected, and results for other PBDE compounds were qualified as estimates (J flag). Six other samples slightly exceeded QC limits for the MS/MSD as shown in Table 4. Results for these compounds were qualified as estimates.

Decachlorobiphenyl was spiked into all samples as a PBDE surrogate for estimating the recovery of target compounds. Recoveries fell within QC limits of 50-150\% except $171 \%$ in sample number 024741 (Potholes Reservoir lake whitefish) and 33\% in sample number 512015 (Mid Columbia River channel catfish). PBDE results for these samples were qualified as estimates.

Table 4. Fish Tissue Samples Outside QC Limits for Matrix Spikes and Matrix Spike Duplicates

| Project | Sample No. | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 49 | 99 | 100 | 138 | 184 | 209 |
| PBDE Survey | 522022 | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS 167\% } \\ \text { MSD 240\% } \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| " | 522025 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS 31\% } \\ \text { MSD 32\% } \end{gathered}$ |
| WSTMP | 514705 | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD 32\% } \\ & \text { MSD 32\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD 50\% } \\ & \text { MSD 47\% } \end{aligned}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| " | 524717 | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS 44\% } \\ \text { MSD 49\% } \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| " | 54756 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS 170\% } \\ & \text { MSD 173\% } \end{aligned}$ | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- |
| Spokane River | 494256 | -- | MS 44\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| " | 494246 | -- | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & 45 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & 49 \% \end{aligned}$ | -- |

A standard reference material - National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) SRM \#1946, Lake Superior Fish Tissue - was extracted with each batch of WSTMP samples. PBDE concentrations are not certified in this material, but NIST provides reference values for congeners -47, -99, and -153 (Stapleton et al., 2004a). The recoveries achieved by Manchester are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. PBDE Recoveries in NIST Standard Reference Material \#1946

| Project | Sample | PBDE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Batch | 47 | 99 | 153 |
| WSTMP | $\# 1$ | $83-85 \%$ | $87-92 \%$ | $65-67 \%$ |
| " | $\# 2$ | $81-94 \%$ | $93-112 \%$ | $41-49 \%$ |
| Reference | - | 31 | 19.4 | 5.1 |
| Value (ug/Kg) | - |  |  |  |

No data qualifiers were assigned due to the somewhat low recovery of PBDE-153 in WSTMP batch \#2 since the SRM values are not certified, and recoveries in matrix spikes and LCS samples did not indicate a problem with this analyte.

As previously noted, the PBDE data used for Lake Ozette fish in the present report were collected by the WSTMP in 2004. The results for Lake Ozette sample 5084304 (northern pikeminnow) were rejected for use due to instrument problems during the analysis.

The precision of the PBDE data reported here can be gaged from results on duplicate (split) samples (Table 6). The duplicates were submitted blind to the laboratory. On average, the PBDE concentrations measured in duplicate samples agreed within $25 \%$.

Table 6. Precision of Duplicate Sample Analyses (RPD) [other PBDEs not detected]

| Species/Tissue | Sample | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | 47 | 49 | 66 | 99 | 100 | 153 | 154 |
| Rainbow trout - fillet | 5494230 | $49 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Mountain whitefish - fillet | 5494235 | $24 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Mountain whitefish - fillet | 6024749 | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Cutthroat trout - fillet | 5514705 | $11 \%$ | $19 \%$ | ND | $6 \%$ | $2 \%$ | ND | ND |
| Common carp - fillet | 5524717 | $3 \%$ | $16 \%$ | ND | ND | $4 \%$ | ND | $9 \%$ |
| Smallmouth bass - fillet | 6054756 | $0 \%$ | $24 \%$ | ND | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ | ND | ND |
| Northern pikeminnow-fillet | 5522022 | $22 \%$ | $57 \%$ | REJ | ND | $17 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Largescale sucker - fillet | 5512010 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Largescale sucker - whole | 5494245 | $30 \%$ | $60 \%$ | ND | $52 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Largescale sucker - whole | 5494248 | $18 \%$ | $29 \%$ | ND | ND | $23 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Largescale sucker - whole | 5494251 | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ | ND | ND | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

RPD = relative percent difference (range of duplicates as percent of mean)
$\mathrm{ND}=$ not detected
REJ = data rejected

## SPMD Samples

The SPMD samples were analyzed within the one-year holding time established by Manchester Laboratory for sample extracts. Instrument tuning, calibration, internal standards, and matrix spikes were within QC limits. Surrogate recoveries were within acceptance limits except for sample number 394092 (Lake Washington, fall 2005) which had $43 \%$ recovery. All results for this sample were qualified as estimates. No PBDEs were detected in Manchester method blanks.

Because SPMDs sample vapors while being exposed to air, field blanks were used to assess chemical accumulation during deployment and retrieval. The field blank consisted of five membranes in an argon-filled stainless steel can. It was opened to the air for the average amount of time it took to open and place the SPMDs in the water. The blank was then resealed and refrozen. It was taken back into the field and opened and closed again to mimic the retrieval process. The blank was prepared, processed, and analyzed the same as deployed SPMDs.

There was one field blank for each sampling period. The total time each blank was exposed to air ranged from approximately one to two minutes. The field blank exposure site was the Queets River.

Several PBDEs were detected in the field blank for both the fall 2005 and spring 2006 deployments (Table 7). The concentrations were similar to those in EST's procedural blanks. All of the SPMD PBDE data in the present report were corrected for the field blank by subtracting that amount from the sample concentrations.

Table 7. PBDE Concentrations Detected in SPMD Field Blanks (ng/SPMD)

| Sample Set: | Aug.-Sept. 2005 | Mar.-Apr. 2006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Sample No: | 394095 | 164254 |  |
| PBDE-047 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |  |
| PBDE-049 | 2 | U | 2 |
| PBDE-066 | 2 | U | 5 |
| PBDE-071 | 2 | U | 2 |
| PBDE-099 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | U |  |
| PBDE-100 | $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ |  |
| PBDE-138 | 4 | U | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ |
| JBDE-153 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ | 4 | U |
| PBDE-154 | $\mathbf{1}$ | J | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ |
| JBDE-183 | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 2 | U |
| PBDE-184 | 4 | U | 4 |
| PBDE-191 | 4 | U | 4 |
| PBDE-209 | 50 | U | 4 |

$\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
J = Estimated value

Two separate SPMD arrays were deployed in the Queets River and Spokane River during fall 2005 to provide estimates of variability (field + laboratory). The PBDE residues accumulated in the replicates compared closely, as shown in Table 8.

Table 8. PBDE Concentrations Measured in Replicate SPMDs from the August - September 2005 Deployments (ng/SPMD; field blank corrected)

| Location: | Queets River |  | Spokane River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample No: | 394093 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { (rep.) } \\ 394094 \end{gathered}$ | 404113 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (rep.) } \\ 404114 \end{gathered}$ |
| PBDE-47 | 2 U | 7.9 | 523 | 572 |
| PBDE-49 | 2 U | 2 U | 28 | 15 |
| PBDE-66 | 2 U | 2 U | 12 | 12 |
| PBDE-71 | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U |
| PBDE-99 | 4.0 | 11 | 213 | 225 |
| PBDE-100 | 2 U | 2 U | 52 | 55 |
| PBDE-138 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-153 | 4 U | 4 U | 8.7 | 6.3 |
| PBDE-154 | 4 U | 4 U | 9.2 | 8.3 |
| PBDE-183 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-184 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-191 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-209 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| PCB-4 (\% recov.) | 22 | 42 | 40 | 30 |
| PCB-29 (\% recov.) | 50 | 83 | 74 | 70 |

$\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
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## Results ${ }^{2}$ and Discussion

## PBDE Concentrations in Fish Samples

## Statewide PBDE Survey

During Ecology's Statewide PBDE Survey, fish were collected from 20 major rivers/ impoundments and lakes throughout Washington between June and November 2005. Three to four species were typically analyzed for each waterbody, one composite fillet sample for each species. The individual sample results are provided in Table 9.

[^1]Table 9. PBDE Concentrations Measured in Composite Fish Fillets Collected During Ecology's Statewide Survey (ug/Kg, wet weight).

| Waterbody | Date | Sample No. | Species | PBDEs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipids (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 47 | 49 | 66 | 71 | 99 | 100 | 138 | 153 | 154 | 183 | 184 | 191 | 209 | Total |  |
| Bead Lake | 10/26/05 | 5514700 | Burbot | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 1.0 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 6.2 U | 0 | 0.4 |
| Bead Lake | 10/26/05 | 5514701 | Kokanee | 1.1 | 0.27 J | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 1.0 | 0.25 J | 0.95 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.95 U | 0.95 U | 0.95 U | 5.9 U | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| Bead Lake | 10/26/05 | 5514702 | Northern pikeminnow | 3.1 | 0.48 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.50 | 0.99 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 6.2 U | 4.1 | 8.2 |
| Bead Lake | 10/26/05 | 5514703 | Peamouth | 0.29 J | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.88 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.88 U | 0.88 U | 0.88 U | 5.5 U | 0.29 | 1.4 |
| Bead Lake | 10/26/05 | 5512000 | Largescale sucker | 0.12 J | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.19 J | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.17 J | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 1.1 U | 0.48 | 1.36 |
| Ozette Lake | 10/06/04 | 5084302 | Cutthroat trout | 0.48 U | NA | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | NA | NA | 6.0 U | 0 | 1.7 |
| Ozette Lake | 10/06/04 | 5084303 | Largemouth bass | 0.49 U | NA | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | NA | NA | 6.1 U | 0 | 0.67 |
| Ozette Lake | 10/06/04 | 5084305 | Yellow perch | 0.47 U | NA | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | NA U | NA | 5.9 U | 0 | 0.45 |
| Duwamish River | 08/31/05 | 5522018 | Northern pikeminnow | 4.6 | 0.20 U | REJ | 0.20 U | 0.20 U | 0.42 | 0.40 U | 0.21 J | 0.37 J | 0.40 U | 0.40 U | 0.40 U | 1.0 U | 5.6 | 2.2 |
| Lake Chelan | 10/06/05 | 5512001 | Cutthroat trout | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | REJ | 0.21 U | 0.14 J | 0.21 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 1.1 U | 0.14 | 1.3 |
| Lake Chelan | 10/05/05 | 5512002 | Kokanee | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.84 | 0.22 U | 0.43 U | 0.11 J | 0.078 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| Lake Washington | 06/28/05 | 5512012 | Largescale sucker | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.56 J | 0.56 | 2.4 |
| Lake Washington | 06/28/05 | 5512013 | Largescale sucker | 22 | 0.30 | REJ | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | 6.5 | 0.43 U | 0.45 | 2.2 | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 31 | 3.3 |
| Lake Washington | 06/28/05 | 5524717 | Common carp | 39 | 6.75 J | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 8.4 J | 0.96 U | 0.48 U | 1.2 | 0.96 U | 0.96 U | 0.96 U | 6.0 U | 55 | 9.0 |
| Lake Whatcom | 10/12/05 | 5524729 | Peamouth | 1.1 | 0.35 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.46 J | 0.97 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 6.1 U | 2 | 2.1 |
| Lake Whatcom | 10/12/05 | 6024747 | Cutthroat trout | 6.6 | 0.88 J | 0.33 J | 0.48 U | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.97 U | 0.31 J | 0.26 J | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 6.0 U | 13 | 2.8 |
| Lake Whatcom | 10/12/05 | 6024748 | Yellow perch | 0.17 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.97 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 6.1 U | 0.17 | 0.5 |
| Lake Whatcom | 10/12/05 | 6024750 | Smallmouth bass | 3.5 | 0.38 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.99 U | 0.17 J | 0.11 J | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 6.2 U | 5.4 | 2.4 |
| Lake Whatcom | 10/12/05 | 5522020 | Brown bullhead | 0.35 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.69 | 0.22 U | 0.43 U | 0.11 J | 0.091 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 1.2 | 2.1 |
| Lower Columbia R. | 08/30/05 | 5524720 | Peamouth | 7.1 | 0.78 J | 0.50 U | 0.5 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 0.32 J | 0.23 J | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 6.2 U | 8.4 | 1.6 |
| Lower Columbia R. | 08/30/05 | 6024738 | Northern pikeminnow | 13 | 0.71 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 2.6 | 0.98 U | 0.19 J | 0.25 J | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 6.1 U | 17 | 2.0 |
| Lower Columbia R. | 08/30/05 | 5512006 | Largescale sucker | 25 | 0.12 | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 4.6 | 0.43 U | 0.53 | 0.70 | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 31 | 2.6 |
| Mayfield Lake | 09/15/05 | 5524721 | Largemouth bass | 1.5 | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.52 | 0.48 U | 0.97 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 6.0 U | 2.0 | 0.9 |
| Mayfield Lake | 09/15/05 | 5524722 | Northern pikeminnow | 1.9 | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.41 J | 0.98 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 6.1 U | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Mayfield Lake | 09/15/05 | 5524723 | Yellow perch | 0.38 J | 0.5 U | 0.50 U | 0.5 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 0.5 U | 0.5 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 6.2 U | 0.38 | 0.5 |
| Mayfield Lake | 09/15/05 | 5512008 | Largescale sucker | 2.2 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.17 J | 0.43 U | 0.08 J | 0.18 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| Methow River | 10/20/05 | 5524724 | Cutthroat trout | 1.4 | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.71 | 0.45 J | 0.98 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 6.1 U | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Methow River | 10/20/05 | 6024740 | Mountain whitefish | 4.2 | 0.49 U | 0.29 J | 0.49 U | 5.2 | 1.4 | 0.99 U | 0.21 J | 0.18 J | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 6.2 U | 11 | 3.9 |
| Middle Columbia R. | 11/15/05 | 5512014 | Yellow perch | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 1.1 U | 0 | 0.56 |
| Middle Columbia R. | 11/15/05 | 5512015 | Channel catfish | 11 | 0.87 U | REJ | 0.87 U | 5.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 U | 0.74 J | 0.52 J | 1.7 U | 1.7 U | 1.7 U | 4.3 U | 21 | 25 |
| Middle Columbia R. | 11/15/05 | 5512016 | Largescale sucker | 8.2 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 1.8 | 0.44 U | 0.18 J | 0.40 J | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 1.1 U | 11 | 6.4 |
| Spokane River | 09/29/05 | 5494257 | Bridgelip sucker | 59 | 0.90 | 0.29 | 0.21 U | 0.46 | 10 | 0.42 U | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 2.6 U | 76 | 1.5 |
| Spokane River | 09/29/05 | 5494269 | Rainbow trout | 182 | 6.7 | 5.3 | 0.22 U | 172 | 39 | 0.33 U | 7.5 | 5.1 | 0.25 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 UJ | 417 | 2.1 |
| Spokane River | 09/29/05 | 5494271 | Mountain whitefish | 443 | 13 | 14 | 0.21 U | 449 | 111 | 0.25 NJ | 17 | 11 | 0.58 | 0.21 NJ | 0.42 U | 1.0 UJ | 1059 | 3.6 |

Table 9 (cont.). PBDE Concentrations Measured in Composite Fish Fillets Collected During Ecology's Statewide Survey (ug/Kg, wet weight).

| Waterbody | Date | Sample No. | Species | PBDEs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipids (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 47 | 49 | 66 | 71 | 99 | 100 | 138 | 153 | 154 | 183 | 184 | 191 | 209 | Total |  |
| Potholes Reservoir | 10/25/05 | 6024741 | Lake whitefish | 1.2 J | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.67 J | 0.47 U | 0.95 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.95 U | 0.95 U | 0.95 U | 5.9 U | 1.9 | 17 |
| Potholes Reservoir | 10/26/05 | 6024742 | Smallmouth bass | 0.41 J | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.21 J | 0.44 U | 0.88 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.88 U | 0.88 U | 0.88 U | 5.5 U | 0.62 | 1.9 |
| Potholes Reservoir | 10/25/05 | 6024743 | Walleye | 0.46 J | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 6.2 U | 0.46 | 1.7 |
| Potholes Reservoir | 10/25/05 | 5512009 | Largescale sucker | 0.68 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 0.68 | 8.1 |
| Queets River | 11/21/05 | 5522028 | Mountain whitefish | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | REJ | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.84 U | 0.84 U | 0.84 U | 0.84 U | 0.84 U | 0.84 U | 2.1 U | 0 | 2.2 |
| Rock Lake | 08/23/05 | 5524725 | Brown trout | 0.31 J | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.29 J | 0.48 U | 0.97 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 6.1 U | 0.6 | 4.2 |
| Rock Lake | 08/23/05 | 5524726 | Largemouth bass | 0.28 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.30 J | 0.49 U | 0.98 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 6.1 U | 0.58 | 1.0 |
| Rock Lake | 08/24/05 | 5524727 | Yellow perch | 0.44 J | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.94 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.94 U | 0.94 U | 0.94 U | 5.9 U | 0.44 | 0.8 |
| Rock Lake | 8/23/2005 | 5512010 | Largescale sucker | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 0 | 2.7 |
| Sacajawea Lake | 09/14/05 | 5514715 | Grass carp | 0.56 | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.5 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 6.2 U | 0.56 | 1.2 |
| Sacajawea Lake | 09/14/05 | 6024744 | Largemouth bass | 0.71 | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.15 J | 0.47 U | 0.95 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.95 U | 0.95 U | 0.95 U | 5.9 U | 0.86 | 1.0 |
| Sacajawea Lake | 09/14/05 | 5522019 | Brown bullhead | 0.29 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.16 J | 0.22 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 1.1 U | 0.45 | 0.76 |
| Snake River | 11/14/05 | 5524730 | Yellow perch | 0.40 | 0.20 J | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.99 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 6.2 U | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Snake River | 11/14/05 | 5524731 | Peamouth | 1.7 | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.78 | 0.98 U | 0.49 U | 0.49 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 0.98 U | 2.5 | 1.8 |
| Snake River | 11/14/05 | 6024751 | Common carp | 22 | 2.6 J | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 0.48 U | 5.5 | 0.96 U | 0.48 U | 0.43 J | 0.96 U | 0.96 U | 0.96 U | 6.0 U | 30 | 5.4 |
| Snake River | 11/14/05 | 5522021 | Largescale sucker | 4.0 | 0.21 U | REJ | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | 0.27 | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.25 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 4.5 | 4.0 |
| Snohomish River | 09/01/05 | 5524728 | Cutthroat trout | 16 | 1.7 J | 0.48 J | 0.49 U | 3.3 | 2.9 | 0.99 U | 0.45 J | 0.35 J | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 0.99 U | 6.2 U | 26 | 3.6 |
| Snohomish River | 09/01/05 | 6024746 | Northern pikeminnow | 7.3 | 0.37 J | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 0.47 U | 1.6 | 0.94 U | 0.10 J | 0.20 J | 0.94 U | 0.94 U | 0.94 U | 2.5 J | 12 | 2.5 |
| Snohomish River | 09/01/05 | 6024745 | Mountain whitefish | 13 | 0.82 J | 0.76 | 0.48 U | 14 | 3.5 | 0.97 U | 0.65 J | 0.47 J | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 0.97 U | 6.1 U | 32 | 4.1 |
| Snohomish River | 09/01/05 | 5512011 | Largescale sucker | 8.5 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 1.5 | 0.43 U | 0.20 J | 0.55 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.62 J | 11 | 2.4 |
| Upper Columbia R. | 10/20/05 | 5512003 | Largescale sucker | 7.7 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 1.4 | 0.44 U | 0.12 J | 0.58 | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 1.1 U | 9.8 | 2.8 |
| Upper Columbia R. | 10/20/05 | 5512004 | Rainbow trout | 0.92 | 0.21 U | REJ | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 0.92 | 3.3 |
| Upper Columbia R. | 10/20/05 | 5512005 | Walleye | 1.3 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.20 J | 0.22 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 1.5 | 0.70 |
| Upper Columbia R. | 09/13/05 | 5522027 | Lake whitefish | 10 | 0.21 U | REJ | 0.21 U | 5.4 | 1.7 | 0.43 U | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 18 | 11 |
| Vancouver Lake | 12/05/05 | 5522026 | Largescale sucker | 2.1 | 0.21 U | REJ | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | 0.21 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.19 J | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 1.0 U | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| Yakima River | 11/16/05 | 5512017 | Common carp | 2.7 | 0.22 U | REJ | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.22 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.13 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 U | 2.8 | 2.6 |
| Yakima River | 11/16/05 | 5522023 | Largescale sucker | 20 | 0.82 | REJ | 0.21 | 0.21 U | 5.9 | 0.42 U | 0.45 | 1.3 | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 0.42 U | 1.0 U | 29 | 8.4 |
| Yakima River | 11/16/05 | 5522025 | Smallmouth bass | 6.2 | 0.32 | REJ | 0.22 U | 1.1 | 0.71 | 0.43 U | 0.13 J | 0.18 J | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 0.43 U | 1.1 UJ | 8.6 | 1.1 |
| Yakima River | 11/16/05 | 5522022 | Northern pikeminnow | 6.6 | 0.14 J | REJ | 0.22 | 0.22 U | 1.8 J | 0.44 U | 0.23 J | 0.42 J | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.44 U | 0.26 J | 9.1 | 2.1 |

$\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
J = Estimated value
$\mathrm{UJ}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit. Quantitation limit is approximate.
REJ = Data rejected
NA = Not analyzed

Table 10 shows a statistical summary of the data. The reporting limit was used to calculate means and medians for non-detects. In these and subsequent tables and figures, the term total PBDEs refers to the sum of detected congeners.

Table 10. Statistical Summary of PBDE Data on Composite Fish Fillets Analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey (ug/Kg wet weight, parts per billion).

| PBDE | No. of <br> Samples | No. of <br> Detections | Detection <br> Frequency | Minimum | Median | Mean | $90^{\text {th }}$ <br> $\%$ | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -47 | 63 | 53 | $84 \%$ | 0.17 | 1.5 | 22 | 27 | 443 |
| $-49^{*}$ | 60 | 24 | $33 \%$ | 0.14 | $<0.49$ | 1.3 | 2.1 | 13 |
| -66 | $36^{\dagger}$ | 7 | $19 \%$ | 0.29 | $<0.49$ | 1.0 | 0.50 | 14 |
| -71 | 63 | 2 | $3 \%$ | $<0.21$ | $<0.48$ | $<0.45$ | $<0.49$ | 0.22 |
| -99 | 63 | 24 | $38 \%$ | 0.15 | $<0.49$ | 17 | 4.0 | 449 |
| -100 | 63 | 32 | $51 \%$ | 0.17 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 111 |
| -138 | 63 | 1 | $2 \%$ | 0.25 | $<0.97$ | $<0.90$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| -153 | 63 | 25 | $40 \%$ | 0.10 | $<0.48$ | 1.1 | 0.70 | 17 |
| -154 | 63 | 31 | $49 \%$ | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.88 | 0.70 | 11 |
| -183 | 63 | 2 | $3 \%$ | 0.25 | $<0.97$ | $<0.88$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| $-184^{*}$ | 60 | 1 | $2 \%$ | 0.21 | $<0.97$ | $<0.91$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| $-191^{*}$ | 60 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $<0.42$ | $<0.97$ | $<0.91$ | $<1.0$ | $<1.0$ |
| -209 | 63 | 4 | $6 \%$ | 0.26 | $<6.1$ | $<5.3$ | $<6.2$ | $<6.2$ |
| Total | 63 | 59 | $86 \%$ | 0 | 2.8 | 35 | 31 | 1,059 |
| PBDEs | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*these congeners not analyzed in Lake Ozette samples
${ }^{\dagger} 27$ results rejected due to low matrix spike recoveries (see Data Quality)

Sixty-three fillet samples were analyzed in all. Reporting limits were in the range of 0.2 $0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (parts per billion, wet weight basis), except $1-6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ for PBDE-209. The higher reporting limits for PBDE-209 are due to its long retention time and thermal instability, leading to breakdown during analysis. As retention time become longer, the instrument response decreases.

Eighty-six percent of the fillet samples had at least one PBDE detected. The most frequently detected congeners (Figure 5) were PBDE-47 (84\% of samples), PBDE-100 (51\%), and PBDE-154 (49\%). PBDE-49, -66, -99, and -153 were detected in $19-40 \%$ of the samples. Six percent of samples had detectable amounts of PBDE-209. PBDE-71, $-138,-183$, and -184 were infrequently detected ( $3 \%$ or less of samples). PBDE-191 was not found.

The occurrence of PBDE-209 is of particular interest, because unlike Tetra- and Octa-BDEs, it continues to be produced (Deca-BDE) and breaks down into lower, more toxics PBDEs. Due in part to analytical difficulties, PBDE-209 is infrequently detected in biological samples (Hites, 2004). PBDE-209 is poorly accumulated by fish (Dodder et al., 2002).


Figure 5. Detection Frequency of PBDEs in Fish Fillets Analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey ( $\mathrm{N}=63$, except $\mathrm{N}=36$ for PBDE-66)

Figure 6 shows $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile values from the Statewide Survey. Total PBDE concentrations in the majority of fillets were less than $31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Most samples had PBDE-47 concentrations less than $27 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The remaining compounds were generally present at or below $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$.


Figure 6. 90th Percentile Concentrations of PBDEs in Fish Fillets Analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey ( $\mathrm{N}=63$, except $\mathrm{N}=36$ for PBDE-66)

On average, PBDE-47 accounted for $68 \%$ of the total PBDE concentration in fish fillets, followed by PBDE-99 at 16\% (Figure 7). PBDE-49, -100, and -154 averaged $3-9 \%$ of the total. Other PBDEs contributed 1\% or less.


Figure 7. Average Contribution of Individual Congeners to Total PBDE Concentrations in Fish Fillets Analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey.

Figure 8 illustrates how total PBDE levels were distributed across the survey. Approximately $80 \%$ of the samples were clustered around $0-10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, with another approximately $15 \%$ in the vicinity of 20-30 ug/Kg. Four outliers had total PBDE concentrations ranging from $54-$ $1,059 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$.


Figure 8. Histogram Showing Total PBDE Levels in Fish Fillets Analyzed for Ecology’s Statewide Survey (Table 9 data)

Figure 9 plots the mean and range of the total PBDE concentrations for each waterbody. The highest concentrations were found in Spokane River fish where total PBDEs averaged $740 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, an order of magnitude above other rivers and lakes. Bridgelip sucker, rainbow trout, and mountain whitefish were analyzed from this site, with concentrations of 76, 417, and $1,059 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively (Table 9). The second highest levels were recorded for Lake Washington where the three species analyzed averaged $29 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Additional data on PBDEs in Spokane River and Lake Washington fish are presented later in this report.

The next five waterbodies in order of decreasing total PBDE concentrations were the Snohomish River, Lower Columbia River, Yakima River, Middle Columbia River, and Upper Columbia River, at 20, 19, 12, 11, and $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ respectively. Concentrations appeared to increase going downstream in the Columbia.

The remaining 13 waterbodies had average total PBDE concentrations of $9.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ or less. Due to matrix interferences, previously described, useable data were only obtained on one sample from the Duwamish River (northern pikeminnow), and concentrations were relatively low at $5.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs.

PBDEs were not detected in fish from Lake Ozette or the Queets River, the two western Washington areas selected a priori as representing background conditions. Although concentrations for the survey's two eastern Washington background sites - Bead Lake and the Methow River - were relatively low, they were not among the least contaminated waterbodies, particularly the Methow, which averaged $7.0 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs.


Figure 9. Mean and Range of Total PBDE Concentrations in Fish Fillets Analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey

## Other Washington Fish Tissue Data

## 1. Spokane River Study

Ecology conducted an intensive survey of chemical contaminants in Spokane River fish in 2005 (Serdar and Johnson, 2006). The objectives were to provide data to the Washington State Department of Health for an updated fish consumption advisory and to identify spatial and temporal trends in contamination. Composite sportfish fillets and whole largescale suckers were collected from six sites during August - November (Figure 10). PBDEs were included among the analytes.


Figure 10. Locations of Spokane River Fish Samples Analyzed for PBDEs in 2005

The PBDE data from the Spokane River Study are summarized in Table 11. Figure 11 plots the data in downstream order beginning with the Stateline/Plante Ferry reaches and ending in lower Long Lake.

Total PBDEs in the Spokane River ranged from means of $30-1,059 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in sportfish fillets and $95-572 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in whole largescale suckers. Peak concentrations were observed in the Ninemile reach and in upper Long Lake. Concentrations appeared to decrease in lower Long Lake.

Table 11. Summary of PBDE Concentrations Measured in Spokane River Fish Analyzed by Ecology in 2005

| Reach | Species | N* = | Total PBDEs (ug/Kg, ww) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | Range |
| Fillet Samples |  |  |  |  |
| Plante Ferry | Rainbow Trout | 3 | 90 | 65-107 |
| Mission Park | Rainbow Trout | 3 | 30 | 27-32 |
| " | Mountain Whitefish | 3 | 368 | 355-391 |
| Ninemile | Rainbow Trout ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 3 | 418 | 292-564 |
| " | Mountain Whitefish ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 3 | 1,059 | 905-1,222 |
| Upper Long Lake | Mountain Whitefish | 3 | 175 | 161-198 |
| " | Brown Trout | 1 | 159 | -- |
| " | Smallmouth Bass | 1 | 42 | -- |
| Lower Long Lake | Mountain Whitefish | 6 | 122 | 56-228 |
| " | Smallmouth Bass | 3 | 57 | 34-92 |
| Whole Body Samples |  |  |  |  |
| Stateline | Largescale Sucker | 3 | 198 | 169-214 |
| Plante Ferry | Largescale Sucker | 3 | 154 | 84-252 |
| Mission Park | Largescale Sucker | 3 | 95 | 90-98 |
| Ninemile | Bridgelip Sucker | 3 | 522 | 334-708 |
| Upper Long Lake | Largescale Sucker | 3 | 572 | 459-718 |
| Lower Long Lake | Largescale Sucker | 3 | 198 | 90-357 |

*Composites of 4-5 individual fish each, except lower Long Lake mountain whitefish were analyzed individually ww - wet weight
${ }^{\dagger}$ Some of these data were also reported as part of the Statewide Survey (Table 9)


Figure 11. Mean Total PBDE Concentrations in Spokane River Composite Fish Samples Analyzed by Ecology in 2005 [RBT = rainbow trout MWF = mountain whitefish BRT = brown trout SMB = smallmouth bass]

All but a few of the Spokane fish samples substantially exceeded PBDE concentrations observed in other parts of the state. In most cases the concentrations were at least an order of magnitude higher. Substantially elevated PBDE levels appear to extend up to the Idaho border. These results suggest there is a major PBDE source(s) in the Ninemile area and that there also may be significant sources in Idaho. (Dams prevent upstream movement of fish between the Ninemile, Mission Park, and Plante Ferry/Stateline reaches.) Potential sources in Washington include the Spokane wastewater treatment plant, which discharges just above the Ninemile reach, and stormwater runoff from the city of Spokane.

As in the statewide data, PBDE-47, -99, and -100 were the major constituents in Spokane River fish, contributing approximately $56 \%, 23 \%$, and $11 \%$, respectively to the total PBDE concentrations (Figure 12). PBDE 209 was not detected.


Figure 12. Average Contribution of Individual Congeners to Total PBDE Concentrations in Fillet and Whole Fish Samples Analyzed for Ecology's 2005 Spokane River Study.

## 2. Lake Washington Study

The Washington State Department of Health (WDOH) conducted a study in 2005 to obtain chemical contaminant data for use in updating the fish consumption advisory for Lake Washington (www.doh.wa.gov/ehp/oehas/eha_fish_adv.htm). PBDEs were included in the analyses but are not being evaluated for the advisory. The samples were analyzed at Ecology's Manchester Laboratory following the same methods used for Ecology's 2005 samples. PBDE-49 and -193 were not included among the WDOH target compounds.

The WDOH fish were collected over a lake-wide area during May - July. Forty-two composite fillets from six species were analyzed. Each composite consisted of pooled tissues from between three to five individual fish. Cutthroat trout and northern pikeminnow were analyzed by size class. Four composite carp fillets were also analyzed from nearby Green Lake.

The detection frequency and relative contribution of individual PBDE congeners to the total was similar to findings from the Statewide and Spokane River studies. PBDE-209 was not detected
in either the Lake Washington or Green Lake samples. The individual sample data are in Appendix D.

Table 12 summarizes the total PBDE data on Lake Washington and Green Lake.
Concentrations ranged widely depending on the species and size class analyzed. Higher total PBDE concentrations were found in the larger size cutthroat and pikeminnow, averaging 88 and $86 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively. Small individuals of these species had order of magnitude lower concentrations. Substantially elevated PBDE concentrations were observed in some of the large cutthroat and pikeminnow samples, to 126 and $207 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs, respectively.

The four other species analyzed - yellow perch, black crappie, pumpkin seed, and rainbow trout (one sample only) - had low mean concentrations of $0.10-1.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs. Low PBDE concentrations were characteristic of Green Lake carp.

Table 12. Summary of PBDE Concentrations Measured in Composite Fillets from Lake Washington Fish Collected in 2005

| Location/Species | Size Class <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{N}^{*}=$ | Total PBDEs (ug/Kg, ww) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean |
| Lake Washington |  |  | Range |  |
| Cutthroat trout | $>400$ | 7 | 88 | $49-126$ |
| Cutthroat trout | $300-400$ | 3 | 31 | $17-45$ |
| Cutthroat trout | $<300$ | 4 | 9.1 | $3.8-15$ |
| Northern pikeminnow | $>300$ | 4 | 86 | $49-207$ |
| Northern pikeminnow | $<300$ | 3 | 8.8 | $5.0-15$ |
| Yellow perch | all | 10 | 1.5 | $0-7.0$ |
| Black crappie | all | 3 | 1.7 | $0.67-3.2$ |
| Pumpkin seed | all | 7 | 0.10 | $0-0.71$ |
| Rainbow trout | all | 1 | 0.93 | -- |
| Green Lake |  |  |  |  |
| Common carp | all | 4 | 1.2 | $0-2.0$ |

Source: WDOH unpublished data

* Composites of 3-5 individual fish
ww - wet weight


## 3. Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program

WSTMP is a routine fish tissue monitoring program initiated by Ecology in 2001. The goal is to investigate the occurrence and concentrations of toxic contaminants in fish and water samples from freshwater environments where contamination is suspected (www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/eap/toxics/index.html). WSTMP prepares annual reports of the data.

In 2005, WSTMP analyzed PBDEs in composite fish fillets from 12 waterbodies in addition to those included in the data set for the Statewide Survey. Most of these were small lakes, but two large waterbodies, the Palouse River and Cowlitz River, were also sampled. The detection
frequency and relative concentrations of individual PBDE congeners was similar to the statewide data, with the exception that PBDE-209 was not detected.

The PBDE concentrations measured in fish samples from these waterbodies are summarized in Table 13. For the most part, concentrations were at or below approximately $6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs. Relatively high concentrations, however, were observed in the South Fork Palouse River and, to a lesser extent, in the Cowlitz River.

Table 13. Total PBDE Concentrations in Composite Fish Fillets Analyzed from Other Waterbodies Sampled by WSTMP in 2005

| Location | County | Collection Date | Species | Total PBDEs (ug/Kg, wet) | Lipids <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Fork Palouse River | Whitman | 5/24 | Northern pikeminnow | 42 | 1.1 |
| Lower Palouse River | " | 6/23 | Northern pikeminnow | 7.5 | 2.0 |
| North Fork Palouse River | " | 6/9 | Northern pikeminnow | 6.9 | 2.9 |
| Middle Palouse River | " | 6/6 | Smallmouth bass | 4.3 | 0.53 |
| Cowlitz River | Lewis | 8/29 | Mountain whitefish | 24 | 6.8 |
| " | " | 8/29 | Northern pikeminnow | 18 | 1.8 |
| " | " | 8/29 | Cutthroat trout | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Merwin Lake | Clark/Cowlitz | 11/1 | Kokanee | 5.7 | 1.5 |
| - " | " | 11/1 | Northern pikeminnow | 5.6 | 2.1 |
| Haven Lake | Mason | 11/29 | Cutthroat trout | 3.5 | 1.3 |
| " | " | 11/29 | Largemouth bass | 2.8 | 2.3 |
| " | " | 11/29 | Rainbow trout | 1.6 | 0.96 |
| Liberty Lake | Spokane | 10/11 | Smallmouth bass | 3.3 | 1.8 |
| Loon Lake | Stevens | 10/26 | Largemouth bass | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Leland Lake | Jefferson | 9/16 | Largemouth bass | 2.0 | 0.88 |
| " | " | 9/14 | Yellow perch | 0.98 | 0.54 |
| " | " | 9/14 | Bluegill | 0.96 | 0.79 |
| " | " | 9/14 | Black Crappie | 0.90 | 0.84 |
| Rowland Lake | Klickitat | 9/7 | Largemouth bass | 1.6 | 0.79 |
| " | " | 9/7 | Bluegill | 0.98 | 0.62 |
| " | " | 9/7 | Yellow perch | 0.98 | 0.66 |
| Long Lake | Grant | 8/24 | Smallmouth bass | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| " | " | 8/24 | Walleye | 0.79 | 1.3 |
| Northwestern Lake | Klickitat | 11/2 | Rainbow trout | 1.3 | 1.7 |
| Stan Coffin Lake | Grant | 9/6 | Channel catfish | 1.0 | 3.5 |
|  | " | 9/6 | Largemouth bass | 1.0 | 0.66 |
| " | " | 9/6 | Yellow perch | 0.98 | 0.38 |
| Silver Lake | Cowlitz | 9/22 | Largemouth bass | 0.82 | 0.69 |
| " | " | 9/22 | Common carp | 0.80 | 2.0 |
| " | " | 9/22 | Bluegill | 0.76 | 1.7 |
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The single fish sample (northern pikeminnow) analyzed from the South Fork Palouse had a total PBDE concentration of $42 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, which is toward the upper end of the statewide range. The South Fork is particularly influenced by urban pollution sources. Wastewater treatment plant discharges from Moscow, Idaho and Pullman have the potential to account for most of the total river flow during any month of the year (Pelletier, 1993). The three Cowlitz River fish samples had up to $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs, with an average concentration of $13 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$.

WSTMP also analyzed PBDEs in a large number of fish fillet samples collected during 2004. The quality of these data is uncertain as a number of problems were encountered during the analyses, including high matrix spike recoveries and poor precision in replicate samples. The total PBDE data from 2004 are provided for informational purposes in Table 14. The results tend to support findings from the more recent Statewide Survey with respect to elevated PBDE levels in the Columbia and Snake river systems.

WSTMP has some fish tissue data on PBDE-47, -99, -100, -154, -154 from 2001-2003. These samples were analyzed by a different method (ECD) and were judged too old to use in the present report. Total PBDE concentrations in the 2001-2003 samples did not exceed $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (Seiders, 2003; Seiders and Kinney, 2004; Seiders et al., 2006).

Table 14. Total PBDE Concentrations in Composite Fish Fillets Analyzed by WSTMP in 2004 (see text for caveats on data quality)

| Location | Species | Total PBDEs (ug/Kg, wet) | Lipids <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia River, below Wells Dam | Mountain whitefish | 80 | 4.9 |
| Columbia River, above Beebee Bridge | Northern pikeminnow | 18 | 2.4 |
| " | Peamouth chub | 4.4 | 1.4 |
| Columbia River, below Rocky Reach Dam | Mountain whitefish | 9.8 | 3.0 |
| Columbia River, above Rock Island Dam | Walleye | 22 | 2.6 |
| " | Northern pikeminnow | 11 | 1.8 |
| " | Peamouth chub | 6.2 | 2.3 |
| Columbia River, below Wanapum Dam | Mountain whitefish | 50 | 6.9 |
| Snake River, below Lower Monumental Dam | Channel catfish | 26 | 7.2 |
| Snake River, below Clarkston | Peamouth chub | 12 | 1.9 |
| " | Mountain whitefish | 9.4 | 2.0 |
| " | Largemouth bass | 2.5 | 0.7 |
| Snake River, near Central Ferry | Channel catfish | 14 | 13.1 |
| " | Peamouth chub | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| " | Largemouth bass | 0.47 | 0.7 |
| " | Yellow perch | 0 | 0.5 |
| Skagit River, RM 11-12 | Cutthroat trout | 14 | 3.1 |
| " | Peamouth chub | 3.7 | 1.6 |
| " | Mountain whitefish | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Pend Oreille River, RM 56-77 | Northern pikeminnow | 11 | 2.5 |
| Black Lake near Olympia | Rainbow trout | 4.8 | 1.9 |
| Cascade Lake, Orcas Island | Kokanee salmon | 2.2 | 4.1 |
| " | Largemouth bass | 0.39 | 1.0 |
| " | Rainbow trout | 0 | 0.7 |
| Chehalis River, near Montesano | Northern pikeminnow | 2.7 | 0.6 |
| " | Cutthroat trout | 0.92 | 4.0 |
| Chehalis River, near Aberdeen | Chinook salmon | 0 | 3.6 |
| Entiat River, above Entiat Falls | Rainbow trout | 0.99 | 2.8 |
| Mountain Lake, Orcas Island | Kokanee salmon | 0.75 | 3.7 |
| Quinault River, at Tahola | Chinook salmon | 0.42 | 3.5 |
| Queets River, above Clearwater Bridge | Chinook salmon | 0.28 | 2.8 |
| Lake Ozette | Cutthroat trout | 0 | 1.7 |
| " | Largemouth bass | 0 | 0.7 |
| " | Yellow perch | 0 | 0.5 |

RM - river mile

## 4. EPA National Lakes Study

EPA conducted a National Study of Chemical Residues in Lake Fish Tissue to estimate the distribution of selected persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic chemicals in fish from lakes and reservoirs in the lower 48 states (www.epa.gov/waterscience/fishstudy/overview.htm). EPA worked with partner agencies, including Ecology, over a four-year period (2000-2003) to collect fish from 500 lakes and reservoirs selected randomly from an estimated 147,000 target lakes and reservoirs. One predator species and one bottom-dwelling species were collected from each waterbody. Each sample was a composite of five adult fish of similar size. Fillets were analyzed for predators, and bottom-dwellers were analyzed whole.

PBDEs were analyzed in selected samples only. The analysis included 46 individual congeners, analyzed by Axys Analytical Services in Sidney, B.C. following EPA Method 1614 (Brominated Diphenyl Ethers in Water, Soil, Sediment and Tissue by HRGC/HRMS). The detailed PBDE data for EPA's Washington lakes are in Appendix E. The nationwide data were not available as of this writing.

Ecology collected fish from 14 lakes for the EPA study; PBDEs were analyzed for six of the them. The total PBDE concentrations measured in these samples are shown in Table 15. Elevated concentrations of $24 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ were observed in whole fish samples from the Pend Oreille River and Lake Wallula (Columbia River impoundment above McNary Dam). Fillets from brown trout and smallmouth bass collected from the same sites had considerable lower concentrations of $1.6-7.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Total PBDE concentrations in fish fillets from other lakes were $4.0 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ or less.

Table 15. EPA Data on PBDEs in Fish from Washington Lakes (unpublished data from the EPA National Study of Chemical Residues in Lake Fish Tissue)

| Location | County | Collection <br> Date | Species/Tissue | Total PBDEs <br> $(\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, wet) | Lipids <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Wallula (Col. R.) | Benton/Walla Walla | $8 / 27 / 03$ | Smallmouth bass - fillet | 7.5 | 1.6 |
|  | $"$ | $11 / 6 / 02$ | Largescale sucker - whole | 24 | 6.0 |
| Pend Oreille River | Pend Oreille | $7 / 23 / 02$ | Largescale sucker - whole | 24 | 6.1 |
|  | $"$ | $7 / 23 / 02$ | Brown trout - fillet | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| Calligan Lake | King | $7 / 17 / 02$ | Rainbow trout - fillet | 4.0 | 2.4 |
| Patterson Lake | Okanogan | $8 / 26 / 03$ | Largemouth bass - fillet | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Buffalo Lake | $"$ | $7 / 24 / 02$ | Largemouth bass - fillet | 1.1 | 3.7 |
| Lake Nahwatzel | Mason | $9 / 4 / 03$ | Largemouth bass - fillet | 0.9 | 1.1 |

Figure 13 shows the average congener contribution for predator and bottom-dwelling species in the EPA national data. The same congeners were responsible for the bulk of the residues as in Ecology's 2005 samples. Table 16 compares results of Ecology's Statewide Survey with the EPA national data.


Figure 13. Average PBDE Congener Contribution in Fish Tissue Samples Analyzed for the EPA National Lakes Study (unpublished data summarized by EPA)

Table 16. Percent Contribution of Individual Congeners to Total PBDEs: Ecology Statewide Survey vs. EPA National Lakes Study

| PBDE <br> Congener | Ecology <br> Statewide Survey <br> (fillet) | EPA National Lakes Study |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $68 \%$ | Predator <br> Species (fillet) | Bottom-Dwelling <br> Species (whole) |
| 47 | $16 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| 99 | $9 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 100 | $3 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| 49 | $<1 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 153 | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 154 | NA | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $28+33$ |  | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

NA $=$ not analyzed

## 5. PBDEs in Hatchery Trout and Feed

In a final 2005 study by Ecology, persistent organic pollutants were analyzed in rainbow trout fillets and fish feed from ten Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife hatcheries and one private hatchery (Serdar et al., 2006). Fish originating from the same hatchery populations were also sampled approximately $21 / 2$ months following planting into unpolluted lakes to assess contaminant depuration or uptake. All fillet and feed samples were analyzed for PBDEs.

Feed samples had $<0.25 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ total PBDEs. Fillets from hatchery and planted trout had a mean total PBDE concentration of $0.66 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. Results suggest that a portion of the PBDE concentrations in trout from unpolluted waters may originate from hatcheries (Serdar et al., 2006).

## PBDEs in Fillet vs. Whole Fish

Both fillet and whole fish data were obtained on five fish species from Ecology's 2005 PBDE studies. The whole fish result was determined by analyzing PBDEs in the fillet and remaining carcass, then calculating a whole body concentration based on the relative weights. The results are in Table 17.

PBDE concentrations were three to five times higher in whole fish compared to the fillet. The whole fish total PBDE concentrations for mountain whitefish and rainbow trout collected from the Spokane River in the Ninemile reach were 4,110 and $1,773 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively. These are the highest levels so far recorded in Washington. Whole suckers analyzed from this site had total PBDE concentrations of 334-708 ug/Kg (see Table 11).

Table 17. Comparison of PBDE Concentrations Measured in Fillets vs. Whole Fish Samples during Ecology's 2005 Studies (ug/Kg, wet weight).

| Location: Species: | Lower Columbia River Northern pikeminnow |  | Spokane R nr. Ninemile <br> Bridgelip sucker |  | Spokane R nr. Ninemile <br> Mountain whitefish |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tissue: <br> Sample No: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fillet } \\ 6024738 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Whole } \\ 6024738 / 39 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fillet } \\ 5494257 \end{gathered}$ | Whole 5494257/58 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fillet } \\ 5494271 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Whole $5494271 / 18$ |
| Lipids (\%) | 2.0 | 4.6 J | 1.5 | 3.8 J | 3.3 | 5.9 J |
| PBDE-047 | 13 | 44 J | 59 | 298 J | 494 | 1,619 J |
| PBDE-049 | 0.71 J | 3 J | 0.90 | 4.8 J | 18 | 58 J |
| PBDE-066 | 0.49 U | 0.49 UJ | 0.29 | 1.3 J | 19 | 53 J |
| PBDE-071 | 0.49 U | 0.49 UJ | 0.21 U | 0.4 UJ | 0.21 U | 0 UJ |
| PBDE-099 | 0.49 U | 0.49 UJ | 0.46 | 2.1 J | 525 | 1,807 J |
| PBDE-100 | 2.6 | 7.7 J | 10 | 47 J | 129 | 448 J |
| PBDE-138 | 0.98 U | 0.98 UJ | 0.42 U | 0.8 UJ | 0.43 U | 0 UJ |
| PBDE-153 | 0.19 J | 0.67 J | 2.5 | 9.2 J | 23 | 74 J |
| PBDE-154 | 0.25 J | 0.91 J | 2.8 | 11 J | 14 | 42 J |
| PBDE-183 | 0.98 U | 0.98 UJ | 0.42 U | 0.8 UJ | 0.49 | 1.4 J |
| PBDE-184 | 0.98 U | 0.98 UJ | 0.42 U | 0.8 UJ | 0.12 J | 0.7 J |
| PBDE-191 | 0.98 U | 0.98 UJ | 0.42 U | 0.8 UJ | 0.43 U | 0.95 UJ |
| PBDE-209 | 6.1 U | 6.1 UJ | 2.6 U | 5 UJ | 1.1 UJ | 6 UJ |
| Total PBDEs | 17 J | 56 J | 76 | 374 | 1,225 | 4,110 |
| Location: <br> Species: | Spokane R <br> Rainb | Ninemile <br> trout | Yakima R <br> Small | Horn Rapids uth bass |  |  |
| Tissue: Sample No: | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fillet } \\ 5494272 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Whole } \\ 5494272 / 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Fillet } \\ 5522025 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Whole } \\ 5522025 / 24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Lipids (\%) | 2.1 | 4.3 J | 1.1 | 4.0 J |  |  |
| PBDE-047 | 251 | 788 J | 6.2 | 22 J |  |  |
| PBDE-049 | 7.9 | 26 J | 0.32 | 0.84 J |  |  |
| PBDE-066 | 6 | 22 J | REJ | REJ |  |  |
| PBDE-071 | 0.22 U | 0.5 UJ | 0.22 U | 1.1 UJ |  |  |
| PBDE-099 | 236 | 744 J |  | 6.5 J |  |  |
| PBDE-100 | 47 | 153 J |  | 1.0 J |  |  |
| PBDE-138 | 0.44 U | 0.36 J | 0.43 U | 2.1 UJ |  |  |
| PBDE-153 | 9.9 | 38 J | J | 0.85 J |  |  |
| PBDE-154 | 5.4 | 19 J | J | 0.46 J |  |  |
| PBDE-183 | 0.26 J | 0.64 J | 0.43 U | 2.1 UJ |  |  |
| PBDE-184 | 0.44 U | 1 UJ | 0.43 U | 2.1 UJ |  |  |
| PBDE-191 | 0.44 U | 1 UJ | 0.43 U | 2.1 UJ |  |  |
| PBDE-209 | 1.1 UJ | 6.2 UJ | 1.1 UJ | 5.4 UJ |  |  |
| Total PBDEs | 560 | 1,773 | 8 | 36 |  |  |

$\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
$\mathrm{J}=$ Estimated value
UJ = Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit. Quantitation limit is approximate.
REJ = Data rejected

## Species Differences

The preceding data provide evidence that fish species, lipid content, and age are important considerations for monitoring PBDEs.

The study that first reported PBDEs in Washington fish noted low accumulation of penta-BDEs by suckers and carp (Johnson and Olson, 2001). Since that time, research has shown that some fish have the capacity to debrominate penta-BDEs (LeBeuf et al., 2006). Stapleton et al. (2004b), for example, showed that carp fed food spiked with pentabrominated PBDE-99 accumulated only tetrabrominated PBDE-47 in their tissues.

The 2005 data show that members of the minnow and sucker families, Cyprinidae and Catostomidae (carp, suckers, and pikeminnow), have much lower percentages of pentabrominated PBDE-99 and -100 relative to total PBDEs than other species. PBDE-99 and -100 are two of the three major congeners detected in fish. Figure 14 plots data from the Statewide Survey and Spokane River Study. The mean percentage of PBDE-99 and -100 in carp, suckers, and pikeminnow ranged from $13-17 \%$ vs. 20-75\% in other of species, chiefly salmonids and bass. Analysis of variance showed these differences were statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ).


Figure 14. Relative Abundance of PBDE-99 and -100 in Fish Species Analyzed for the Statewide Survey and Spokane River Study (percent of total PBDEs; fillet data except whole largescale suckers (WF) as indicated.)

```
BBH = brown bullhead
BRT = brown trout
CTT = cutthroat trout
KOK = kokanee
LMB = largemouth bass
LWF = lake whitefish
PMTH = peamouth chub
RBT = rainbow trout
SMB = smallmouth bass
CRP = common carp
LSS = largescale sucker
NPM = northern pikeminnow
MWF = mountain whitefish
```

The statewide data (Table 9) illustrate that PBDE levels can vary directly and markedly with lipid content, as often observed for other halogenated organic compounds. The relationship tended to be stronger for rivers than lakes. For example, total PBDEs in Snake River fish increased from $0.6 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in yellow perch ( $0.6 \%$ lipid), to $2.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in peamouth ( $1.8 \%$ lipid), to $4.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in suckers ( $4.0 \%$ lipid), to $30 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in carp ( $5.4 \%$ lipid). Figure 15 shows the lipid correlation for the Snake and other rivers where at least four species were analyzed in the Statewide Survey.


Figure 15. PBDE:Lipid Correlation for Fish Fillet Samples from Selected Rivers Analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey.

The relationship between PBDEs and lipids was also strong in some lakes (Mayfield Lake, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.81$; Bead Lake, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.74$ ), but weak or absent in others. A correspondence with lipid content was evident in the WDOH samples from Lake Washington (Figure 16).

The Lake Washington data also point to age as being an important factor in the amount of PBDEs accumulated in fish. As already noted, PBDE concentrations in cutthroat and pikeminnow were an order of magnitude higher in larger individuals. Figure 17 plots the wet weight and lipid normalized data for these two species. Other studies with fish have shown an age effect for PBDEs (Loganathan et al., 1995; Dodder et al., 2002).


Figure 16. PBDE:Lipid Correlation for Fish Fillets Analyzed from Lake Washington during 2005 (WDOH unpublished data, non-detects not plotted).


Figure 17. PBDE Concentrations vs. Size in Lake Washington Cutthroat Trout and Northern Pikeminnow (WDOH unpublished data)

## Site Differences

The congener patterns in Ecology's 2005 fish samples were examined by comparing congener profiles and using factor analysis in an attempt to identify between-site differences that might relate to types of sources. Because of the large number of non-detects in the data and the dominance of a single congener, PBDE-47, no patterns could be discerned.

Non-detects were not an issue for the Spokane River, but here again no obvious patterns emerged. Figure 18, for example, compares results for whole suckers collected from the Stateline reach with those collected from the Ninemile reach where the spike in PBDE concentrations occurred. It appears that upstream and downstream sources have a similar PBDE signature.


Figure 18. PBDE Congener Profiles in Spokane River Whole Suckers Collected from the Stateline (SL) and Ninemile Reaches (NM) in 2005. (Each sample a five-fish composite.)

## Final Waterbody Ranking

The combined 2005 fish fillet data from the Statewide Survey, Spokane River Study, WDOH Lake Washington Study, WSTMP, and EPA National Lakes Study were used to develop a final waterbody ranking for PBDEs. These combined efforts obtained PBDE data on 44 sites representing 36 rivers/impoundments and lakes.

Figure 19 shows how the waterbodies rank on a wet-weight basis. Average values were used for each sampling site, pooling results for multiple samples from the same species before averaging. The Spokane River data were grouped into three reaches: Upper Spokane River (Stateline, Plante Ferry, and Mission Park samples); Ninemile; and Long Lake (upper and lower Long Lake samples).

The highest PBDE levels were almost exclusively found in rivers. Only three of the top 20 sites were lakes. Lake Washington was among the top five. The least contaminated sites were almost exclusively lakes. This finding presumably reflects the fact that rivers generally receive more urban/industrial discharges and runoff than lakes.

In light of the correlation between PBDEs and lipids, the same waterbodies were ranked after lipid normalizing the data (Figure 20). The results did not differ greatly. The primary effect of note was to move the upper and middle Columbia River sites lower in the ranking and to elevate the middle Palouse River.


Figure 19. Final Waterbody PBDE Ranking Based on Fish Fillets Analyzed in 2005: Wet Weight Basis

## Total PBDEs (ug/Kg lipid)



Figure 20. Final Waterbody PBDE Ranking Based on Fish Fillets Analyzed in 2005: Lipid Normalized

The wet weight and lipid based ranks were averaged to identify the 10 most contaminated and 10 least contaminated of the 36 waterbodies sampled in 2005 (Table 18). Except for Merwin Lake, the top 10 are all located in drainages with significant urban development. Merwin Lake, an impoundment of the Lewis River along the Clark/Lewis County boundary, is surrounded by forested land and has no obvious local source of PBDEs.

Table 18. Waterbodies with the Highest and Lowest PBDE Levels in Fish Fillet Samples Analyzed During 2005

| Highest Total PBDEs <br> (in descending order) | Lowest total PBDEs <br> (in ascending order) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spokane River | Queets River* |
| Palouse River | Ozette Lake |
| Columbia River | Rock Lake |
| Lake Washington | Lake Chelan |
| Snohomish River | Potholes Reservoir |
| Cowlitz River | Sacajawea Lake |
| Yakima River | Buffalo Lake* |
| Snake River | Silver Lake |
| Merwin Lake | Green Lake |
| Duwamish River* | Long Lake (Othello) |

*based on one composite sample

## National Fish Tissue Data

EPA provided preliminary statistics from their National Study of Chemical Residues in Lake Fish Tissue (Table 19). Except for the Spokane River, the PBDE levels recently measured in freshwater fish from Washington State fall within the range observed by EPA nation-wide in lakes.

Table 19. Statistical Summary of PBDE Data from the EPA National Lakes Study (unpublished data)

| Species | Number of | Total PBDEs (ug/Kg, wet) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
| Predators | 195 | TBD | 0.010 | 58 |
| Bottom dwellers | 157 | TBD | 0.080 | 125 |

TBD $=$ to be determined

Hites (2004) reviewed the data on environmental concentrations of PBDEs and summarized fish tissue data for selected major North American rivers and lakes. The data available to Hites at the time of this review were biased toward areas with known or suspected contamination (e.g., Great Lakes, Upper Columbia River, Michigan/Illinois/Virginia waterbodies) and thus show a higher level of PBDE contamination relative to EPA's national lakes study.

Table 20 compares the statistics reported by Hites with results from Ecology's Statewide Survey (lipid-normalized). The two data sets may not be strictly comparable, because Hites drew on older studies from 1992-2000 and because the tissues analyzed were not specified. The Spokane River again, however, appears to stand out.

Table 20. Comparison of Total PBDE Concentrations Measured in Freshwater Fish During Ecology's Statewide Survey with those Reported in a Summary of North American Fish Tissue Data (ug/Kg lipid)

|  | Ecology <br> Statewide <br> Survey | Major North American <br> Rivers and Lakes <br> (Hites, 2004) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ | 63 | 281 |
| Mean | 1,090 | 1,050 |
| Geometric mean | 72 | 308 |
| Minimum | 0 | 12 |
| Maximum | 29,700 | 7,200 |

## Fish Tissue Criteria

An effort was made to locate human health and aquatic life criteria for PBDEs to help put the Washington data in perspective. Contacts were made with the EPA Office of Water and Science Technology, Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, San Francisco Estuary Institute, Maryland Department of the Environment, North Carolina Department Office of Health and Human Services, NOAA Fisheries Northwest Regional Office, and the Washington State Department of Health. With the exception of North Carolina, none of these agencies had developed or were aware of criteria or other guidelines pertaining to PBDEs in fish tissue or water. A cursory internet search of European sources gave similar results.

Dr. Luanne Williams, of the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, has made the following recommendations for issuing fish consumption advisories for PBDEs (March 29, 2005; Appendix F):

| Average PBDE Level | Recommendations |
| :---: | :--- |
| $<2,000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ | No recommendations warranted - safe for unrestricted consumption |
| 2,000 to 5,000 ug/Kg | (Species) in (waterbody) contains higher than normal levels of PBDEs. <br> Women of childbearing age (15-44 years) and children under age 15 <br> should not eat (species) in (waterbody). All others should limit <br> consumption of (species) two meals per month. |
| 6,000 ug/Kg or $>$ | (Species) in (waterbody) contains higher than normal levels of PBDEs. <br> No consumption of (species) is recommended. |

Among the Washington waterbodies analyzed for PBDEs in 2005, only the Spokane River approached or exceeded the advisory levels recommended by North Carolina. Total PBDEs in mountain whitefish fillets from the Ninemile area ( 905 - 1,222 ug/Kg total PBDEs) were approximately half the $2,000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ advisory level. The total PBDE concentrations in whole whitefish and whole rainbow trout from Ninemile were 4,110 and $1,773 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$, respectively, in the two samples analyzed.

The North Carolina recommendations were based on the reference doses in the EPA Integrated Risk Management System (IRIS) and advice from EPA (see Appendix F). EPA is currently in the process of revising the reference dose for PBDEs (Dave McBride, WDOH, personal communication). Therefore, the above recommendations may or may not be appropriate.

## PBDE Concentrations in Water Samples

## Residues Accumulated

A passive sampling technique using a semipermeable membrane device (SPMD) was employed to assess water column concentrations of PBDEs at 10 of the sites where fish were sampled for the Statewide Survey (Figure 4). The SPMDs were deployed for approximately 28 days during August - September 2005. There was a second deployment at six of the sites during March April 2006. The PBDE residues accumulated in the SPMDs are shown in Table 21. Ancillary water quality data are in Appendix G.

The PBDE congeners most frequently detected in fish were also detected in the SPMDs. These included PBDE -47, -49, -99, -100, -153, and -154. Except for PBDE-47 and -99, most congeners were detected near the reporting limit. PBDE-209 is too large a molecule to be taken up effectively by SPMDs and was not detected.

The amount absorbed by an SPMD is proportional to the local water concentration. As in the fish samples, SPMDs deployed in the Spokane River, Columbia River, Lake Washington, and Yakima River had the largest residues. The Duwamish River, Potholes Reservoir, Queets River, and Lake Ozette had very low residues. As noted earlier in this report, the fall 2005 SPMD data for Lake Washington are probably biased low (see Data Quality).

The Duwamish SPMD was deployed in the estuarine portion of the river where salinities reached 15 ppt . Results for this site reflect substantial dilution by seawater and are not representative of the free-flowing portion of the river. The Duwamish SPMD for spring 2006 was lost.

As part of a separate study, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) deployed SPMDs in the lower Columbia River during 2005. Their site at the Beaver Generating Station was the same site where Ecology's SPMDs were deployed, and the sampling periods were similar. Preliminary USGS data for this site were made available for this present report. The two data sets are compared in Table 22.

Table 21. PBDE Residues Accumulated in SPMDs (total ng in five SPMD membranes)

| Waterbody: | Spokane <br> River | Upper <br> Columbia River | Middle Columbia River | Lower Columbia River | Lake Washington | Yakima River | Duwamish River | Potholes <br> Reservoir | Queets River | Lake Ozette |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall Deployment (August-September 2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample No: | 404113/14 | 404115 | 404110 | 394090 | 394092 | 404111 | 394091 | 404112 | 394093/94 | 394096 |
| PBDE-47 | 548 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 2 U | 7.0 | 5.0 | 2 U |
| PBDE-49 | 33 | 2 U | 2 U | 2.7 | 2 U | 2.4 | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U |
| PBDE-66 | 12 | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U |
| PBDE-71 | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U |
| PBDE-99 | 219 | 4.6 | 14 | 5.1 | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 6.2 | 8.0 | 6.9 |
| PBDE-100 | 54 | 2 U | 3.6 | 2.5 | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U | 2 U |
| PBDE-138 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-153 | 7.5 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-154 | 8.8 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-183 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 31* | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-184 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-191 | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U | 4 U |
| PBDE-209 | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U | 50 U |
| Total PBDEs | 882 | 31 | 42 | 33 | 3 | 7.3 | ND | 13 | 13 | 6.9 |


| Spring Deployment (March - April 2006) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample No: | 164250 |  | -- | -- | 164248 |  | 164252 |  | 164249 |  | -- | -- | 164251 |  | -- |
| PBDE-47 | 96.2 |  | -- | -- | 45.8 |  | 19.2 |  | 29.4 |  | sampler | -- | 4.6 |  | -- |
| PBDE-49 | 4.2 |  | -- | -- | 4.8 |  | 2 | U | 4.3 |  | lost | -- | 2 | U | -- |
| PBDE-66 | 2.6 | J | -- | -- | 1.6 | J | 5 | U | 1.2 | J |  | -- | 5 | U | -- |
| PBDE-71 | 2 | U | -- | -- | 2 | U | 2 | U | 2 | U |  | -- | 2 | U | -- |
| PBDE-99 | 44.1 |  | -- | -- | 18.3 |  | 6.5 |  | 12 |  |  | -- | 1.3 |  | -- |
| PBDE-100 | 10.4 |  | -- | -- | 5.5 |  | 2.2 | J | 4.1 |  |  | -- | 0.3 | J | -- |
| PBDE-138 | 4 | U | -- | -- | 4 | U | 4 | U | 4 | U |  | -- | 4 | U | - |
| PBDE-153 | 1.9 |  | -- | -- | 1.1 |  | 2 | U | 2 | U |  | -- | 2 | U | - |
| PBDE-154 | 2.0 |  | -- | -- | 1.5 | J | 1.1 | J | 1.3 | J |  | -- | 2 | U | -- |
| PBDE-183 | 4 | U | -- | -- | 2.1 | J | 4 | U | 4 | U |  | -- | 2 | J | -- |
| PBDE-184 | 4 | U | -- | -- | 4 | U | 4 | U | 4 | U |  | -- | 4 | U | -- |
| PBDE-191 | 4 | U | -- | -- | 4 | U | 4 | U | 4 | U |  | -- | 4 | U | -- |
| PBDE-209 | 50 | U | -- | -- | 50 | U | 50 | U | 50 | U |  | -- | 50 |  | -- |
| Total PBDEs | 161 |  | -- | -- | 81 |  | 29 |  | 52 |  |  | -- |  |  | -- |

*High concentration also detected in blanks; not included in total PBDEs.
$\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
$\mathrm{J}=$ Estimated value

Table 22. Comparison of SPMD Results Obtained by Ecology and USGS for the Lower Columbia River (ng/SPMD) (preliminary USGS data provided by Jennifer Morace, USGS, 16 June 2006)

| Agency: <br> Deployment Date: <br> Retrieval Date: | Ecology <br> 29-Aug-05 <br> 26-Sep-05 | USGS <br> 9-Aug-05 <br> 14-Sep-05 | Ecology <br> 21-Mar-06 <br> 18-Apr-06 | USGS <br> 12-Apr-05 <br> 18-May-05 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PBDE-28 | NA | $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ | NA | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ |
| PBDE-47 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $<44$ |
| PBDE-49 | $\mathbf{2 . 7}$ | NA | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | NA |
| PBDE-66 | $<2$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | ND |
| PBDE-71 | $<2$ | NA | $<2$ | NA |
| PBDE-85 | NA | $\mathbf{0 . 9 4}$ | NA | $<1$ |
| PBDE-99 | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $<38$ |
| PBDE-100 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5}$ | $<7$ |
| PBDE-138 | $<4$ | ND | $<4$ | ND |
| PBDE-153 | $<4$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ | $<3$ |
| PBDE-154 | $<4$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ | $<2$ |
| PBDE-183 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $<1$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ | $<1$ |
| PBDE-184 | $<4$ | NA | $<4$ | NA |
| PBDE-191 | $<4$ | NA | $<4$ | NA |
| PBDE-209 | $<4$ | $<16$ | $<50$ | $<17$ |

NA = not analyzed
$\mathrm{ND}=$ not detected

Given the low levels being measured, the Ecology and USGS results for this site are in good agreement. The primary discrepancies are due to different reporting limits for PBDE-47 and -99.

## Estimated Water Column Concentrations

The SPMD data were used to estimate dissolved concentrations of selected PBDEs, following the procedures outlined in Appendix H. Table 23 has the results. The calculations were limited to congeners where the octanol-water partition coefficient ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ow}}$ ) has been measured directly (Braekevelt et al., 2003). A chemical's uptake rate by an SPMD is a function of $K_{\text {ow. }}$.

Total PBDE concentrations in the Spokane River were estimated at approximately $930 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ and $150 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ (parts per quadrillion) for the fall and spring deployments, respectively. The estimated PBDE-47 concentrations in the Spokane were, similarly, 510 and $76 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$. Other congeners in the Spokane samples were in the range of $3-67 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$. Much lower PBDE concentrations were estimated for the other rivers and lakes. The highest total PBDE concentrations observed here were $80 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ in Lake Washington, $50-57 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ in the Columbia River, and $40 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ in the Yakima River.

Table 23. SPMD-derived Estimates of Dissolved Concentrations of Selected PBDEs (pg/L, parts per quadrillion)

| Waterbody: | Spokane River | Lake <br> Washington | Upper Columbia River | Middle Columbia River | Lower Columbia River | Yakima River | Duwamish River | Potholes Reservoir | Queets <br> River | Lake <br> Ozette |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall Deployment (August-September 2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample No: | 404113/14 | 394092 | 404115 | 404110 | 394090 | 404111 | 394091 | 404112 | 394093/94 | 394096 |
| PBDE-47 | 510 | 1 | 13 | 26 | 13 | 2 | <1 | 4 | 4 | <1 |
| PBDE-49 | 31 | <1 | <1 | $<2$ | 2 | 1 | <1 | $<1$ | $<2$ | <1 |
| PBDE-99 | 287 | <1 | 3 | 19 | 4 | <2 | <1 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| PBDE-100 | 67 | <1 | <1 | 5 | 2 | <2 | <1 | <2 | <2 | <1 |
| PBDE-153 | 15 | <3 | <4 | $<9$ | <5 | <4 | <3 | <5 | $<6$ | <3 |
| PBDE-154 | 17 | <3 | <4 | <9 | <4 | <4 | <3 | <5 | <6 | <3 |
| PBDE-183 | $<11$ | <4 | <6 | $<12$ | 53* | <5 | <3 | $<7$ | <9 | <4 |
| Total PBDEs | 926 | 1 | 16 | 50 | 21 | 3 | ND | 9 | 12 | 4 |
| Spring Deployment (March - April 2006) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample No: | 164250 | 164252 | -- | -- | 164248 | 164249 | -- | -- | 164251 | -- |
| PBDE-47 | 76 | 46 | -- | -- | 27 | 20 | sampler | -- | 3 | -- |
| PBDE-49 | 3 | <5 | -- | -- | 3 | 3 | lost | -- | <1 | -- |
| PBDE-99 | 49 | 22 | -- | -- | 15 | 12 |  | -- | 1 | -- |
| PBDE-100 | 11 | 7 | -- | -- | 4 | 4 |  | -- | 0.3 | -- |
| PBDE-153 | 3 | $<10$ | -- | -- | 1 | <3 |  | -- | $<3$ | -- |
| PBDE-154 | 3 | 5 | -- | -- | 2 | 2 |  | -- | <3 | -- |
| PBDE-183 | <9 | <28 | -- | -- | 4 | <8 |  | -- | 4 | -- |
| Total PBDEs | 146 | 80 | -- | -- | 57 | 40 |  | -- | 8 | -- |

*Blank contamination suspected; not included in total PBDEs

There appeared to be substantial seasonal variation in PBDE levels. Much higher concentrations were found in the spring 2006 samples from Lake Washington, the Lower Columbia River, and the Yakima River. This may reflect the greater amount of urban runoff during this period, or, for the Yakima, an effect related to the beginning of the irrigation season. The opposite phenomenon was seen in the Spokane River where total PBDE concentrations were about five times lower in the spring. This could be interpreted as dilution of local sources by the high flows generated by snowmelt in the upper watershed.

## Comparison with Fish Samples

Table 24 shows how PBDE levels in these waterbodies compare when ranked according to concentrations measured in fish vs. SPMDs. The average of the fall and spring concentrations derived from SPMDs was used for the comparison. Except for the Duwamish and the Middle Columbia River, both approaches give similar conclusions as to the relative level of contamination. The lack of agreement for the Duwamish River can be attributed to different sampling sites: the fish were freshwater species collected from the free-flowing portion of the river, while the SPMDs were deployed in the estuary.

Table 24. Relative Ranking of PBDE Levels in Waterbodies Where Both Fish and SPMDs were Analyzed by Ecology during 2005-06

| Waterbody | Rank Based on: |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fish | SPMDs |
| Spokane River @ Ninemile | 1 | 1 |
| Lower Columbia River | 2 | 2 |
| Lake Washington | 3 | 4 |
| Yakima River | 4 | 5 |
| Upper Columbia River | 5 | 6 |
| Duwamish River | 6 | 10 |
| Middle Columbia River | 7 | 3 |
| Potholes Reservoir | 8 | 8 |
| Ozette Lake | 9 | 7 |
| Queets River | 10 | 9 |

## Bioaccumulation Factors for PBDEs

Bioaccumulation refers to uptake and retention of a chemical from food, water, and sediment as opposed to bioconcentration which considers uptake from water only. Bioaccumulation factors (BAFs) figure importantly in evaluating the fate and effects of persistent organic pollutants. $B A F=C_{t} / C_{w}$, where $C_{t}$ is the contaminant concentration in tissue (wet weight) and $C_{w}$ is the concentration in water. EPA recommends that BAFs be based on the freely dissolved form of a chemical since this is the most bioavailable fraction (EPA, 2000b).

BAFs ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ) were calculated from the fish fillet and SPMD data collected in 2005-06. BAFs were determined only for those waterbodies where PBDEs were consistently quantified, these being the Spokane River (Ninemile data), Lower Columbia River, Lake Washington, and Yakima River. The average dissolved water column concentration was used, which brackets the timeframe when the fish were collected.

Results of the BAF calculations are shown in Table 25. For these waterbodies and species, BAFs for PBDEs appear to be on the order of $10^{4}$ to $10^{6}$, similar to other highly bioaccumulative organohalogens. It should be noted that a relatively small increase or decrease in the estimated water concentrations would have a large effect on the BAF.

Table 25. Bioaccumulation Factors (BAFs) for Selected PBDEs Calculated from Fish Fillet and SPMD Data

| Species | $\mathrm{N}=$ | PBDEs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 47 | 49 | 99 | 100 | 153 | 154 | Total |
| Northern pikeminnow ( $<300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | 3 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ | NA | ND | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Cutthroat (<400 mm) | 4 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ | NA | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 2.2E+05 |
| Smallmouth bass | 1 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | $9.9 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Peamouth | 1 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | ND | 2.2E+05 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 4.1E+05 |
| Northern pikeminnow | 2 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Common carp | 2 | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | ND | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+06$ | ND | $1.4 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Rainbow trout | 3 | $6.2 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 8.2E+05 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Largescale sucker | 3 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.4 \mathrm{E}+05$ | ND | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Mountain whitefish | 3 | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Cutthroat (>400 mm) | 7 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+06$ | NA | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}+06$ | ND | $6.4 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Northern pikeminnow ( $>300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | 4 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+06$ | NA | ND | $1.5 \mathrm{E}+06$ | ND | 7.9E+05 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| Mean = |  | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.2 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.7 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Minimum = |  | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.1 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $9.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.5 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| Maximum = |  | $2.9 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $7.9 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.8 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+06$ |

ND = Not detected in fish and/or water samples
NA = Not analyzed in fish and/or water samples

## Conclusions

The recent data collected on fillets from Washington freshwater fish indicate that total PBDE concentrations are less than $10 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ (parts per billion) in most rivers and lakes. Certain fish species from several large waterbodies - Palouse River, Columbia River, Lake Washington, Snohomish River, Cowlitz River, and Snake River - have total PBDE concentrations in the $10-200 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ range. Fish in watersheds with minimal human disturbance (e.g., the Queets River and Lake Ozette) have PBDE concentrations at or below the limit of detection ( $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in the present study). Rivers clearly have higher PBDE levels than lakes.

High PBDE concentrations are found throughout the Spokane River. Peak concentrations occur in the Ninemile reach: $292-1,222 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in fillets and up to $4,110 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in whole fish samples. The data suggest major sources are located in the vicinity of the city of Spokane, and there may be significant sources in Idaho.

The most frequently detected PBDEs are the tetra, penta, and hexa congeners: PBDE-47, -49 , $-99,-100,-153$, and -154 . PBDE-47 is readily detectable in about $80 \%$ of fish samples and typically accounts for 60-70\% of the total PBDE residue. PBDE-209, which continues to be produced (Deca-BDE), has a low detection frequency in Washington rivers and lakes, but could be a contributing source of some of the lower PBDEs through its breakdown. PBDE-209 was detected in 6\% of the fillet samples (4 out of 63) analyzed for Ecology's Statewide Survey; concentrations were estimated at $0.26-2.5 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$.

PBDE levels vary substantially depending on which fish species and tissues are analyzed. Species with high lipid content and larger/older individuals tend to have the highest concentrations. Whole fish samples have about five times higher PBDE levels than fillets. Certain species in the minnow family (carp, suckers, and pikeminnow in this study) have the ability to debrominate penta-BDEs and are deficient in PBDE-99 and -100.

Except for the Spokane River, the PBDE concentrations measured in fish from Washington rivers and lakes are in the range reported from a recent EPA national study of 500 lakes: up to $58 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in fillets and up to $125 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ in whole fish samples. The Spokane River also appears high when compared to other sources of data on PBDEs in fish from North American rivers and lakes.

As far as could be determined, regulatory, human health, and natural resource agencies in the United States have not established what levels of PBDEs may adversely affect human health, aquatic life, or wildlife. North Carolina has recommended that fish consumption advisories be considered in cases where concentrations exceed $2,000 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{Kg}$. The EPA reference dose, on which these recommendations are based, may be revised in the near future.

Estimates of dissolved PBDE concentrations were obtained for selected Washington rivers and lakes. Dissolved total PBDE concentrations in the Ninemile reach of the Spokane River ranged from approximately $150-950 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L}$ (parts per quadrillion), with much lower concentrations in other waterbodies. The concentrations showed substantially seasonal variability. Bioaccumulation factors for PBDEs appear to be on the order of $10^{4}-10^{6}$.

## Recommendations

1. Conduct an investigation to identify PBDE sources to the Spokane River, focusing on inputs from the city of Spokane. A parallel effort should be made to confirm the existence of significant sources in Idaho.
2. Analyze additional fish and/or water samples from the Duwamish River and the South Fork Palouse to more accurately determine PBDE levels. Concentrations appear elevated, but this conclusion is based on one composite fish sample each. The Duwamish River is tentatively scheduled for sampling through Ecology’s Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program in 2006. Archived fish tissue samples are available to conduct this analysis for the South Fork Palouse.
3. Additional sampling should be done to determine PBDE levels in waterbodies with significant potential for contamination, but where no data exist. The most prominent examples are creeks that drain urban/industrial areas and urban waterways in Puget Sound. This could be accomplished most efficiently with a passive sampling technique such as semipermeable membrane devices.
4. Selected sampling sites from Ecology's 2005-06 surveys should be re-sampled in three to five years to evaluate the effectiveness of the Washington State PBDE Chemical Action Plan and other efforts to reduce PBDE inputs to the environment.
5. An effort should be undertaken to develop human health, aquatic life, and wildlife criteria for PBDEs in Washington surface waters.

## References

Braekevelt, E., S.A. Tittlemier, and G.T. Tomy, 2003. Direct measurement of octanol-water partition coefficients of some environmentally relevant brominated diphenyl ether congeners. Chemosphere 51(7):563-567.

Dodder, N.G., B. Strandberg, and R.A. Hites, 2002. Concentrations and spatial variation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and several organochlorine compounds in fishes from the Northeastern United States. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36(2):146-151.

Ecology and WDOH, 2006. Washington State Polybrominated Diphenyl Ether (PBDE) Chemical Action Plan: Final Plan. Washington State Department of Ecology, and Washington State Department of Health, Olympia, WA. Ecology Publication No. 05-07-048. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0507048.html

Ellis, G.S., J.N. Huckins, C.E. Rostad, C.J. Schmitt, J.D. Petty, and P. MacCarthy, 1995. Evaluation of lipid-containing semipermeable membrane devices (SPMDs) for monitoring organochlorine contaminants in the Upper Mississippi River. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 14: 1875-1884.

EPA, 1990. Specifications and Guidance for Obtaining Contaminant-Free Sample Containers. OSWER Directive \#93240.0-05. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

EPA, 2000a. Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Vol. 1, Fish Sampling and Analysis. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. EPA-823-B-00-007.

EPA, 2000b. Methodology for Deriving Ambient Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Human Health. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. EPA/822/b-98/005.

Hites, R.A., 2004. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the environment and people: a metaanalysis of concentrations. Environ. Sci. Tech. 38(4): 945-956.

Huckins, J.N., J.D. Petty, H.F. Prest, R.C. Clark, D.A. Alvarez, C.E. Orazio, J.A. Lebo, W.L. Cranor, and B.T. Johnson, 2000. A Guide to the Use of Semipermeable Membrane Devices (SPMDs) as Samplers of Waterborne Hydrophobic Organic Contaminants. USGS Columbia Environmental Research Center, Columbia, MO.

Huckins, J.N., J.D. Petty, and K. Booij, 2006. Monitors of Organic Chemicals in the Environment; Semipermeable Membrane Devices. Springer, 220 p.

Hyne, R., F. Pablo, M. Aistrope, A.W. Leonard, and N. Ahmad, 2004. Comparison of timeintegrated pesticide concentrations determined from field-deployed passive samplers with daily river-water extractions. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 23(9):2090-2098.

Johnson, A. and N. Olson, 2001. Analysis and occurrence of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in Washington State freshwater fish. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 41:339-344.

Johnson, A. and K. Seiders, 2005. Quality Assurance Project Plan: PBT Monitoring: Measuring PBDE Levels in Washington Rivers and Lakes. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 05-03-113. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0503113.html

LeBeuf, M.F., C.M. Couillard, B. Legare, and S. Trottier, 2006. Effects of DeBDE and PCB126 on hepatic concentrations of PBDEs and methoxy-PBDEs in Atlantic tomcod. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40:3211-3216.

Loganathan, B.G., K.K. Kannan, I. Watanabe, M. Kawano, K. Irvine, S. Kumar, and H.C. Sikka, 1995. Isomeric-specific determination and toxic evaluation of polychlorinated biphenyls, polychlorinated/brominated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans, polybrominated biphenyl ethers, and extractable organic halogen in carp from the Buffalo River. Environ. Sci. Tech. 29:1832-1838.

Luross, J.M., M. Alaee, D.B. Sergeant, C.M. Cannon, D.M. Whittle, K.R. Solomon, and D.C.G. Muir, 2002. Spatial distribution of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in lake trout from the Laurentian Great Lakes. Chemosphere 46:665-672.

Noren, K. and D. Meironyte, 2000. Certain organochlorine and organobromine contaminants in Swedish human milk in perspective of past 20-30 years. Chemosphere 40:1111-1123.

Pelletier, G., 1993. South Fork Palouse River Total Maximum Daily Load of Ammonia. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 93-e48. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/93e48.html

Rantalainen, A.L., M.G. Ikonomou, and I.H. Rogers, 1998. Lipid-containing semipermeable membrane devices (SPMDs) as concentrators of toxic chemicals in the Lower Fraser River, British Columbia. Chemosphere 37:1119-1138.

Rayne, S., M.G. Ikonomou, and B. Antcliffe, 2003. Rapidly increasing polybrominated diphenyl ether concentrations in the Columbia River system from 1992 to 2000. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37(13):2847-2854.

Schecter, A., O. Papke, K.C. Tung, D. Staskal, and L. Birnbaum, 2004. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers contamination of United States food. Environ. Sci. Tech. 38:5306-5311.

Seiders, K., 2003. Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program: Toxic Contaminants in Fish Tissue and Surface Water in Freshwater Environments, 2001. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 03-03-012. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0303012.html

Seiders, K. and K. Kinney, 2004. Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program: Toxic Contaminants in Fish Tissue and Surface Water in Freshwater Environments, 2002. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 04-03-040. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0403040.html

Seiders, K., C. Deligeannis, and K. Kinney, 2006. Washington State Toxics Monitoring Program: Toxic Contaminants in Fish Tissue and Surface Water in Freshwater Environments, 2003. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 06-03-019. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0603019.html

Serdar, D. and A. Johnson, 2006 (in prep.). PCBs, PBDEs, and Selected Metals in Spokane River Fish, 2005. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 06-03-025. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0603025.html

Serdar, D., K. Kinney, M. Mandjikov, and D. Montgomery, 2006. Persistent Organic Pollutants in Feed and Rainbow Trout from Selected Trout Hatcheries. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Publication No. 06-03-017. www.ecy.wa.gov/biblio/0603017.html

She, J., M. Petreas, J. Winkler, P. Visita, M. McKinney, and D. Kopec, 2002. PBDEs in the San Francisco Bay area: measurements in harbor seal blubber and human breast adipose tissue. Chemosphere 46:697-707.

Stapleton, H.M., M. Chantze, and S. Wise, 2004a. Measurement of Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers in Environmental Matrix Standard Reference Material. Organohalogens 66:3745-3748.

Stapleton, H.M., M. Aalee, R.J. Letcher, and J.E. Baker, 2004b. Debromination of the flame retardant decabromodiphenyl ether by juvenile carp (Cyprinus carpio) following dietary exposure. Environ. Sci. Tech. 38:112-119.

This page is purposely left blank

## Appendices

This page is purposely left blank

## Appendix A. Sampling Site Descriptions

Table A-1. Collection Sites of Ecology Fish Samples Analyzed for PBDEs in 2005

| Waterbody | County | Sampling Dates | Area of Fish Collection | Agency/ <br> Methods ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NAD83 } \\ \text { Lat }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | NAD83 <br> Long ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bead Lake | Pend Oreille | 10/26 | Entire lake | Ecy-E,G | 48.2990 | 117.1126 |
| Chelan Lake | Chelan | 10/5 | Lake River and lower 200 feet of First Creek | Ecy-A,DN | 47.8396 | 120.0212 |
| Columbia R., Lower | Wahkiakum | 8/30 | RM 38-42, near Cathlamet | Ecy-E | 46.1784 | 123.3531 |
| Columbia R., Middle | Benton | 11/15 | RM 298-301, upstream of McNary Dam | Ecy-E,G | 45.9231 | 119.1482 |
| Columbia R., Upper | Ferry/Stevens | 9/13-14,10/20 | RM 703-710, near Kettle Falls | Epa-E,G | 48.6734 | 118.0891 |
| Cowlitz River | Lewis | 8/29 | RM 24-27, near Olequa | Ecy-E | 46.3836 | 122.9323 |
| Duwamish/Green River | King | 8/31 | RM 10, between I-5 and 405 Hwy bridges | Ecy-E | 47.4843 | 122.2607 |
| Haven Lake | Mason | 11/29 | Entire lake | Ecy-E,G | 47.4567 | 122.9835 |
| Leland Lake | Jefferson | 9/13-14 | Entire lake | Dfw-? | 47.8951 | 122.8840 |
| Liberty Lake | Spokane | 10/10-11 | Entire lake | Dfw-G | 47.6459 | 117.0776 |
| Long Lake | Grant | 8/24 | Entire lake | Ecy-E | 46.9319 | 119.2088 |
| Loon Lake | Stevens | 10/26 | NW end | Ecy-E | 48.0536 | 117.6319 |
| Mayfield Lake | Lewis | 9/15 | Mouth of Tilton R. and mouth of Klickitat Creek | Ecy-E | 46.5447 | 122.5393 |
| Merwin Reservoir | Clark/Cowlitz | 11/1 | RM 29-31, Speelyai Boat launch to Cresep Bay | Ecy-G | 45.9708 | 122.3893 |
| Methow River | Okanogan | 10/19-20 | RM 47-49, two miles SE of Winthrop | Ecy-A | 48.4430 | 120.1639 |
| Northwestern Lake | Klickitat | 11/2 | White Salmon River, RM 3.3-4.9 | Ecy-E | 45.7750 | 121.5300 |
| Ozette Lake | Clallam | 10/6-7 | North, east, and south end |  |  |  |
| Palouse R., Lower | Whitman | 9/2 | RM 19.5 @ Hooper | Ecy-G | 46.7586 | 118.1478 |
| Palouse R., Middle | Whitman | 9/8, 9/12 | RM 78 @ Sheilds Rd bridge | Ecy-BP | 46.9527 | 117.5041 |
| Palouse R., N. Fork | Whitman | 6/30 | RM 6.4-22.3, various sites | Ecy-BP | 46.9750 | 117.2108 |
| Palouse R., S. Fork | Whitman | 7/5 | RM 4.3-20.3, various sites | Ecy-BP | 46.8108 | 117.2583 |
| Potholes Reservoir | Grant | 10/25-26 | Selected areas throughout entire lake | Dfw-G | 46.9813 | 119.3144 |
| Queets River | Jefferson | 11/21 | RM 11-12, two miles upstream of Hwy 101 | Ecy-A | 47.5524 | 124.2010 |
| Rock Lake | Whitman | 8/23-24 | South end of lake | Ecy-E,G | 47.1678 | 117.6915 |
| Rowland Lake | Klickitat | 9/7-8,11/2 | Entire lake | Ecy-E, Dfw-? | 45.7082 | 121.3812 |
| Sacajawea Lake | Clark | 9/14 | Entire lake | Ecy-E | 46.1365 | 122.9518 |
| Silver Lake | Cowlitz | 9/22 | Entire lake | Ecy-E | 46.2991 | 122.7702 |
| Snake River | Franklin/Walla Walla | 11/14 | RM 11-12, near Charbonneau Park | Ecy-E | 46.2627 | 118.8490 |
| Snohomish River | Snohomish | 9/1 | RM 15-18, between French Ck and Beecher Lk | Ecy-E | 47.8754 | 122.0870 |
| Spokane River | Spokane | 8/23 | RM 85.0-86.0, near Plante Ferry | Ecy-E | 47.6950 | 117.2399 |
| Spokane River | Spokane | 11/3 | RM 39.44-40.8, lower Long Lake | Ecy-G | 47.8347 | 117.7366 |
| Spokane River | Spokane | 8/22 | RM 95.5-96.1, near Stateline | Ecy-E | 47.6983 | 117.0444 |
| Spokane River | Spokane | 9/28-29 | RM 74.5-78.5, near Mission Park | Ecy-E | 47.6640 | 117.4041 |
| Spokane River | Stevens | 9/27-28,11/3 | RM 50.6-56.3, upper Long Lake | Ecy-E,G | 47.8009 | 117.5485 |
| Spokane River | Spokane | 9/29 | RM 63.5-64.5, Nine Mile area | Ecy-E | 47.7204 | 117.5006 |
| Stan Coffin Lake | Grant | 9/6 | Entire lake | Ecy-E | 47.1485 | 119.9179 |
| Vancouver Lake | Clark | 12/5 | Entire lake | Ecy-E | 45.6800 | 122.7196 |
| Lake Washington | King | 4/27,6/27-28 | Entire lake | Dfw-E,G | 47.6305 | 122.2594 |
| Whatcom Lake | Whatcom | 10/11-13 | Entire lake | Ecy-E,G,A | 48.7338 | 122.3293 |
| Yakima River | Benton | 11/16 | RM 18-20, 2 mi. above Horn Rapids Dam | Ecy-E | 46.3711 | 119.4364 |

[^2]Table A-2. Description of Deployment Sites for Ecology SPMDs

| Waterbody | County | Sampling Dates |  | Site Description | NAD83 <br> Latitude | NAD83 <br> Longitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Deployed | Retrieved |  |  |  |
| Lower Columbia River | Wahkiakum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8/29/05 } \\ & 3 / 21 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 26 / 05 \\ & 4 / 18 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | Upstream side of dock at Beaver Generation Station (OR) | 46.8123 | 123.1809 |
| Middle Columbia River | Benton | 9/7/05 | 10/5/05 | McNary Dam, upstream end of fish ladder, right bank | 45.9405 | 119.2977 |
| Upper Columbia River | Ferry/Stevens | 9/8/05 | 10/6/05 | Cliffs below power lines upstream of Kettle Falls bridge, left bank | 48.6287 | 118.1121 |
| Duwamish River* | King | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 14 / 05 \\ & 3 / 29 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/30/05 } \\ & \text { lost } \end{aligned}$ | Harbor Island Marina, outer dock | 47.5685 | 122.3470 |
| Ozette Lake | Clallam | 8/31/05 | 9/28/05 | Anchored off boat ramp two miles before park campground | 48.1417 | 124.6480 |
| Potholes Reservoir | Grant | 9/8/05 | 10/5/05 | Hung from cabled buoys guarding Sullivan Dam outlet | 46.9835 | 119.2585 |
| Queets River | Jefferson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8/31/05 } \\ & 3 / 28 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 28 / 05 \\ & 4 / 25 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | River mile 11-12, two miles upstream of Hwy 101, left bank | 47.5526 | 124.1994 |
| Spokane River | Spokane | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 8 / 05 \\ & 3 / 23 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 6 / 05 \\ & 4 / 20 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | Approximate center of upstream side of Nine Mile Dam | 47.7751 | 117.5452 |
| Lake Washington | King | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9/2/05 } \\ & 3 / 29 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 30 / 05 \\ & \text { 4/26/06 } \end{aligned}$ | Outer end of dock at Landefeld residence, south side Madison Park | 47.6736 | 122.2514 |
| Yakima River | Benton | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 7 / 05 \\ & 3 / 22 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 5 / 05 \\ & 4 / 19 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | Diversion structure at Horn Rapids Dam, right bank | 46.3784 | 119.4181 |

[^3]
## Appendix B. Biological Data on Fish Samples

Table B-1. Biological Data on Ecology Fish Samples Analyzed for PBDEs in 2005

| Waterbody | Species | Collect Date | Sample <br> Number |  | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bead Lake | Largescale sucker | 10/25/05 | 05512000 | 416 | 659 | F | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 10/25/05 | 05512000 | 427 | 718 | M | 14 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 10/25/05 | 05512000 | 462 | 836 | F | 13 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 10/25/05 | 05512000 | 415 | 667 | F | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 10/25/05 | 05512000 | 413 | 692 | F | 10 |
|  | Burbot | 10/26/05 | 05514700 | 750 | 2173 | F | 6 |
|  | Burbot | 10/26/05 | 05514700 | 688 | 2269 | F | 6 |
|  | Burbot | 10/26/05 | 05514700 | 719 | 2219 | F | 10 |
|  | Burbot | 10/26/05 | 05514700 | 570 | 1555 | M | 3 |
|  | Burbot | 10/26/05 | 05514700 | 523 | 1014 | M | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/25/05 | 05514701 | 257 | 168 | F | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/25/05 | 05514701 | 270 | 204 | M | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/25/05 | 05514701 | 267 | 161 | F | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/25/05 | 05514701 | 260 | 172 | F | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/25/05 | 05514701 | 279 | 184 | M | 3 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/26/05 | 05514702 | 483 | 1449 | F | 9 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/26/05 | 05514702 | 481 | 1340 | F | 8 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/26/05 | 05514702 | 483 | 1580 | F | 11 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/26/05 | 05514702 | 520 | 1620 | F | 15 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/26/05 | 05514702 | 546 | 2225 | F | 12 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/25/05 | 05514703 | 239 | 93 | M | 8 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/25/05 | 05514703 | 244 | 117 | F | 7 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/25/05 | 05514703 | 246 | 109 | F | 7 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/25/05 | 05514703 | 236 | 93 | F | 8 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/25/05 | 05514703 | 258 | 125 | F | 7 |
| Chelan Lake | Cutthroat trout | 10/6/05 | 05512001 | 270 | 208 | F | 4 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/6/05 | 05512001 | 310 | 266 | M | 2 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/6/05 | 05512001 | 270 | 193 | F | 3 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/6/05 | 05512001 | 270 | 182 | M | - |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/6/05 | 05512001 | 290 | 238 | M | 2 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/5/05 | 05512002 | 305 | 329 | M | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/5/05 | 05512002 | 305 | 310 | M | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/5/05 | 05512002 | 315 | 312 | M | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/5/05 | 05512002 | 305 | 298 | F | 3 |
|  | Kokanee | 10/5/05 | 05512002 | 300 | 264 | M | 3 |
| Cowlitz River | Cutthroat trout | 8/29/05 | 05514704 | 335 | 251 | F | 3 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 8/29/05 | 05514704 | 380 | 285 | F | 3 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 8/29/05 | 05514704 | 377 | 283 | M | 3 |


| Waterbody | Collect | Sample | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age
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| Waterbody | Species | Collect Date | Sample <br> Number |  | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ozette Lake (cont.) | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 448 | 1546 | F | 6 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 385 | 892 | M | 7 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 414 | 1015 | M | 9 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 345 | 690 | F | 2 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 321 | 510 | F | 2 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 310 | 437 | F | 2 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/6/04 | 5084303 | 311 | 428 | M | 2 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/7/04 | 5084303 | 410 | 1025 | F | 5 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 10/7/04 | 5084303 | 325 | 578 | M | 2 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 337 | 330 | M | 6 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 334 | 335 | M | 4 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 349 | 335 | F | 5 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 407 | 596 | F | 12 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 355 | 386 | M | 7 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 343 | 318 | U | 5 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/6/04 | 5084304 | 420 | 755 | F | 6 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/7/04 | 5084304 | 390 | 515 | F | 7 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/7/04 | 5084304 | 374 | 473 | M | 13 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 10/7/04 | 5084304 | 404 | 592 | F | 7 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 230 | 144 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 228 | 146 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 224 | 127 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 213 | 108 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 216 | 113 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 193 | 79 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 212 | 103 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 195 | 79 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/6/04 | 5084305 | 195 | 80 | F | 2 |
|  | Yellow perch | 10/7/04 | 5084305 | 207 | 101 | F | 2 |
| Leland Lake | Largemouth bass | 9/14/05 | 05514708 | 435 | 1376 | M? | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/13/05 | 05514708 | 505 | 2211 | U | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/13/05 | 05514708 | 455 | 1256 | U | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/14/05 | 05514708 | 501 | 2033 | U | - |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/14/05 | 05514708 | 507 | 2005 | U | - |
|  | Black crappie | 9/14/05 | 06054752 | 218 | 158 | F | - |
|  | Black crappie | 9/14/05 | 06054752 | 230 | 198 | F | - |
|  | Black crappie | 9/14/05 | 06054752 | 224 | 170 | M | - |
|  | Black crappie | 9/13/05 | 06054752 | 242 | 215 | M | - |
|  | Black crappie | 9/14/05 | 06054752 | 223 | 183 | F | - |
|  | Bluegill | 9/14/05 | 06054753 | 158 | 77 | M | - |
|  | Bluegill | 9/13/05 | 06054753 | 174 | 113 | F | - |
|  | Bluegill | 9/14/05 | 06054753 | 163 | 96 | F | - |
|  | Bluegill | 9/14/05 | 06054753 | 172 | 104 | F | - |


| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age
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| Waterbody | Species | Collect Date | Sample Number | Total Length (mm) | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower Columbia R (cont.) | Northern pikeminnow | 8/30/05 | 06024738; 06024739 | 452 | 751 | F | 8 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 8/30/05 | 06024738; 06024739 | 550 | 1519 | F | 12 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 8/30/05 | 06024738; 06024739 | 489 | 1191 | F | 9 |
| Mayfield Lake | Largescale sucker | 9/15/05 | 05512008 | 484 | 1267 | F | 18 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 9/15/05 | 05512008 | 462 | 918 | F | 16 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 9/15/05 | 05512008 | 444 | 917 | F | 14 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 9/15/05 | 05512008 | 413 | 723 | F | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 9/15/05 | 05512008 | 411 | 765 | F | 8 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/15/05 | 05524721 | 310 | 452 | F | 3 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/15/05 | 05524721 | 283 | 378 | M | 2 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/15/05 | 05524721 | 297 | 323 | M | 5 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/15/05 | 05524721 | 319 | 468 | M | 4 |
|  | Largemouth bass | 9/15/05 | 05524721 | 430 | 1431 | M | 7 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/15/05 | 05524722 | 311 | 248 | M | 6 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/15/05 | 05524722 | 325 | 278 | U | 8 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/15/05 | 05524722 | 300 | 201 | U | 5 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/15/05 | 05524722 | 309 | 244 | U | 6 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/15/05 | 05524722 | 315 | 251 | U | 7 |
|  | Yellow perch | 9/15/05 | 05524723 | 230 | 156 | F? | 4 |
|  | Yellow perch | 9/15/05 | 05524723 | 220 | 129 | M? | 4 |
|  | Yellow perch | 9/15/05 | 05524723 | 222 | 130 | F? | 4 |
|  | Yellow perch | 9/15/05 | 05524723 | 261 | 214 | F? | 4 |
|  | Yellow perch | 9/15/05 | 05524723 | 251 | 192 | F? | 4 |
| Merwin Lake | Kokanee salmon | 11/1/05 | 06054758 | 381 | 533 | F | 3 |
|  | Kokanee salmon | 11/1/05 | 06054758 | 359 | 467 | M | 1 |
|  | Kokanee salmon | 11/1/05 | 06054758 | 384 | 478 | M | 2 |
|  | Kokanee salmon | 11/1/05 | 06054758 | 357 | 477 | M | 2 |
|  | Kokanee salmon | 11/1/05 | 06054758 | 368 | 478 | M | 2 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 11/1/05 | 06054759 | 454 | 1055 | F | 6 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 11/1/05 | 06054759 | 430 | 873 | F | 8 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 11/1/05 | 06054759 | 418 | 776 | F | 7 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 11/1/05 | 06054759 | 437 | 1060 | F | 7 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 11/1/05 | 06054759 | 442 | 830 | F | 6 |
| Methow River | Cutthroat trout | 10/19/05 | 05524724 | 308 | 282 | U | 5 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/19/05 | 05524724 | 276 | 205 | U | 4 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/20/05 | 05524724 | 285 | 215 | U | 3 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/20/05 | 05524724 | 317 | 290 | U | 4 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/20/05 | 05524724 | 271 | 213 | U | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 10/19/05 | 06024740 | 391 | 663 | F | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 10/19/05 | 06024740 | 407 | 614 | F | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 10/20/05 | 06024740 | 410 | 817 | F | 9 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 10/20/05 | 06024740 | 312 | 266 | F | 2 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 10/20/05 | 06024740 | 270 | 167 | M | 1 |


| Waterbody | Collect | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age
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| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age


| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age
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| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age


| Waterbody | Species | Collect Date | Sample <br> Number |  | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snohomish R (cont.) | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024745 | 298 | 205 | F | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024745 | 265 | 161 | M | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024745 | 280 | 172 | F | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024745 | 271 | 149 | M | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024745 | 261 | 127 | M | 2 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/1/05 | 06024746 | 357 | 474 | F | 4 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/1/05 | 06024746 | 365 | 526 | F | 5 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/1/05 | 06024746 | 335 | 350 | M | 5 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/1/05 | 06024746 | 311 | 282 | M | 4 |
|  | Northern pikeminnow | 9/1/05 | 06024746 | 293 | 229 | M | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024749 | 262 | 153 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024749 | 253 | 146 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024749 | 268 | 152 | M | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024749 | 275 | 168 | M | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/1/05 | 06024749 | 266 | 151 | M | 4 |
| Spokane River, Lower Long Lake | Mountain whitefish | 11/3/05 | 05494233 | 368 | 544 | F | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 11/3/05 | 05494234 | 356 | 536 | F | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 11/3/05 | 05494235 | 365 | 524 | F | 7 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 11/3/05 | 05494236 | 365 | 573 | F | 11 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 11/3/05 | 05494237 | 341 | 413 | M | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 11/3/05 | 05494238 | 349 | 468 | M | 5 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494242 | 486 | 1382 | - | 15 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494242 | 491 | 1378 | - | 6 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494242 | 502 | 1501 | F | 13 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494242 | 492 | 1410 | F | 13 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494242 | 486 | 1483 | F | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494243 | 385 | 640 | - | 3 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494243 | 409 | 757 | - | 3 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494243 | 416 | 900 | - | 3 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494243 | 401 | 724 | - | 3 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494243 | 425 | 931 | - | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494244 | 468 | 1276 | - | 10 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494244 | 475 | 1362 | - | 13 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494244 | 451 | 1218 | - | 11 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494244 | 459 | 1118 | F | 9 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 11/3/05 | 05494244 | 447 | 1030 | - | 4 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494273 | 335 | 663 | M | 3 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494273 | 364 | 810 | M | 3 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494273 | 333 | 459 | F | 4 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494273 | 332 | 541 | ? | 3 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494273 | 335 | 432 | ? | 4 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494274 | 378 | 966 | M | 4 |
|  | Smallmouth bass | 11/3/05 | 05494274 | 370 | 887 | F | 4 |
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| Waterbody | Collect | Sample <br> Date | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age


| Waterbody | Species | Collect Date | Sample Number | Total <br> Length (mm) | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spokane River, Mission Park (cont.) | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494264 | 355 | 428 | M | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494264 | 353 | 464 | F | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/28/05 | 05494265 | 365 | 443 | F | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/28/05 | 05494265 | 362 | 393 | M | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494265 | 364 | 506 | F | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494265 | 356 | 400 | F | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494265 | 355 | 434 | M | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/28/05 | 05494266 | 374 | 531 | M | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/28/05 | 05494266 | 374 | 457 | F | 7 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494266 | 382 | 585 | F | 7 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494266 | 370 | 466 | M | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494266 | 372 | 471 | F | 4 |
| Spokane R, Ninemile | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494259 | 430 | 777 | - | 7 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494259 | 429 | 935 | F | 7 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494259 | 417 | 842 | F | 7 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494259 | 422 | 800 | - | 8 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494260 | 391 | 649 | - | 5 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494260 | 400 | 884 | F | 6 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494260 | 414 | 756 | F | 8 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494260 | 408 | 705 | - | 7 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494260 | 410 | 884 | F | 6 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494267 | 309 | 254 | M | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494267 | 277 | 187 | F | 2 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494267 | 304 | 289 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494267 | 282 | 199 | M | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05494267 | 287 | 184 | F | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494269 | 328 | 351 | M | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494269 | 295 | 270 | M | 1 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494269 | 305 | 283 | F | 1 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494269 | 265 | 179 | ? | 1 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494269 | 332 | 371 | F | 1 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494270 | 341 | 394 | F | 4 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494270 | 354 | 417 | ? | 1 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494270 | 341 | 388 | F | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494270 | 370 | 461 | M | 3 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494270 | 348 | 415 | M | 3 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494257; 05494258 | 446 | 956 | F | 7 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494257; 05494258 | 438 | 980 | F | 10 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494257; 05494258 | 435 | 839 | U | 7 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494257; 05494258 | 435 | 782 | F | 6 |
|  | Bridgelip sucker | 9/29/05 | 05494257; 05494258 | 449 | 969 | F | 11 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494272; 05524719 | 372 | 472 | F | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494272; 05524719 | 430 | 646 | U | 4 |
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| Waterbody | Species | Collect Date | Sample Number | Total Length (mm) | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spokane R, Ninemile (cont.) | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494272; 05524719 | 427 | 649 | F | 3 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494272; 05524719 | 390 | 578 | U | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 9/29/05 | 05494272; 05524719 | 410 | 617 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05524736; 05494268 | 316 | 308 | F | 2 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05524736; 05494268 | 326 | 390 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05524736; 05494268 | 318 | 271 | F | 4 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05524736; 05494268 | 322 | 308 | U | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | 05524736; 05494268 | 321 | 268 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | $\begin{gathered} 05524736 ; 05524718 ; \\ 05494268 \end{gathered}$ | 343 | 369 | F | 3 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | $\begin{gathered} 05524736 ; 05524718 ; \\ 05494271 \end{gathered}$ | 346 | 356 | F | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | $\begin{gathered} 05524736 ; 05524718 ; \\ 05494271 \end{gathered}$ | 368 | 390 | F | 12 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | $\begin{gathered} 05524736 ; 05524718 ; \\ 05494271 \end{gathered}$ | 344 | 396 | M | 5 |
|  | Mountain whitefish | 9/29/05 | $\begin{gathered} 05524736 ; 05524718 ; \\ 05494271 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 344 | 316 | F | 5 |
| Spokane River, Plante Ferry | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494230 | 510 | 1277 | M | 4 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494230 | 442 | 860 | F | 4 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494230 | 440 | 770 | F | 3 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494230 | 452 | 780 | F | 3 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494230 | 409 | 670 | M | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494231 | 406 | 609 | M? | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494231 | 365 | 530 | F | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494231 | 407 | 610 | M? | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494231 | 390 | 570 | F | 4 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494231 | 348 | 445 | M | 3 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494232 | 342 | 410 | F? | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494232 | 320 | 343 | M? | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494232 | 329 | 363 | F | 2 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494232 | 311 | 296 | M? | 1 |
|  | Rainbow trout | 8/23/05 | 05494232 | 338 | 310 | F | 2 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494248 | 564 | 1802 | - | 9 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494248 | 515 | 1337 | - | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494248 | 520 | 1188 | - | 9 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494248 | 540 | 1410 | - | 10 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494248 | 522 | 1370 | - | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494249 | 490 | 1115 | - | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494249 | 480 | 1070 | - | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494249 | 478 | 982 | - | 5 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494249 | 509 | 1240 | - | 9 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494249 | 464 | 1060 | - | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494250 | 440 | 846 | - | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 8/23/05 | 05494250 | 443 | 970 | - | 5 |


| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age
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| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age


| Waterbody | Species | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total Length (mm) | Weight (gm) | Sex | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Columbia River (cont.) | Walleye | 10/20/05 | 05512005 | 359 | 436 | M | 2 |
|  | Walleye | 10/20/05 | 05512005 | 335 | 289 | M | 2 |
|  | Walleye | 10/20/05 | 05512005 | 366 | 432 | M | 4 |
|  | Lake whitefish | 10/20/05 | 05522027 | 560 | 2102 | F | 5 |
|  | Lake whitefish | 10/20/05 | 05522027 | 494 | 1412 | M | 3 |
|  | Lake whitefish | 10/20/05 | 05522027 | 511 | 1773 | F | 3 |
|  | Lake whitefish | 9/13/05 | 05522027 | 403 | 941 | M | 2 |
|  | Lake whitefish | 9/14/05 | 05522027 | 420 | 909 | M | 2 |
| Vancouver Lake | Largescale sucker | 12/5/05 | 05522026 | 487 | 1101 | F | 12 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 12/5/05 | 05522026 | 476 | 1001 | F | 11 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 12/5/05 | 05522026 | 467 | 1016 | F | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 12/5/05 | 05522026 | 497 | 1057 | F | 13 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 12/5/05 | 05522026 | 485 | 1063 | F | 10 |
| Lake Washington | Largescale sucker | 6/28/05 | 05512012 | 501 | 1332 | U | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/28/05 | 05512012 | 533 | 1707 | U | 17 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/28/05 | 05512012 | 436 | 969 | U | 6 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/28/05 | 05512012 | 449 | 856 | U | 6 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/27/05 | 05512012 | 410 | 875 | U | 5 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/27/05 | 05512013 | 410 | 744 | U | 4 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/27/05 | 05512013 | 451 | 1042 | F | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/27/05 | 05512013 | 428 | 782 | U | 7 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/27/05 | 05512013 | 443 | 990 | U | 8 |
|  | Largescale sucker | 6/27/05 | 05512013 | 540 | 1555 | F | 23 |
|  | Common carp | 6/28/05 | 05524717 | 670 | 4555 | U | 10 |
|  | Common carp | 6/28/05 | 05524717 | 670 | 5280 | M? | 20 |
|  | Common carp | 6/28/05 | 05524717 | 710 | 5994 | U | 14 |
|  | Common carp | 6/28/05 | 05524717 | 680 | 5644 | U | 18 |
|  | Common carp | 4/27/05 | 05524717 | 760 | 6322 | U | 23 |
| Lake Whatcom | Brown bullhead | 10/12/05 | 05522020 | 284 | 283 | F | 2 |
|  | Brown bullhead | 10/12/05 | 05522020 | 270 | 242 | F? | 1 |
|  | Brown bullhead | 10/12/05 | 05522020 | 279 | 272 | M? | 1 |
|  | Brown bullhead | 10/12/05 | 05522020 | 287 | 280 | M | 1 |
|  | Brown bullhead | 10/12/05 | 05522020 | 275 | 260 | F | 1 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/11/05 | 05524729 | 278 | 200 | M | 17 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/11/05 | 05524729 | 271 | 215 | M | 10 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/11/05 | 05524729 | 270 | 173 | M | 8 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/11/05 | 05524729 | 261 | 168 | F | 12 |
|  | Peamouth | 10/11/05 | 05524729 | 252 | 157 | M | 7 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/12/05 | 06024747 | 435 | 770 | F | 4 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/12/05 | 06024747 | 445 | 752 | F | 6 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/12/05 | 06024747 | 412 | 722 | M | 4 |
|  | Cutthroat trout | 10/12/05 | 06024747 | 384 | 550 | F | 4 |
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| Waterbody | Collect <br> Date | Sample <br> Number | Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Weight <br> (gm) | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Age

* Present study uses Ecology data from Ozette Lake samples collected in 2004.
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## Appendix C. Names of Fish Species Analyzed

Table C-1. Common and Scientific Names of Fish Species Analyzed for PBDEs in 2005

| Common name | Scientific name | Family name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Black crappie | Pomoxis nigromaculatus | Centrarchidae |
| Blue gill | Lepomis machrochirus | Centrarchidae |
| Brown bullhead | Ameiurus nebulosus | Ictaluridae |
| Brook trout | Salvelinus fontinalis | Salmonidae |
| Bridgelip sucker | Catostomus columbianus | Catostomidae |
| Brown trout | Salmo trutta | Salmonidae |
| Burbot | Lota lota | Gadidae |
| Channel catfish | Ictalurus punctatus | Ictaluridae |
| Common carp | Cyprinus carpio | Cyprinidae |
| Cutthroat trout | Oncorhynchus clarki | Salmonidae |
| Grass carp | Ctenopharyngodon idella | Cyprinidae |
| Kokanee salmon | Oncorhynchus nerka | Salmonidae |
| Largemouth bass | Micropterus salmoides | Centrarchidae |
| Largescale sucker | Catostomus macrocheilus | Catostomidae |
| Lake whitefish | Coregonus clupeaformis | Salmonidae |
| Mountain whitefish | Prosopium williamsoni | Salmonidae |
| Northern pikeminnow | Ptychocheilus oregonensis | Cyprinidae |
| Peamouth | Mylocheilus caurinus | Cyprinidae |
| Pumpkinseed | Lepomis gibbosus | Centrarchidae |
| Rainbow trout | Oncorhynchus mykiss | Salmonidae |
| Smallmouth bass | Micropterus dolomieu | Centrarchidae |
| Walleye | Stizostedion vitreum | Percidae |
| Yellow perch | Perca flavescens | Percidae |

## Appendix D. Department of Health PBDE Data on Lake Washington

Table D-1. WDOH Data on PBDEs in Lake Washington and Green Lake Fish Fillets Collected in 2005

| Date | Sample No. | Species | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Size } \\ & \text { Class } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PBDEs (ug/Kg, wet weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipids <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 47 |  | 66 |  | 71 |  | 99 |  | 100 |  | 138 |  | 153 |  | 154 |  | 183 |  | 190 |  | 209 |  |  |
| Lake Washington |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/2 | 5138741 | Yellow perch |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.6 |
| 5/3 | 5138742 | Yellow perch |  | 0.75 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.22 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.78 |
| 5/3 | 5138743 | Yellow perch |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.33 |
| 5/3 | 5138744 | Yellow perch |  | 2.0 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.26 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.59 |
| 5/5 | 5138751 | Yellow perch |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.59 |
| 5/5 | 5138753 | Yellow perch |  | 0.15 | J | 0.5 | U | 0.15 | J | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.6 |
| 6/1 | 5138761 | Yellow perch |  | 2.3 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.56 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.45 | J | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.42 |
| 6/1 | 5138762 | Yellow perch |  | 1.0 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.24 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.42 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.44 |
| 6/3 | 5138764 | Yellow perch |  | 5.9 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.55 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.52 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.37 |
| 7/12 | 5138777 | Yellow perch |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.71 |
| 5/4 | 5138745 | Cutthroat trout | >400 | 84 | E | 0.74 |  | 2.1 |  | 17 |  | 11 |  | 0.46 | U | 7 |  | 4.4 |  | 0.46 | U | 0.46 | U | 2.3 | U | 3.97 |
| 5/4 | 5138746 | Cutthroat trout | >400 | 45 | E | 0.2 | J | 2.2 |  | 15 |  | 8 |  | 0.48 | U | 3.2 |  | 3.3 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 2.69 |
| 5/4 | 5138747 | Cuthroat trout | >400 | 73 | E | 0.44 | J | 3.1 |  | 23 |  | 11 |  | 0.49 | U | 5.6 |  | 4.7 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 3.72 |
| 6/10 | 5138773 | Cutthroat trout | >400 | 86 | E | 0.46 | J | 1.6 |  | 11 |  | 10 |  | 0.48 | U | 8 |  | 4 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 3.08 |
| 6/10 | 5138774 | Cuthroat trout | >400 | 34 | E | 0.47 | U | 2.2 |  | 18 |  | 8.8 |  | 0.47 | U | 2.5 |  | 3.1 |  | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 2.4 | U | 3.5 |
| 6/10 | 5138775 | Cuthroat trout | >400 | 27 | E | 0.48 | U | 2.6 |  | 12 |  | 5.8 |  | 0.48 | U | 2.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 4.01 |
| 6/10 | 5138776 | Cutthroat trout | >400 | 28 | E | 0.46 | U | 1.7 |  | 9.2 |  | 5.2 |  | 0.46 | U | 2.2 |  | 2 |  | 0.46 | U | 0.46 | U | 0.52 | J | 3.51 |
| 6/3 | 5138763 | Cutthroat trout | 300-400 | 18 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.96 |  | 7.6 |  | 3.4 |  | 0.5 | U | 1.2 |  | 1.4 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 3.65 |
| 6/8 | 5138769 | Cuthroat trout | 300-400 | 23 | E | 0.43 | J | 1.6 |  | 10.8 |  | 4.8 |  | 0.48 | U | 1.9 |  | 2.3 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 3.18 |
| 7/15 | 5138786 | Cuthroat trout | 300-400 | 9.9 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.6 |  | 4.2 |  | 1.4 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.47 | J | 0.49 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 3.29 |
| 5/5 | 5138748 | Cuthroat trout | <300 | 8.7 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.36 | J | 3.3 |  | 1.4 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.61 |  | 0.87 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.5 | U | 1.25 |
| 5/5 | 5138749 | Cuthroat trout | <300 | 6.5 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.27 | J | 2.8 |  | 0.55 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.27 | J | 0.31 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 2.52 |
| 5/5 | 5138750 | Cuthroat trout | <300 | 3.5 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 |  | 0.31 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.15 | J | 0.15 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 1.24 |
| 5/5 | 5138752 | Cutthroat trout | <300 | 2.8 |  | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.75 |  | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.15 | J | 0.15 | J | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.96 |
| 5/8 | 5138754 | Northern pikeminnow | >300 | 22.4 | E | 0.5 | U | 0.86 |  | 0.5 | U | 2.8 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.63 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 2.71 |
| 5/8 | 5138755 | Northern pikeminnow | >300 | 48 | E | 0.47 | U | 1.4 |  | 0.47 | U | 5.9 |  | 0.47 | U | 1 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 2.3 | U | 5.16 |
| 5/8 | 5138756 | Northern pikeminnow | >300 | 165 | E | 0.49 | U | 3.7 |  | 0.49 | U | 25.9 | E | 0.49 | U | 1.6 |  | 10.7 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 6.93 |
| 5/8 | 5138757 | Northern pikeminnow | >300 | 38.5 | E | 0.49 | U | 1.6 |  | 0.49 | U | 6.2 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.44 |  | 2.5 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.5 | U | 3.43 |
| 5/9 | 5138758 | Northern pikeminnow | <300 | 3.8 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.18 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.35 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.13 | J | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 2.16 |
| 5/9 | 5138759 | Northern pikeminnow | <300 | 5.7 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.27 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.46 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.11 | J | 0.23 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 2.58 |
| 5/9 | 5138760 | Northern pikeminnow | <300 | 11.6 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.47 | J | 0.49 | U | 1.5 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.69 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.5 | U | 2.45 |
| 6/3 | 5138765 | Black crappie |  | 0.75 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.44 | J | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 1.16 |
| 6/8 | 5138767 | Black crappie |  | 1.4 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.58 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.58 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.96 |
| 6/8 | 5138768 | Black crappie |  | 0.67 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.62 |
| 7/12 | 5138778 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 0.49 | UJ | 2.4 | UJ | 0.4 |
| 7/12 | 5138779 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.4 |
| 7/12 | 5138780 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.64 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.07 | J | 0.12 | J | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.3 |
| 7/13 | 5138781 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 0.47 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.47 |
| 7/13 | 5138782 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.48 |
| 7/13 | 5138783 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 0.32 |
| 7/13 | 5138784 | Pumpkin seed |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 0.34 |
| 7/14 | 5138785 | Rainbow trout |  | 0.75 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.18 |  | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 0.49 | U | 2.4 | U | 2.06 |

Table D-1 (cont.). WDOH Data on PBDEs in Lake Washington and Green Lake Fish Fillets Collected in 2005

| Date | Sample No. | Species | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Size } \\ \text { Class } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PBDEs (ug/Kg, wet weight) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lipids <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 47 |  | 66 |  | 71 |  | 99 |  | 100 |  | 138 |  | 153 |  | 154 |  | 183 |  | 190 |  | 209 |  |  |
| Green Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/7 | 5138766 | Common carp |  | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 0.48 | UJ | 2.4 | UJ | 1.42 |
| 6/8 | 5138770 | Common carp |  | 1.4 |  | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 0.5 | U | 2.5 | U | 5.66 |
| 6/9 | 5138771 | Common carp |  | 2.0 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 4.35 |
| 6/9 | 5138772 | Common carp |  | 1.2 |  | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 0.48 | U | 2.4 | U | 1.87 |

$\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
$\mathrm{J}=$ Estimated value
UJ = Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit. Quantitation limit is approximate.
$\mathrm{E}=$ Concentration exceeds known calibration range

## Appendix E. EPA PBDE Data on Washington Lakes

Table E-1. Unpublished PBDE Data on Washington State from the EPA National Study of Chemical Residues in Lake Fish Tissue (ng/Kg, parts per trillion, wet weight)

| Location | Date | Species | Tissue | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8/11 |  | 10 |  | 12/13 |  | 15 |  | 17/25 |  | 28/33 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 97.4 | U | 97.4 | U | 48.7 | U | 19.5 | U | 27.5 | J | 127 | J | 471 | J |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 95 | U | 95 | U | 47.5 | U | 19 | U | 16.2 | J | 124 |  | 489 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 118 | U | 118 | U | 59.2 | U | 23.7 | U | 5.9 | U | 9.1 | J | 20.7 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 98.6 | U | 98.6 | U | 49.3 | U | 19.7 | U | 4.9 | U | 9.4 | J | 20 |  |
| Lake Wallula | 8/27/03 | Smallmouth bass | Fillet | 99.6 | U | 99.6 | U | 49.8 | U | 19.9 | U | 9.4 | J | 22.1 |  | 106 |  |
| Lake Wallula | 11/6/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 93.1 | U | 93.1 | U | 46.6 | U | 18.6 | U | 42.7 | J | 170 |  | 1180 |  |
| Buffalo Lake | 7/24/02 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 99.2 | U | 99.2 | U | 49.6 | U | 19.8 | U | 5 | U | 10.4 |  | 21.7 |  |
| Calligan Lake | 7/17/02 | Rainbow trout | Fillet | 97.9 | U | 97.9 | U | 49 | U | 19.6 | U | 4.9 | U | 6.5 | J | 13.6 |  |
| Lake Nahwatzel | 9/4/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 99.4 | U | 99.4 | U | 49.7 | U | 19.9 | U | 5 | U | 5 | J | 11.2 |  |
| Patterson Lake | 8/26/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 5.8 | J | 19 |  | 19.9 | U | 10 | U | 19.9 | U | 10 | U | 817 |  |
| ocation | D |  | sue | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location |  |  | Tissue | 30 |  | 32 |  | 35 |  | 37 |  | 47 |  | 49 |  | 51 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 19.5 | U | 9.7 | U | 19.5 | U | 9.7 | U | 18700 |  | 352 |  | 57.5 | J |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 19 | U | 9.5 | U | 19 | U | 9.5 | U | 18100 |  | 286 | J | 44.4 | J |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 23.7 | U | 11.8 | U | 23.7 | U | 11.8 | U | 1000 |  | 114 |  | 23.7 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 19.7 | U | 9.9 | U | 19.7 | U | 9.9 | U | 484 | J | 43.9 | J | 19.7 | U |
| Lake Wallula | 8/27/03 | Smallmouth bass | Fillet | 19.9 | U | 10 | U | 19.9 | U | 10 | U | 4720 |  | 130 |  | 19.9 | U |
| Lake Wallula | 11/6/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 18.6 | U | 9.3 | U | 18.6 | U | 9.3 | U | 16200 |  | 469 |  | 85.4 |  |
| Buffalo Lake | 7/24/02 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 19.8 | U | 9.9 | U | 19.8 | U | 9.9 | U | 518 |  | 49.3 |  | 19.8 | U |
| Calligan Lake | 7/17/02 | Rainbow trout | Fillet | 19.6 | U | 9.8 | U | 19.6 | U | 9.8 | U | 1050 |  | 73.2 |  | 5.7 | J |
| Lake Nahwatzel | 9/4/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 19.9 | U | 9.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 9.9 | U | 565 |  | 16.3 |  | 19.9 | U |
| Patterson Lake | 8/26/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 61.7 |  | 19.9 | U | 47.3 |  | 19.9 | U | 10 | U | 5 | U | 5 | U |

Table E-1 (cont.). Unpublished PBDE Data on Washington State from the EPA National Study of Chemical Residues in Lake Fish Tissue (ng/Kg, parts per trillion, wet weight)

| Location | Date | Species | Tissue | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 66 |  | 71 |  | 75 |  | 77 |  | 79 |  | 85 |  | 99 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 44.6 | J | 97.4 | U | 19.2 | J | 24.3 | U | 65.6 |  | 4.9 | U | 4.9 | UJ |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 14.6 | J | 7.6 | J | 20.5 |  | 4.7 | U | 15.8 |  | 4.7 | U | 4.8 | UJ |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 39.8 |  | 8.5 | J | 11.8 | U | 5.9 | U | 8.5 |  | 5.9 | U | 625 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 16 | J | 19.7 | U | 9.9 | U | 4.9 | U | 3.2 | J | 4.9 | U | 271 | J |
| Lake Wallula | 8/27/03 | Smallmouth bass | Fillet | 85.2 |  | 19.9 | U | 5.7 | J | 4.2 | J | 5 | U | 5 | U | 1190 |  |
| Lake Wallula | 11/6/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 11.3 | J | 9.7 | J | 35.3 |  | 4.7 | U | 4.7 | U | 4.7 | U | 4.7 | UJ |
| Buffalo Lake | 7/24/02 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 18.4 | J | 19.8 | U | 9.9 | U | 5 | U | 2.3 | J | 5 | U | 288 | J |
| Calligan Lake | 7/17/02 | Rainbow trout | Fillet | 65.2 |  | 19.6 | U | 2.8 | J | 1.5 | J | 14.3 |  | 4.9 | U | 1700 | J |
| Lake Nahwatzel | 9/4/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 23.4 |  | 19.9 | U | 9.9 | U | 5 | U | 5 | U | 5 | U | 132 |  |
| Patterson Lake | 8/26/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 5 | U | 658 |  | 225 |  | 10 | U | 19.9 | U | 9.9 | J | 10 | U |
| Location | Date | Species | Tissue | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 100 |  | 105 |  | 116 |  | 119/1 | 0 | 126 |  | 128 |  | 138/166 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 3020 | J | 9.7 | U | 19.5 | U | 56.3 |  | 10.2 |  | 19.5 | U | 19.5 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 2770 | J | 9.5 | U | 19 | U | 72.6 | J | 10.4 | J | 19 | U | 19 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 243 |  | 11.8 | U | 23.7 | U | 8.7 | J | 11.8 | U | 23.7 | U | 23.7 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 85.2 | J | 9.9 | U | 19.7 | U | 4.7 | J | 9.9 | U | 19.7 | U | 19.7 | U |
| Lake Wallula | 8/27/03 | Smallmouth bass | Fillet | 864 |  | 10 | U | 19.9 | U | 19.9 |  | 10 | U | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U |
| Lake Wallula | 11/6/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 4540 | J | 9.3 | U | 18.6 | U | 81.4 | J | 16.7 | J | 18.6 | U | 18.6 | U |
| Buffalo Lake | 7/24/02 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 87.7 | J | 9.9 | U | 19.8 | U | 4.6 | J | 9.9 | U | 19.8 | U | 19.8 | U |
| Calligan Lake | 7/17/02 | Rainbow trout | Fillet | 457 | J | 9.8 | U | 19.6 | U | 27.5 | J | 9.8 | U | 19.6 | U | 19.6 | U |
| Lake Nahwatzel | 9/4/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 79.7 |  | 9.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 5.6 | J | 9.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U |
| Patterson Lake | 8/26/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 76 |  | 67.3 |  | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U |

Table E-1 (cont.). Unpublished PBDE Data on Washington State from the EPA National Study of Chemical Residues in Lake Fish Tissue (ng/Kg, parts per trillion, wet weight)

| Location | Date | Species | Tissue | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 140 |  | 153 |  | 154 |  | 155 |  | 181 |  | 183 |  | 190 |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 19.5 | U | 197 |  | 909 |  | 95.4 |  | 19.5 | U | 4.9 | U | 19.5 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 19 | U | 148 |  | 871 |  | 85.2 |  | 19 | U | 4.7 | U | 19 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 23.7 | U | 60.8 |  | 69.4 |  | 23.7 | U | 23.7 | U | 5.9 | U | 23.7 | U |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 19.7 | U | 29 |  | 27 |  | 19.7 | U | 19.7 | U | 4.9 | U | 19.7 | U |
| Lake Wallula | 8/27/03 | Smallmouth bass | Fillet | 19.9 | U | 228 |  | 143 |  | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 2 | J | 19.9 | U |
| Lake Wallula | 11/6/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 18.6 | U | 264 |  | 1030 |  | 133 |  | 18.6 | U | 4.7 | U | 18.6 | U |
| Buffalo Lake | 7/24/02 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 19.8 | U | 29.4 |  | 26.6 |  | 19.8 | U | 19.8 | U | 5 | U | 19.8 | U |
| Calligan Lake | 7/17/02 | Rainbow trout | Fillet | 9.1 | J | 219 |  | 241 |  | 16.4 | J | 19.6 | U | 4.9 | UJ | 19.6 | U |
| Lake Nahwatzel | 9/4/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 19.9 | U | 37.3 |  | 29.2 |  | 19.9 | U | 19.9 | U | 5 | U | 19.9 | U |
| Patterson Lake | 8/26/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 1.4 | J | 19.9 | U | 49.8 | U | 49.8 | U | 49.8 | U | 49.8 | U | 996 | U |
| Location | Date |  | Tissue | PBDE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 209 |  | Lipids |  |  |  |
| Location | Date | Species | Tissue | 203 |  | 206 |  | 207 |  | 208 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 48.7 | U | 48.7 | U | 48.7 | U | 48.7 | U | 974 | U |  | 5.78 |  |  |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 47.5 | U | 47.5 | U | 47.6 | UJ | 47.5 | U | 950 | U | 6.38 |  |  |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 59.2 | U | 59.2 | U | 59.2 | U | 59.2 | U | 1180 | U | 0.76 |  |  |  |
| Pend Oreille River | 7/23/02 | Brown trout | Fillet | 49.3 | U | 49.3 | U | 49.3 | U | 49.3 | U | 986 | U | 1.47 |  |  |  |
| Lake Wallula | 8/27/03 | Smallmouth bass | Fillet | 49.8 | U | 49.8 | U | 49.8 | U | 49.8 | U | 996 | U | 1.62 |  |  |  |
| Lake Wallula | 11/6/02 | Largescale sucker | Whole | 46.6 | U | 46.6 | U | 46.6 | U | 46.6 | U | 931 | U | 5.96 |  |  |  |
| Buffalo Lake | 7/24/02 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 49.6 | U | 49.6 | U | 49.5 | UJ | 49.6 | U | 992 | U | 3.74 |  |  |  |
| Calligan Lake | 7/17/02 | Rainbow trout | Fillet | 49 | U | 49 | U | 49 | UJ | 49 | U | 979 | U | 2.41 |  |  |  |
| Lake Nahwatzel | 9/4/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 49.7 | U | 49.7 | U | 49.7 | U | 49.7 | U | 994 | U | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| Patterson Lake | 8/26/03 | Largemouth bass | Fillet | 49.7 | U | 49.7 | U | 49.7 | U | 49.7 | U | 994 | U | 1.59 |  |  |  |
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# Appendix F. North Carolina PBDE Risk Assessment 

(Provided by Dr. Luanne Williams, 6/14/06 email)<br>Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers Fish Risk Assessment<br>Medical Evaluation and Risk Assessment Unit<br>Occupational and Environmental Epidemiology Branch<br>North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services<br>March 29, 2005

## Prevalence of PBDEs in Environment

Most toxicological studies concerning PBDEs have been conducted with commercial products containing deca-BDEs, nona-BDEs, and octa-BDEs (Pijnenburg AMCM, Everts JW, De Boer J, and Boon JP 1995 Polybrominated Biphenyl and Diphenylether Flame Retardants: Analysis, Toxicity, and Environmental Occurrence, Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, Vol. 141). Some studies have reported a greater bioaccumulation of the PBDEs with a low bromine content (such as the tetra-BDE and penta-BDE) as compared to the higher brominated compounds (Pijnenburg AMCM, Everts JW, De Boer J, and Boon JP 1995 Polybrominated Biphenyl and Diphenylether Flame Retardants: Analysis, Toxicity, and Environmental Occurrence, Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, Vol. 141; Sellstrom U, Jansson B, Nylund K, Odsjo T, Olsson M 1990 Anthropogenic brominated aromatics in the Swedish environment. Dioxin 1990 EPRI-Seminar. Bayreuth, Germany. Short papers, pp. 357-360).

## Toxicity of PBDEs

The toxicity values for PBDEs were researched within the US EPA Integrated Risk Information System (on-line at www.epa.gov/iris). Based on the review of the literature and the potential for the accumulation of the lower PBDEs, the toxicity of PBDEs increases as the bromine content decreases. According to the scientific literature, a greater bioaccumulation is likely to occur with PBDEs with a lower bromine content like tetra-BDE and penta-BDE. Using the $0.002 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ day reference dose for pentabromodiphenyl ether, a reference dose can be calculated for tetrabromodiphenyl ether by applying a safety factor of 2 to account for uncertainty in the differences in toxicity. A reference dose of $0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day is the recommended reference dose for the general public for tetrabromodiphenyl ether. According to Dr. Linda Birnbaum with the US EPA, reproductive and developmental effects have been observed in animals following exposure to PBDEs and the reference dose of $1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for the general public may possibly need to be reduced by $1 / 3$ which is equal to $0.0003 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$-day. Additional research is needed (October 20-22, 2002 National Forum on Contaminants in Fish sponsored by American Fisheries Society and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency).

| PBDEs | Reference Dose | Carcinogenicity Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tetrabromodiphenyl <br> ether | Not available - recommend use of Rfd for <br> pentabromodiphenyl ether of 0.002 mg/kg-day and <br> safety factor of 2 to account for uncertainty in <br> toxicity difference $=0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for general <br> public and a safety factor of 3 to account for <br> uncertainty in reproductive/developmental toxicity $=$ <br> $0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day for women of childbearing age <br> and children | Class D (not classifiable because <br> of no human or animal data) |
| Pentabromodiphenyl <br> ether | $0.002 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (induction of liver enzymes in rats) | Class D (not classifiable no data) |
| Octabromodiphenyl <br> ether | $0.003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (induction of liver enzymes in rats) | Class D (not classifiable no data) |
| Decabromodiphenyl <br> ether | $0.010 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day (no adverse effects observed) | Class C (possible human carcinogen, <br> increased incidences of neoplastic <br> liver nodules in rats, hepatocellular <br> adenomas or carcinomas in mice) |

## Calculations

1. Calculated Fish Meals Per Month and Week for total PBDEs in fish (Tetra-BDE and PentaBDE) for Women of Childbearing Age (15 to 44 years) and Children (less than 15 years)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{mm}}=\frac{\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{lim}} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ap}}}{\mathrm{MS}}$
(Equation obtained from USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{mm}}=$ maximum allowable fish consumption rate (meals/month)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\text {lim }}=$ maximum allowable fish consumption rate (kg/day)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\text {lim }}=\mathrm{RFD} \times \mathrm{BW}$ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$

RFD $=0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day reference dose for developing fetus and adult women of childbearing age

BW = consumer body weight of 67 kg for women 15 to 44 years
(Body weight obtained from USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ average measured Total PBDE concentration of chemical contaminant $m$ in a given species of fish (mg/kg)
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ap}}=$ time average period (365.25 days/12 months $=30.44$ days/month)
(Obtained from USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)

MS = meal size ( 0.170 kilograms fish/meal or 6 ounces)
(Obtained from USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)

Table F-1. Fish Meals Per Month and Week for Women of Childbearing Age (15 to 44 years) and Children (less than 15 years)

| Fish PBDE Levels (mg/kg) | Equations |  |  | Fish meals per month (meals per week) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\text { day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 3.6 (or 0.9 per week) |
| 2.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\text { day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 1.8 (or 0.5 per week) |
| 3.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\text { day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 1.2 (or 0.3 per week) |
| 4.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 0.9 (or 0.2 per week) |
| 5.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 0.7 (or 0.2 per week) |
| 6.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 0.6 (or 0.1 per week) |
| 7.0 | $\frac{0.0003 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {-day x } 67 \mathrm{~kg}}{7.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 0.5 (or 0.1 per week) |

2. Calculated Fish Meals per Month and Week for General Public (males 15 years and older and women greater than 44 years)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{mm}}=\frac{\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{lim}} \times \mathrm{XT}_{\mathrm{ap}}}{\mathrm{MS}}$
(Equation obtained from USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\mathrm{mm}}=$ maximum allowable fish consumption rate (meals/month)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\text {lim }}=$ maximum allowable fish consumption rate (kg/day)
$\mathrm{CR}_{\text {lim }}=$ RFD x BW $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$

RFD $=0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$-day reference dose for general public
BW = consumer body weight of 70 kg
(Body weight obtained from USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad=$ average total PBDE concentration of chemical contaminant $m$ in a given species of fish (mg/kg)
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ap }}=$ time average period (365.25 days/12 months $=30.44$ days $/$ month)
(USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)

MS = meal size ( 0.170 kilograms fish/meal or 6 ounces)
(USEPA Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, EPA 823-B-00-008 November 2000)

Table F-2. Fish Meals per Month and Week for General Public (males 15 years and older and women greater than 44 years)

| Fish PBDE Levels (mg/kg) | Equations |  |  | Fish meals per month (meals per week) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | $\frac{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day} \mathrm{x} 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 12.5 (or 3.1 per week) |
| 2.0 | $\frac{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\text { day x } 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{mos}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 6.3 (or 1.6 per week) |
| 3.0 | $\frac{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day} \mathrm{x} 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\text { x } \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{mos}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 4.2 (or 1.1 per week) |
| 4.0 | $\frac{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day} \mathrm{x} 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\text { x } \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{mos}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 3.1 (or 0.8 per week) |
| 5.0 | $\begin{gathered} \underline{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day} \mathrm{x} 70 \mathrm{~kg}} \\ 5.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \operatorname{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 2.5 (or 0.6 per week) |
| 6.0 | $\frac{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day} \mathrm{x} 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\text { x } \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 2.1 (or 0.5 per week) |
| 7.0 | $\frac{0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{day} \mathrm{x} 70 \mathrm{~kg}}{7.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\mathrm{x} \quad 30.44 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{mos} \mathrm{x}$ | $\frac{1 \text { meal }}{0.170 \mathrm{~kg} \text { fish }}$ | 1.8 (or 0.5 per week) |

## Recommendations

| Average <br> PBDE Level | Recommendations |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | No recommendations warranted - safe for unrestricted consumption |
| 2 to $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | (Species) in (waterbody) contains higher than normal levels of PBDEs. <br> Women of childbearing age (15-44 years) and children under age 15 should not <br> eat (species) in (waterbody). All others should limit consumption of (species) <br> two meals per month. |
| $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or $>$ | (Species) in (waterbody) contains higher than normal levels of PBDEs. <br> No consumption of (species) is recommended. |

## Appendix G. Ancillary Water Quality Data

Table G-1. Ancillary Water Quality Data for SPMD Deployments

| Sample No. | Field ID | Parameter | Collection Date | Result | Qualifier | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 2005 Deployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5364131 | DUWAMISH R | SAL | 9/2/05 | 17.5 |  | g/Kg ww |
| 5364131 | DUWAMISH R | TOC | 9/2/05 | 1.5 |  | mg/L |
| 5364131 | DUWAMISH R | TSS | 9/2/05 | 4 | J | mg/L |
| 5374020 | DUWAMISH R | SAL | 9/14/05 | 14.5 |  | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ww |
| 5374020 | DUWAMISH R | TOC | 9/14/05 | 1.6 |  | mg/L |
| 5374020 | DUWAMISH R | TSS | 9/14/05 | 4 |  | mg/L |
| 5394081 | DUWAMISH R | SAL | 9/30/05 | 15.5 |  | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{Kg}$ ww |
| 5394081 | DUWAMISH R | TOC | 9/30/05 | 1.7 |  | mg/L |
| 5394081 | DUWAMISH R | TSS | 9/30/05 | 4 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384035 | LAKE ROOSEV | TOC | 9/21/05 | 1.1 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384035 | LAKE ROOSEV | TSS | 9/21/05 | 1 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364139 | LAKE ROOSEV | TOC | 9/8/05 | 1.7 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364139 | LAKE ROOSEV | TSS | 9/8/05 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5404109 | LAKE ROOSEV | TOC | 10/6/05 | 1.3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5404109 | LAKE ROOSEV | TSS | 10/6/05 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364132 | LAKE WASH | TOC | 9/2/05 | 2.6 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364132 | LAKE WASH | TSS | 9/2/05 | 1 | UJ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5374021 | LAKE WASH | TOC | 9/14/05 | 3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5374021 | LAKE WASH | TSS | 9/14/05 | 1 |  | mg/L |
| 5394082 | LAKE WASH | TOC | 9/30/05 | 2.5 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5394082 | LAKE WASH | TSS | 9/30/05 | 1 | U | mg/L |
| 5354134 | LK OZETTE | TOC | 8/31/05 | 4.2 |  | mg/L |
| 5354134 | LK OZETTE | TSS | 8/31/05 | 3 |  | mg/L |
| 5394084 | LK OZETTE | TOC | 9/28/05 | 4.5 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5394084 | LK OZETTE | TSS | 9/28/05 | 3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5354130 | LOWER COL R | TOC | 8/29/05 | 1.5 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5354130 | LOWER COL R | TSS | 8/29/05 | 7 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384030 | LOWER COL R | TOC | 9/19/05 | 1.9 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384030 | LOWER COL R | TSS | 9/19/05 | 6 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5394080 | LOWER COL R | TOC | 9/26/05 | 1.8 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5394080 | LOWER COL R | TSS | 9/26/05 | 4 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364135 | MCNARY DAM | TOC | 9/7/05 | 2.8 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364135 | MCNARY DAM | TSS | 9/7/05 | 3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384031 | MCNARY DAM | TOC | 9/21/05 | 1.7 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384031 | MCNARY DAM | TSS | 9/21/05 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |


| Sample No. | Field ID | Parameter | Collection Date | Result | Qualifier | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5404105 | MCNARY DAM | TOC | 10/5/05 | 1.5 |  | mg/L |
| 5404105 | MCNARY DAM | TSS | 10/5/05 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5364138 | SPOKANE R | TOC | 9/8/05 | 1.3 |  | mg/L |
| 5364138 | SPOKANE R | TSS | 9/8/05 | 1 | U | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384034 | SPOKANE R | TOC | 9/21/05 | 1.3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384034 | SPOKANE R | TSS | 9/21/05 | 2 |  | mg/L |
| 5404108 | SPOKANE R | TOC | 10/6/05 | 1.4 |  | mg/L |
| 5404108 | SPOKANE R | TSS | 10/6/05 | 2 |  | mg/L |
| 5364137 | POTHOLES | TOC | 9/7/05 | 4.3 |  | mg/L |
| 5364137 | POTHOLES | TSS | 9/7/05 | 7 |  | mg/L |
| 5374022 | POTHOLES | TOC | 9/14/05 | 4.6 |  | mg/L |
| 5374022 | POTHOLES | TSS | 9/14/05 | 1 |  | mg/L |
| 5384033 | POTHOLES | TOC | 9/21/05 | 3.4 |  | mg/L |
| 5384033 | POTHOLES | TSS | 9/21/05 | 7 |  | mg/L |
| 5404107 | POTHOLES | TOC | 10/5/05 | 4.6 |  | mg/L |
| 5404107 | POTHOLES | TSS | 10/5/05 | 9 |  | mg/L |
| 5354133 | QUEETS R | TOC | 8/31/05 | 1 | U | mg/L |
| 5354133 | QUEETS R | TSS | 8/31/05 | 1 |  | mg/L |
| 5374023 | QUEETS R | TOC | 9/15/05 | 1 | U | mg/L |
| 5374023 | QUEETS R | TSS | 9/15/05 | 2 |  | mg/L |
| 5394083 | QUEETS R | TOC | 9/28/05 | 1 | U | mg/L |
| 5394083 | QUEETS R | TSS | 9/28/05 | 2 |  | mg/L |
| 5364136 | YAKIMA R | TOC | 9/7/05 | 2.1 |  | mg/L |
| 5364136 | YAKIMA R | TSS | 9/7/05 | 12 |  | mg/L |
| 5384032 | YAKIMA R | TOC | 9/21/05 | 2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 5384032 | YAKIMA R | TSS | 9/21/05 | 10 |  | mg/L |
| 5404106 | YAKIMA R | TOC | 10/5/05 | 2.2 |  | mg/L |
| 5404106 | YAKIMA R | TSS | 10/5/05 | 24 |  | mg/L |
| Spring 2006 Deployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6124231 | DUWAMISH R | SAL | 3/29/06 | 12 |  | g/Kg ww |
| 6124231 | DUWAMISH R | TOC | 3/29/06 | 1.5 |  | mg/L |
| 6124231 | DUWAMISH R | TSS | 3/29/06 | 3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 6154237 | DUWAMISH R | SAL | 4/13/06 | 6.5 |  | g/Kg ww |
| 6154237 | DUWAMISH R | TOC | 4/13/06 | 2.1 |  | mg/L |
| 6154237 | DUWAMISH R | TSS | 4/13/06 | 3 |  | mg/L |
| 6124232 | LAKE WASH | TOC | 3/29/06 | 2.6 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 6124232 | LAKE WASH | TSS | 3/29/06 | 3 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 6154238 | LAKE WASH | TOC | 4/13/06 | 2.8 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 6154238 | LAKE WASH | TSS | 4/13/06 | 5 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 6174246 | LAKE WASH | TOC | 4/26/06 | 2.5 |  | mg/L |


| Sample No. | Field ID | Parameter | Collection <br> Date | Result | Qualifier |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | Units

SAL - salinity
TOC - total organic carbon
TSS - total suspended solids

Table G-2. Mean Temperature for Deployment Period (C ${ }^{0}$ )

| Field ID | Fall 05 | Spring 06 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DUWAMISH R | 14.2 | 8.2 |
| LAKE ROOSEV | 15.8 | -- |
| LAKE WASH | 19.5 | 8.8 |
| LK OZETTE | 19.1 | -- |
| LOWER COL R | 19.7 | 7.3 |
| MCNARY DAM | 18.8 | -- |
| SPOKANE R | 14.0 | 4.4 |
| POTHOLES | 17.6 | -- |
| QUEETS R | 15.6 | 6.9 |
| YAKIMA R | 17.5 | 9.8 |

## Appendix H. SPMD Excel Spreadsheet Calculator

Table H-1. Spreadsheet for Estimating Dissolved PBDE Concentrations from SPMD Data, Showing an Example Using the Fall 2005 Results for the Spokane River [empirical model in Huckins et al. (2006)]

|  |  | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 23.5 | five membranes |  |
| Exp. Time (d) | 28.9 |  |  |
| PRC start (pg) | 1000 | PCB-29 |  |
| PRC end (pg) | 721 |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{L o g} \mathrm{K}_{\text {ow }}$ : |  |  |  |
| -47 | 6.81 | from Braekevelt et al. (2003) |  |
| -49 | 6.81 |  |  |
| -99 | 7.32 |  |  |
| -100 | 7.24 |  |  |
| -153 | 7.90 |  |  |
| -154 | 7.82 |  |  |
| -183 | 8.27 |  |  |
| PCB-29 | 5.70 |  |  |
| Residues (ng/SPMD) |  |  |  |
| -47 | 548 |  |  |
| -49 | 33 |  |  |
| -99 | 219 |  |  |
| -100 | 54 |  |  |
| -153 | 7.5 |  |  |
| -154 | 8.8 |  |  |
| -183 | 4 |  |  |
| Rs of PRC: |  | $k_{\mathrm{e}}=-\underline{\ln \left(N / N_{0}\right)}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}$ PRC ( $\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ ) | 0.011 | $k_{\mathrm{c}}=-\frac{\ln (N / N}{}$ |  |
| $\operatorname{LogK}_{\text {sw }}$ PRC | 5.36 | $\log K_{\mathrm{sw}}=a_{0}+2.321 \log K_{\text {c }}$ | $0.1618\left(\log K_{\text {ow }}\right)^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ PRC | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PCBs, PAHs, 4,4'-DDE } \\ & R_{s}=V_{\mathrm{s}} K_{\mathrm{sw}} k_{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | : $a_{0}=-2.61$ |

Relative Rs of PRC and Analytes

| log alpha PRC | 4.8892 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\log$ alpha -47 | 4.6722 |  |
| $\log$ alpha -49 | 4.6722 |  |
| $\log$ alpha -99 | 4.5233 | $\log \alpha_{i}=0.0130 \log K_{\text {ow }}{ }^{3}-0.3173 \log K_{\text {ow }}{ }^{2}+2.244 \log K_{\text {ow }}$ |
| $\log$ alpha -100 | 4.5480 |  |


|  |  | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| log alpha -153 | 4.3344 |  |
| log alpha -154 | 4.3612 |  |
| log alpha -183 | 4.2097 |  |
| alpha PRC | 77488 |  |
| alpha -47 | 47009 |  |
| alpha -49 | 47009 |  |
| alpha -99 | 33365 |  |
| alpha -100 | 35318 |  |
| alpha -153 | 21598 |  |
| alpha -154 | 22971 |  |
| alpha -183 | 16208 |  |

## Rs of Analytes (L/d)

| -47 | 37.2 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| -49 | 37.2 |  |
| -99 | 26.4 | $R_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=R_{\text {PRC, } \mathrm{j}} \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}}{\alpha_{\text {PRC }}}$ |
| -100 | 28.0 |  |
| -153 | 17.1 |  |
| -154 | 18.2 |  |
| -183 | 12.8 |  |

Aqueous Concentration (ng/L)

| $\mathrm{LogK}_{\text {sw }}-47$ | 5.692357 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{LogK}_{\text {sw }}-49$ | 5.692357 |  |
| $L^{\text {LogK }}$ sw -99 | 5.710088 |  |
| $\operatorname{LogK}^{\text {sw }}$-100 | 5.710088 |  |
| $\mathrm{LogK}_{\text {sw }}-153$ | 5.712872 |  |
| $\operatorname{LogK}_{\text {sw }}-154$ | 5.627962 |  |
| $\mathrm{LogK}_{\text {sw }}-183$ | 5.645762 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}-47$ | 0.510 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$-49 | 0.031 | $N$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$-99 | 0.287 | $V_{\mathrm{s}} K_{\mathrm{sw}}\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{R_{\mathrm{s}} t}{}\right.\right.$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}-100$ | 0.067 | $V_{\mathrm{s}} K_{\mathrm{sw}}\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{R_{\mathrm{s}} t}{V_{\mathrm{s}} K_{\mathrm{sw}}}\right)\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}-153$ | 0.015 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}-154$ | 0.017 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}$-183 | 0.011 |  |
| Rs = sampling rate |  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ rate constant |
| PRC = performance reference compound |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ dissolved chemical concentration |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ow }}=$ octanol-water partition coefficient |  | $\mathrm{N}=$ starting concentration |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ sampling rate |  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ ending concentration |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Toxicity Equivalence: a measure of the combined toxicity of dioxin-like compounds.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Data from this project are available electronically through the Ecology Environmental Information Management (EIM) database www.ecy.wa.gov/eim/index.htm. Search User Study ID, AJOH0048.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ecy, Epa, and Dfw are codes for Wash. St. Dept. Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and Wash. Dept. Fish and Wildlife.
    E, G, A, DN, BP and ? are code for electroshocking, gillnetting, angling, dip netting, backpack shocking, and unknown.
    ${ }^{2}$ Latitude and longitude at approximate center of collection area.
    RM - river mile

[^3]:    * Sampler lost and replaced midway through fall deployment; spring deployment lost

[^4]:    $\mathrm{U}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit
    $\mathrm{J}=$ Estimated value
    $\mathrm{UJ}=$ Not detected at or above reported quantitation limit. Quantitation limit is approximate.

